

Nazi Lauck NSDAP/AO

Nazi Questions and Answers by A.V. Schaerffenberg

What is "Nazism"?

It is a contraction of "National Socialism", a world ideology, whose central tenet is the preservation of the White-Aryan Race. The struggle for its permanence is the most important ever undertaken by mankind, because it is nothing less than a life-or-death fight for our existence. National Socialists believe the United States can only survive as a white nation, because only the White Race is capable of creating civilized society. Yet, their first loyalty belongs to White men and women throughout the world, regardless of where they may reside. Our *race* – not a specific piece of geography, and certainly no government – is our nation. As the British National Socialist martyr, William Joyce, put it so succinctly, "If you love your nation, you are a racial nationalist. If you love your White society, you are a social racist. Be a National Socialist!

What do you mean by the word "Aryan"?

An "Aryan" is a White person of European, non-Jewish descent. We owe our supreme loyalty to our Aryan family, because everything we are, as individuals and as members of a civilized society, we have inherited from our race. The dissolution of Western Civilization is in direct proportion to its infection by non-Aryans.

Why do you use the Swastika?

It is the hallmark of the White-Aryan Race. That was why Adolf Hitler chose it as the emblem of his Aryan ideology. Earliest examples have been found in the Caucasus Mountains of Central Asia, where the "Caucasian" peoples dwelt more than nine thousand years ago. It has since been used by ancient Greeks, Romans, Vikings and every Aryan people from early in their history, because all of them belonged to the same race it originally symbolized. In the ancient Aryan language, Sanskrit, "Swastika" means "the good luck sign", and was associated with light. It is fitting, then, that it continues to exemplify the National Socialist worldview of racial enlightenment.

Why would anyone want a Nazi dictatorship?

National Socialism is a racial, not a political idea in the ordinary sense. It holds that the value of any government is determined primarily by its ability to preserve its Aryan citizens against racial and cultural decay. Adolf Hitler was voted into office and subsequently achieved power through the overwhelmingly popular support of the German people. Their own traditions demanded that he rule in a particular fashion. For the Germans, his military-style government was in keeping with their

traditions of Bismarck or Frederick the Great. The British feel most comfortable with their parliamentary heritage, and the United States was conceived as a Constitutional Republic. All peoples must be free to determine the type of government which best reflects their unique national character and social issues, which vary from land to land. A "one-size-fits-all democracy" is nothing more than a propaganda ruse to veil the real agendas of tyrants posing as elected representatives.

The goal of the National Socialist Revolution is not to overturn these various governments, but to purge them of all their anti-Aryan elements, and re-direct them to the fundamental purpose each share in common; namely, the protection and preservation of the Aryan Race. The dissolution of Western Civilization is in direct proportion to its infection by non-Aryans. Government is, therefore, a means to an end --- a shield over a people's continued existence. As Adolf Hitler said, "regimes come and go. Race endures."

You call yourselves "Socialists". Does that mean you want a state-run economy?

National Socialists believe in free enterprise and the rights of private property, not government intervention, save only to ensure fair play between capital and labor. We oppose capitalists, because they abuse their power by stifling competition and achieve economic domination at the expense of workers and consumers alike. After the Soviet Union fell, financial wizards, known as oligarches, typified capitalism. While they became rich, like the Jew billionaire, Mikhail Khodorkovsky, the Russian people were reduced to squalor.

In removing the twin evils of capitalist exploitation and communist manipulation, Adolf Hitler allowed the German economy to blossom, while the outside world still wallowed in Depression. The economic miracle he achieved was the chief cause of World War Two. It was set in motion the moment he based the value of money on the productivity of the German worker. Germany was suddenly freed from the international bankers who controlled every other industrial nation, and threatened to destroy their network of worldwide profit through example: As other, non-German peoples began to follow Hitler's lead, the wealthy wire-pullers were in jeopardy of becoming extinct.

To save themselves, their newspaper and film industries churned out propaganda to inflame worldwide public opinion against Hitler, while their hired politicians, like Churchill and Roosevelt, schemed for a war that would annihilate competition from the Third Reich. Capitalists have always used their public mouth-pieces and political puppets to stir up artificial patriotism among the uncritical masses of Gentiles, who must pay in money and blood for foreign wars of economic aggression, such as the U.S. invasion of Iraq to steal that country's rich oil supplies.

National Socialists are less interested in controlling economic life than in liberating all the inherent creative energies of our people, giving them free reign to manage their own business affairs and seek out the high potential of their natural productivity.

Don't you believe in religious freedom?

In our ranks, devout Christians march side by side with critical atheists, or nature-worshipping pagans with indifferent agnostics. All of them, united in their determination to create a racially united society, respect each other's fundamental right to seek God, or not to seek him, according to one's own personal beliefs. We value spiritual freedom as the antidote to religious strife that has claimed millions of lives in stupid wars. National Socialists follow America's Founding Fathers in their mutually respectful separation of Church and State, and according to the words of Jesus himself, who urged his followers to "pay homage to Caesar the things that are Caesars, and to God the things that are God's."

Why do you hate the Jews?

For the last several thousand years, in every land on Earth, up to the present moment, Jews survive by stealing the wealth of productive peoples. At the same time, the Jews understand that they consistently run the risk of being unmasked as the parasites they are, so long as their host population still has enough racial instinct to recognize its own exploitation. Hence, the Jewish promotion of miscegenation at every level of White society, because a mixed people is no longer capable of understanding what is happening to it.

The more racially polluted a victimized people becomes, the less it is able to produce, and the greater its social disarray, until civilization utterly collapses in chaos. History is littered with dozens of examples, from ancient Egypt and Rome to modern times. America and the West have already entered into this historical cycle of decline, but may break free only if enough White-Aryans wake up to the Jews before conditions become irreversible.

What about the six million Jews murdered by Hitler?

Ask the average Gentile American how many Jews died in World War Two, and he will readily respond, "six million". Ask him how many Americans or Christians fell in that conflict, and he will not be able to answer. Nor is he sure how many Americans were killed during the Vietnam War, much less the Civil War or War for Independence. Yet, he is quite sure that "six million innocent Jews were murdered by the Nazis".

When brought to his attention, this disparity of awareness often makes him wonder why he should know with such alacrity about the questionable fate of a three per

cent minority population, while far less about the very real sufferings of his own people.

The answer, of course, lies in the "Dead Six million" as a propaganda myth invented even before the Second World War, and since used to psychologically condition Aryans against "anti-Semitism" in general and National Socialism in particular. Interestingly, Gentiles who finally realize Jewry's unsurpassed criminality are often disappointed to discover that the alleged "Holocaust" never took place.

What's your "Final Solution" of the Jewish Question, then?

As used to be said of the Devil, "the power of Satan is that people do not believe in him." The same is true of the Jew. His power lies primarily in the failure of White Americans to recognize him. They have been skillfully and incessantly propagandized by every level of public information into accepting the Jews only as a "Chosen People" of the bible, persecuted for their pious religion. But the role of professional victim is the disguise behind which the Jew sucks the life-blood --- economically and racially --- of our people. Unmasking his real role in the poisoning of White Civilization is the most effective means of deflating his power. The evil he perpetuates against us must wither away in the harsh light of exposure.

How can you solve the race problem?

We advocate the removal of all non-Aryans from White Civilization by every means necessary. National Socialists do not believe in domestic segregation, but total geographical separation. It stands to reason that racial prejudice, bigotry and violence can only exist in multi-racial societies. There can be no racial injustice in an all-White nation. In the future, only members of our race may be citizens of our country. All others must be excluded.

Sending the negroes back to their African homeland is a traditional alternative. Repatriated blacks resulted in the West African state of Liberia during the 19th Century, and more than nine million them petitioned Congress for the funds necessary to return to the Dark Continent in the early 20th Century. If many millions of White Americans could have been sent overseas to slaughter their European brothers on behalf of International Jewry in World War Two, then the repatriation of as many blacks under peacetime conditions is no less feasible. If American technology can put White men into outer space, it can certainly return negroes to Africa.

But the blacks are only part of the Western World's acute racial dilemma. Every Aryan nation must be racially disinfected until our civilization is entirely restored to its White inhabitants. The first and most important step in that direction is to arouse the will of our people on behalf of their own self-preservation as an Aryan race.

The War in Iraq: Israel Expects

The only issues in the Middle East are Jews and oil. All talk of "liberating" (i.e., conquering) the Iraqi people, or "fighting for freedom" are propaganda ploys designed to emotionally engineer masses of unthinking goyim into supporting aggression for ulterior motives. It is an old trick, going back to wars in Vietnam, Korea and Europe. Patriotic instincts can be artificially inflamed and misguided by political power-freaks intent on achieving secret agendas. U.S. intervention in Iraq is no different, and came about chiefly because Ariel Sharon demanded it. He often stated that none of his Arab neighbors would be allowed to approach military parity with Israel, which, alone in the Middle East, has owned a nuclear arsenal for at least fifteen years. George Bush's public farce about phantom "weapons of mass-destruction" defines the identity of his Zionist puppet-master, because such arms are easily found in Israel, not Iraq. From the moment Saddam Hussein's otherwise less than third-rate military developed a medium-range missile capable of striking Israel, the invasion of Iraq was inevitable. Americans are, therefore, fighting and dying for a cause that has nothing whatsoever to do with "homeland security", much less "liberating" the long-abused Iraqi people.

For years, going back to Daddy Bush's administration, America committed genocide against the Iraqis --- especially against their children and elderly --- through prolonged sanctions that had negligible effect on Saddam Hussein's armed forces, but catastrophically impacted his country's hospital services. A United Nations' study in spring, 2001, estimated that at least 200,000 people in Iraq had died directly of causes stemming from America's embargo on vital medical supplies. Saddam may have been a brutal tyrant, but he was neither better nor worse than any other Arab leader. His only "crime" was to possess a missile that could reach --- never the United States --- but Israel. And that is the chief cause that singled him out for American involvement.

To be sure, there is also an obvious economic reason behind the U.S. invasion. Iraq is rich in petroleum, and geologists have been warning for some time that accessible oil supplies are rapidly dwindling. The key word here is "accessible". While the interior of our planet contains virtually limitless oil reserves, most lie at varying depths, where extracting the liquid gold is impossible at worst and extremely expensive at best. Relatively shallow, accessible deposits are running low. Seizing Iraqi oil will at least temporarily postpone the eventual collapse of an antiquated industrial complex still run on finite fossil fuels. As everyone knows, oil is equivalent with wealth, over which wars have been fought from the siege of Troy. Then as now, the rich booty of war never goes to common soldiers who suffer and die for its acquisition, but to their manipulative leaders safe at home.

The past teaches another lesson appropriate to the American conquest of Iraq: No war in the history of the world has ever been won by a multi-racial army, regardless of its numerical advantage. Our country's humiliation at the hands of Asian peasants in Vietnam is a recent example. Many others go back to Persia's defeat by

a handful of Greeks, 2,500 years ago. Typically, the performance of the U.S. armed forces, for all their supposedly overwhelming technological strength, has been poor. In the fighting for Feluga, American forces were stymied and held at bay for many long weeks, despite repeated USAF air strikes. Although backed by a state-of-the-art military machine outfitted with the latest weaponry, America's racially mixed servicemen and women are dropping like flies to an religiously inspired, racially homogeneous opponent with no air power, no armor, no advanced communications, and no artillery --- in fact, no heavy weapons of any kind. All they possess are anti-personnel bombs and small arms, mostly ex-Russian rifles taken from another military fiasco, the Soviet invasion of Afghanistan. Yet, more than a year after President Bush's premature victory celebration, more U.S. soldiers are being taken down in Iraq than ever. The effect of their sacrifice has been to make Americans the most hated people in the world. Morale both at home and overseas is declining. Suicide rates among enlisted personnel are at record highs, as state-side hospitals cannot keep up with increasing numbers of U.S. soldiers crippled, blinded and wounded --- for what? Jews and oil.

Meanwhile, the American "liberation" of Iraq has begun to resemble the German occupation of France, at least as it was over-dramatized by Hollywood propaganda films. In truth, the French were far more sympathetic to the Germans than the Iraqis will ever be to Americans. Thousands of Frenchmen volunteered to fight for the Third Reich in the SS Charlemagne Division, and performed with exemplary heroism in the defense of Berlin against the Soviet invaders. In sharp contrast, a detachment of Iraqis trained and equipped by the Americans refused to fight against their fellow countrymen at the first opportunity. Bush sycophants prate much about bringing democracy to Iraq, but only U.S. approved politicians are allowed to hold office or even run in elections there. None of this arrogant hypocrisy is lost on the Iraqi people. At least they are not alone, however.

A large and growing majority of Europeans oppose foreign involvement in Iraq. Yet, most of the allegedly "democratic" leaders, flagrantly against the popular will of their own people, have committed themselves to Iraqi involvement. England's Tony Blair is widely known among the British people as "Bush's poodle", a real-life caricature that could be just as accurately applied to any of the reigning puppets installed in Europe since World War Two. A self-evident financial indebtedness to the U.S. explains their unswerving commitment to Middle East meddling, despite majority opposition at home. For example, what possible interest could the Polish people have in Iraq, save for a few scraps from the Americans' victory table picked up by their politicians? Happily, this widening rift between the masses of Europeans and their allegedly elected officials has effectively exposed the criminal farce of "democracy" more clearly than ever before, and is nurturing a general disenchantment with the entire postwar political system, with an attendant resurgence of popular "anti-Semitism".

But what is the future of the Iraq War? As one returning American soldier told *The Chicago Tribune* on condition of anonymity, "We're not going to win this one!" He

cited the virtually universal hatred Iraqis have for Americans. "And why not?" he asked. "Nobody likes to be militarily occupied. The same people who are friendly during the day are shooting at us after nightfall. We'd do the same if the U.S. were invaded.

With every hour of American "liberation" that passes, anger against America deepens and spreads throughout the Arab world and beyond. Our country is universally regarded as nothing more than the Arsenal of Jewry, whose president is an evangelical religious fanatic convinced that Ariel Sharon and his ilk are God's "Chosen People". In occupying Iraq, Bush has set the stage for a much larger confrontation with Islam. The last such conflict took place a thousand years ago, when Pope Urban II contrived a crusade against the Moslem Near East to distract Europeans from their burgeoning dissatisfaction with his corrupt papacy. In the end, the crusaders were vanquished to the point of annihilation by the combined forces of Saladin. Today's so-called "Islamic militants" are keenly aware of Saladin's bloody triumph, and refer to the goy soldiers occupying their country as "crusaders".

Doubtless, the Americans and their reluctant allies will continue to enforce an increasingly noxious presence in Iraq. But the price will be steep, not only in the growing numbers of American soldiers killed and wounded. The effect of U.S. "liberation" has been to recruit new legions of terrorists. Their lethal hatred of 21st Century "crusaders" and those who support them is our legacy of a U.S. foreign policy utterly subservient to a foreign power that has dragged us into an abyss from which there is no evident escape. Because Israel demanded that one of her Arab neighbors be reduced, people on both sides in Iraq have been killed and maimed, with more to come. Fearful consequences unloosed throughout the world. In a profanation of Christ's Last Supper George Bush claims to venerate, a blasphemous transubstantiation is taking place: Innocent blood is being exchanged for oil. Americans delude themselves, however, if they imagine that their dilemma can be solved simply by voting someone else into the White House. In doing so, they will only exchange one Jewized agent of corporate finance for another.

With an irony more ominous than assuring, civilization began between the Tigris and Euphrates Rivers, in what long after came to be known as Iraq. It is here, in this cradle of civilization, that a Zionist American president has fought against the fundamental survival of civilization itself. Time has come round from human creativity to self-destruction in the same region. It is a long cycle, from which mankind may either learn in time and take the proper action by freeing itself from an endless repetition of wars to make the world safe for Jewry. Or utterly perish.

What would life be like in an all-White America?

A relative paradise! Black and Hispanic areas overflowing with violent crime and putrid squalor would vanish. Americans would actually be able to walk without fear anywhere for the first time in more than fifty years. Murders, rapes and armed

robberies would fall off to almost nothing. The urban garbage dumps into which our cities and towns have been transformed by hordes of black and brown sub-humans would be replaced by clean, safe neighborhoods. Gone the gangs, the pimps, the dope peddlers, the muggers. Gone the vandals, the diseased street walkers, the rappers, the hold-up men. Ridding ourselves of all non-Whites is synonymous with removing fear and filth from American society. White people would cease being victims in their own country.

Education, today dragged down by dim-witted racial inferiors, would unchain the learning potential of our students, who would cease being terrorized at integrated schools. Their psychological conditioning to adopt the repellent "cultures" of Africa or Latin America --- to regard themselves as no better than the lowest Hottentot --- would come to a screeching halt.

Epidemics such as AIDS, which was introduced from Africa during our nation's bicentennial, would be extirpated. If only because of their characteristic lack of personal hygiene, non-Whites are infected with innumerable diseases. Hence, our people invariably run the risk of contamination through contact with racial undesirables.

Since narcotics are endemic to non-White societies, particularly Latino, drug addiction would no longer steal the minds and souls of Americans.

With work only for White men and women, unemployment would evaporate, and jobs stop draining overseas.

The obscene, deeply perverted and psychotic immorality of non-White "artists" would no longer be promoted as a way of life for our youth.

Best of all, race mixing would come to a DEAD halt, thereby rescuing our people from racial contamination and extinction.

Hitler lost World War Two, so what makes you think you can succeed where he failed?

Adolf Hitler's chief military goal was saving Western Civilization from a Soviet take-over. In this, he succeeded, although at the cost of his country and his own life. He inflicted so many reverses on Communist forces, they were not strong enough to fulfill Stalin's dream of over-running Western Europe. As George Lincoln Rockwell often said, "Adolf Hitler fought the Alamo of the White Race."

When a man gives his life for something he believes in, his idea outlives him, inspiring successive generations of new followers determined to make his worldview victorious. The Fuehrer's sacrifice was no less a "defeat" than Christ's crucifixion. Christians were hated and vilified for nearly four hundred years after the death of their leader, yet they eventually triumphed. Since 1945, National Socialists have

become the most falsely hated and vilified persons on the planet. Despite decades of unprecedented lies and suppression, White men and women of all ages around the world are still drawn to Adolf Hitler's "philosophy of life". Although born years after his passing, mostly in lands outside Germany, they are willing to work and sacrifice for the National Socialist idea against majority opinion, often risking violence, imprisonment and death. This is the kind of time-tested resilience that always makes for a successful revolution, regardless of the odds against it.

Beginning in 1776, a third of the American people opposed George Washington in the name of the British Crown. Nearly half were apathetic or hostile to Congress, the Constitution and the Declaration of Independence. Barely one-fifth of the American people approved of the Revolution, and even this minority confined itself mostly to moral support. Far fewer individuals helped the Continental soldiers with food, clothing or shelter, while the actual number of men engaged in the fighting was miniscule. Despised and ignored by most of their fellow countrymen, the badly outnumbered patriots were fanatically inspired by an idea (liberty), with which they defeated the mightiest empire on Earth. We racial patriots see ourselves reflected in that precious historical example, and confidently wield our National Socialist idea against today's mighty empire, which is no longer British, but Jewish.

Who was Commander Rockwell?

Born March 9, 1918, in Bloomington, Illinois, George Lincoln Rockwell grew up in New England, where he attended art college, eventually winning first prize in a national poster contest for the American Cancer Society. Alarmed by government, film industry, radio network and newspaper insistence that Hitler was planning to "conquer the world", he quit school at Rhode Island's Brown University to join the U.S. Navy a year before Pearl Harbor. As a ship-board pilot, he participated in the destruction of several German submarines, and later went on to serve in the Korean War. Rockwell was honorably discharged from the Navy as a decorated veteran with the rank of Commander.

Following his distinguished military service, he resumed his art career as a graphic designer, married a local girl, and raised a family. His otherwise average life was disturbed by unavoidable comparisons between prewar promises and postwar realities. He had fought to free countries like Poland, Czechoslovakia, and China. Yet, these and many more lands fell under the shadow of Communist tyranny without a peep of protest from the same sources that had stridently urged him to take up arms against Hitler. Moreover, American society itself was in obvious decline --- culturally and racially. During the midst of his growing concerns, Rockwell stumbled upon a copy of "Mein Kampf", and was shocked to read of glaring parallels between conditions in Germany after the First World War and America's decay following World War Two. Years of deep study and reflection convinced him National Socialism was the only antidote, so he founded the American Nazi Party, in fall, 1958.

Together with a small band of followers, he endured a long period of relentless legal and physical abuse at the hands of his enemies in the courts and in the streets, but became internationally known as the most dynamic opponent to negro agitation and Jewry in all its forms. By the last year of his life, however, he was beginning to show widespread appeal with White workers, youth and home-owners. After demonstrating that grass-roots support through several large rallies and marches in Chicago and Louisville, Rockwell was assassinated near his national headquarters, in Arlington, Virginia. The Commander's mass-action and popular success had undoubtedly brought about his murder by the powers-that-be, who were afraid his new-found political attraction would eventually lead to their downfall. But his numerous recorded speeches and two published books --- "This Time The World" and "White Power" --- continue to inspire and guide successive generations of followers.

Commander Rockwell was a brave, eloquent leader who clearly articulated and personally epitomized National Socialism for modern audiences. He will be forever remembered as the tall hero who single-handedly lifted our Swastika from the ashes of war-torn Europe into the skies over America.

Are National Socialists "pro-life" or "free choice"?

Neither. Life begins at conception, and a woman who extinguishes that life in her womb commits infanticide, unless mitigating circumstances are involved. Abortion is necessary if a mother's life or health are endangered by her pregnancy; if her pregnancy is the result of rape; if indications are clear that the fetus suffers from acute, irreversible genetic, brain or physical disorders; and especially if the mother has been impregnated by a non-Aryan father.

A woman who otherwise seeks to abort her unborn baby simply because its existence is inconvenient or undesirable is a child-murderer. Anyone who assists such a criminal is an accomplice equally deserving of the most severe prosecution and punishment. In the coming National Socialist state, healthy infants unwanted by their parents will be made available to qualified persons who want children.

We believe that the combined non-White populations of the entire planet are not worth the life of a single, healthy Aryan child.

But don't Nazis look down on women as inferior to men?

The Third Reich's greatest cinematographer was Leni Riefenstahl, whose "Triumph of the Will" and "Olympia" are still regarded, even by our enemies, as among the finest films ever produced. History's first helicopter and ram-jet aircraft were flown by the Luftwaffe's most famous test pilot, Hanna Reitsch. Concert pianist and Bach "specialist", Li Stadelmann, was not only the world's most acclaimed harpsichord player, but an outspoken NSDAP member. Referring to the domination and perversion of Aryan music previous to the Party's 1933 assumption of power, she

declared, "Now our German masters will find German interpreters!" Paintings by female artists were well represented at the opening of Munich's House of German Art, in 1937. Examples of women who found the freedom to excel through National Socialism are numerous.

Far from being down-trodden, Hitler's Revolution liberated women from eighteen years of depravation and unhappiness resulting from World War One and its aftermath. During that dark period of Marxist-democratic decay, prevailing economic misery and popularized immorality reduced women to a pitiful condition. Prostitution and pornography were big business; drug abuse was rampant; motherhood and feminine virtue were ridiculed by the entertainment media; family life disintegrated; individual alienation, emotional depression and suicide reached epidemic proportions.

This social terminal moraine into which German women found themselves was transformed over night when Adolf Hitler seized the drifting helm of state in 1933. Restoring the economy's health by making it the sole responsibility of the German worker, and by driving out the Jewish money-changers with their corporate immorality, he abolished the fundamental causes of female victimization. No less importantly, his National Socialist idea inspired women by appealing to their deeper instincts of family loyalty and racial community. Women who gave birth to healthy babies were now honored with a beautiful Mother's Cross, and provided with generous state aid in the rearing of their children. Many family services were free to German mothers, and female education stressed, but was not limited to, health, home economics, sport, agriculture and the arts. With generous grants of assistance and public emphasis on the family as the hub of society, women prospered in a renewal of happy domestic life unknown to generations before or since.

Far from being restricted in Hitler's Germany, women were liberated and up-lifted from the humiliation and misery of former democratic times, which resemble ours because the Jews were no less responsible for conditions then as now. Modern woman's perceived economic independence has been purchased at a steep price. Her stress-related illnesses and suicide rate have reached record heights. Her home life is in tatters. And more White women are raped and beaten, mostly by black and Latino men, than ever before. In the all-Aryan world we will build, such violence will cease, and she will regain her supremely important position as the fountain-head of mankind's superior race. As such, she is the embodiment of everything we believe in and fight for.

Comparing the respective "benefits" of liberal democracy with the Third Reich, it is not surprising then, that many millions of European women were fanatical followers of Adolf Hitler from the earliest days of his Movement until war's end and beyond. If 21st Century National Socialists can achieve half of what he did for Aryan womanhood, we will have much cause to celebrate.

The Fallacy of "the Human Race"

Proponents of democracy insist only one race --- the human race --- exists. Perceived variations between all anthropomorphic creatures able to walk more or less upright on two, hind legs are insignificant. Any alleged "differences" between a blue-eyed Scandinavian blond and a blue-black pygmy may be dismissed as entirely the accidental result of culture and environment. Exchanging locations and traditional societies must result in the Scandinavian eventually becoming a pygmy and the pygmy becoming a Scandinavian. If anything, inter-bred hybrids are stronger, healthier and smarter than specimens of supposedly "pure" peoples. Racism is mankind's (read, White mankind's) Original Sin beyond the pale of forgiveness, although the same faux pas is permissible, even laudable "self-pride" when expressed by non-Aryans. As one of innumerable examples, "For Blacks Only" was a popular television show during the last century, when a comparable program entitled "For Whites Only" would have been abhorred as "racist". Most importantly, there can be no universal peace or harmony until all so-called "races" are blended into a single, one-world humanity.

Such views are not simply an opinion deemed generally pathological until only the last two decades. They are being embraced by millions of intellectually lazy fools as tenets of a religion with all the fanatic demands and zero tolerance common to close-minded dogmas everywhere. As willing stimulus-response victims, their followers have gladly surrendered themselves to the less demanding artificiality of political correctness. Human lab rats of Pavlovian mass-manipulation such as these have always found conformity easier and safer than thinking for themselves. Their color-blind democracy is the 21st Century equivalent of Medievalism, whose pious advocates burned thousands of dissenters at the stake, along with heretical books, during a period remembered as the Dark Ages.

Today's equalitarian zealots boast of their ideological descent from America's Founding Fathers. Yet, the word "democracy" does not appear once in the Bill of Rights, the U.S. Constitution, nor the constitution of any state in the United States. It is, however, found among the recorded speeches and written statements of Washington, Jefferson, Adams and all early American leaders. They were unanimous in their condemnation of democracy as "mob rule", a political stage-prop long used by corrupt demagogues to win over uncritical persons with flamboyant, but unfounded promises.

In the real world, democracy is an Madison Avenue illusion blinding generations of working Gentiles who have been convinced that their individual votes are somehow synonymous with the will of an infallible majority. These thoughtless voting cattle, laughed at by the Jews as goyim, are herded by the tried-and-true techniques of political advertising into selecting either this democratic Tweedle-Dee-Dee, or that republican Tweedle-Dee-Dum. Both of them are only different agents for the same Plutocracy, or rule by wealth, that actually runs the U.S. And since that huge economic power is entirely at the disposal of Zionist agendas, it is more accurately described as a Jewocracy, the real power that has dominated America and Western Civilization since the death of Adolf Hitler.

Our Colonial forefathers --- most of whom owned black slaves --- gave us, not a race-mixed democracy, but a constitutional republic. The mere fact that most Americans can no longer distinguish between the two demonstrates just how utterly cut off they are from their own heritage. Under an alleged "democracy", one is automatically born a citizen. There are no requirements outside one's fortuitous birth on U.S. soil, and you don't even have to speak the same, national language anymore. This means that the vote of a virtually illiterate Hispanic has the same value as that of a White college professor. And since there are very many more witless Latinos, indifferent to everything save their own ethnicity, than White college professors, the latter are invariably out-voted by the former. This real-life numerical supremacy over intellectual superiority is rooted in democracy's rigid denial of any racial differences. Consequently, Aryan Americans are witnessing their steady disenfranchisement at every level of society. Liberals ashamed of their white skin refuse to recognize this obvious injustice resulting from a misplaced zeal for "fairness" through enforced equality at all costs.

A birth certificate is not the sole requirement for citizenship in a constitutional republic, however. Citizenship is not some right handed out just because someone --- anyone --- had the good fortune to be born in North America. It is a privilege to be earned only by men and women belonging to the same racially related people who envisioned our country. They were not black, or Hispanic, Asian, Jewish, Arabic, or whatever, but White. None of these other peoples ever thought of or expressed sympathy for anything remotely similar to a constitutional republic. It is exclusively the product of the Aryan mind. Left to themselves, non-Whites traditionally form absolute monarchies dominated by bloody tyrants, who, of necessity, impose cruelty on their own people as the best means of keeping the savage masses somewhat in line.

As the National Socialist contribution to America's constitutional republic, it will be emphatically stressed in no uncertain terms that members of our race may alone be members of our state. Citizenship will be available to Whites only after their eighteenth birthday, when they will be given the opportunity to prove they understand the fundamental principles of their government, and are gainfully employed or in the process of education. Only then may they be allowed to participate in the election of our leaders. These restrictions are based on the rational observation that good statesmanship is not possible without an informed electorate. Today's racial mish-mash of self-centered voting blocks are far from that. They are instead emotionally engineered bean bags the Jews enjoy tossing back and forth between the appropriately symbolized democratic jack ass and the GOP's financially obese elephant with its grasping, capitalist trunk. It is no wonder, then, that from this pathetic electoral circus, in which White voters are steadily marginalized, emerge mean-spirited mediocrities with Dark Age mentalities like Bush, or uninspiring, upwardly mobile glad-handers like Kerry. They are all alike front-men for the Jewocracy that awarded them their prestigious, if hollow crown. Given the Jews unbridled power, how could it be otherwise?

Criminal clowns such as their political skills will never again embarrass and betray our race and nation, once the coming National Socialist state has unfurled its Swastika banner over the White House. Only then will qualified White Americans be able to freely choose their own leaders, as they once did when electing George Washington or Andrew Jackson. The appalling contrast real men such as these make compared to the moral midgets and Jew stooges who replaced them at the oval office clearly shows just how shamefully far our government has fallen since it was conceived more than two centuries ago. Liberals who shrug their shoulders, "Well, that was then; this is now," only reveal a total ignorance of the past and inflexible resistance to learn from it when historical parallels threaten to debunk their democratic superstitions. "Racial differences are neither nice nor fair; therefore, we will ignore them as though they don't exist," is the liberal mind-set.

Democracy is today's version of "The Emperor's New Clothes", in which no one is supposed to notice the naked lie of racial equality. Dressed in the patriotic garb of Uncle Sam, smiling tyrants conceal their hidden agendas of power and money.

Wasn't Hitler a failed amateur painter who imposed his bad taste on German art?

The hundreds of oils, water colors and illustrations reproduced in "Adolf Hitler, The Unknown Artist" (Billy F. Price Publishing Co., Houston, TX, 1984) mostly portray cathedrals, landscapes, flowers, street scenes and portraits that most viewers agree only an exceptional talent could have created. These works make it quite clear that had he chosen a different path in life, the world would have remembered Adolf Hitler as one of the outstanding artists of the early 20th Century.

In the aftermath of World War One, masses of Jews from around the world descended on a defeated Germany to take advantage of its economic misery. "Buy cheap, sell high" was the same watchword they went by seventy years later, when the likes of Mikhail Khodorkovsky and other kosher oligarches victimized the disenfranchised Russian people after the collapse of Soviet communism. It was the Jews who degraded art into a mere business, transforming it from creativity into cash. Worse, their anti-White instinct vented itself in hatred for all things Aryan through the art world they now completely dominated. Beloved works of the old masters were ridiculed as quaintly out of date, while the psychotic dabbling of Picasso and his fellow Jews were promoted as the greatest paintings of all time.

After Hitler threw them out, they simply moved on to the United States, where these artistic carpet-baggers debased art in America, just as they had in Europe. He not only liberated German artists from Jewish domination, but inspired them with the new concept of racial destiny. They were now given a mission to express that spirit, to visualize it for their fellow countrymen. The result was a unique, dynamic and vibrant body of art still avidly sought after by collectors around the world.

So too, the repellent lunacy held up as "art" in today's world will be replaced by the true masterpieces of race-conscious, Aryan creators able to materialize the soul of our people in stone, paint, words and music.

"Popular Entertainment"

What passes today as "popular entertainment" is largely anti-White propaganda driven to emotionally engineer whole generations against every aspect of Aryan culture. This is because the film, television, and music industries were long ago captured by and are still dominated by the Jew, who uses them to undermine and ultimately destroy our race. With greater frequency and less subtlety, he never misses an opportunity to insinuate or openly promote race-mixing, or to defame and degrade our people. In his movies and t.v. shows packaged for mass consumption, the only White "heroes" are those who gush fulsome love for non-Aryans. More than a century of such deliberate poisoning have eradicated any trace of racial self-respect from millions of our people, who are convinced that embracing the lowest dregs of Africa is not only fashionable, but meritorious. Their racially suicidal transformation would never have been possible without the decades of pervasive brain-washing that scoured away our natural aversion to the Mud peoples of this world.

In the coming all-White society built by National Socialism, popular entertainment will be thoroughly purged of its ruinous Jewish agendas, and restored to its Aryan artists and performers. No longer will our people be led to their self-destruction by the kosher pied-pipers of Hollywood or MTV, but enjoy instead the images and echoes of their true identity as the world's greatest race.

You talk about the Jews as though they were a "race" of some kind, but aren't they just Americans who happen to believe in a religion of their own?

Among the Jews themselves, their greatest concern, as repeatedly stated in their newspapers, is what they refer to as "the danger of assimilation" from the same inter-racial melting-pot they have brewed for the rest of us. While they are above criticism or reproach in all things, any Gentiles who might engage in the same kind of discussion about "assimilation" would elicit gasps of "racist!" from shocked and mortally offended liberals.

If a race may be generally defined as a group of genetically related human beings who share a common set of inherited mental and physical characteristics that distinguish them from other groups, then the Jews are unquestionably a race. For example, Tay-Sachs is an inherited disease found only in the blood of Jews or persons with at least some Jewish ancestry. It does not occur among Gentiles.

The facial appearances individual members of a particular race are born with, like those of the Federal Reserve chairman, Alan Greenspan, or "entertainers" like

Seinfeld, clearly identify such individuals as Jews. These superficial differences are neither good nor bad in themselves, but only help distinguish one race from another. The Jews cannot be faulted just because they might be a different people, however. And even as crazy as their Judaism appears to the outside world, they should be allowed to freely practice their beliefs without interference from anyone.

Our objection to the Jews has nothing to do with their loony religion, nor even their identification as a race unto themselves. But solely upon their persistent, so far successful attempt to dominate and destroy White civilization. In this, they are racially motivated, and we have not only a fundamental right, but the highest duty to defend our people against them. For more than two thousand years, the Jews have wrapped their felonious behavior in the disguise of "religious persecution", an effective ploy to cast their own victims, who dare react against Jewish control, as "bigots". But it is a ploy which must not deter honest men whose racial existence is at stake.

Criminals are found among every people, so what makes the Jews worse than anyone else?

No other race comes close to the horrific impact made on our world by this tiny minority of mankind. A catalog of its crimes requires volumes to describe.

Most recently, the death, agony and destruction in Iraq came about through Ariel Sharon's insistence that U.S. forces neutralize Saddam Hussein's ability to strike Israel. For obvious reasons, the Jews were determined to destroy Hitler and punish the Germans for supporting him, even if it meant the loss of tens of millions of Gentiles in World War Two. Just twenty years earlier, Zionists dragged Americans against their will into World War One by way of the Balfour Decision that broke England's promise to her own Arab allies by stealing their Palestinian homeland, which was turned over to the Jews, with dreadful consequences ever since.

As Gentile men were killing each other on French battlefields to implement Zionist agendas of which they knew nothing, the overwhelmingly Jewish Communist revolution transformed Christian Russia into a Soviet gulag that starved, tortured and murdered countless victims for more than seventy years. Meanwhile, the streets of American cities ran with the blood of men and women murdered by predominantly Jewish organized crime, from "Dutch" Schultz to New York's "Purple Gang".

International Jewish bankers tore up the U.S. Constitution back in 1913, when the Warburg's Federal Reserve System stole from Congress its right to issue and control our money. In the century before, they engineered the American Civil War when the House of Rothschild in Europe installed its agent, Judah P. Benjamin, as the Confederacy's Secretary of State.

Throughout recorded history, as far back as the Ancient World, the Jews have acted with a criminal consistency that defies coincidence, subverting Gentile civilizations, stealing their wealth, and murdering millions. Even mainstream historians now acknowledge that Rome was not burned by Emperor Nero, but Jewish zealots pretending to be Christians. Earlier still, when Cleopatra was Queen of Egypt, she became fluent in Hebrew, all the better to communicate with (and flatter) her wealthy Jewish creditors, who held her throne in pawn, and forced her to turn over tax-farming to their relatives. All tax-collectors in her realm were Jews empowered to arrest and torture tax delinquents, and protected by their own bodyguards from the Egyptians they gouged and impoverished. The Jews themselves celebrate the overthrow of ancient Persia in an annual "Purim Festival", during which they inculcate their own children with immemorial hatred by encouraging them to hysterically run about with "Hammon dolls" (representations of the despised Gentiles), smashing the toy heads and trampling them under foot.

The unparalleled scope of these monumental offenses, spanning not centuries but millennia, hardly comprise a thumb-nail sketch of the Jews' crimes against humanity.

But why should the Jews want to control and eventually destroy civilization?

Dr. Joseph Goebbels likened them to a swarm of potato bugs, which buzz from one field to another. The insects cannot help themselves from devouring every crop into which they drift. "The Jews similarly destroy the nations and peoples of the world," the good doctor observed.

His analogy suggests that Jews are instinctually driven to corrupt and ruin civilization wherever they find it. Trying to turn them into good Christians, as suggested by Shakespeare in *The Merchant of Venice*, only provides them with another cover under which they conceal their uninterrupted villainy. As born parasites, no amount of re-programming can turn potato-bugs into wonderful butterflies. Even severe legal prosecution against individually powerful Jews, such as that inflicted recently on Martha Stewart's kosher corrupter, or the exploitative oil oligarch, Mikhail Khodorkovski, imprisoned in Russia, amounts to a relatively harmless insecticide. Instead, the Western world needs to be crop-dusted with something far more inclusive. One nationally televised documentary exposing Jewish perfidy will be a thousand times more effective than all baptismal fonts and court convictions combined.

How the Jews became what they are is perhaps revealed in their ancient origins. They are probably descended from Semitic inhabitants in Palestine, perhaps the Canaanites mentioned by the Old Testament. Every people produces a minority criminal class, and the Canaanites expelled theirs into the desert, where harsh conditions weeded out all but the strongest, shrewdest individuals. From this exiled group of dangerous misfits who formed their own gene pool of survival traits, the

Jewish race might have eventually emerged from petty bandits to the transnational corporate bandits of today. They have been a non-nation of exiles ever since. A similar criminal people could be created today if, for example, America's prison populations of hereditary felons inter-breed exclusively among themselves, thereby combining and passing on their twisted heritage to following generations of malefactors in a new genetic group.

Demanding desert conditions of four thousand or more years ago would have similarly forced the Canaanite exiles to combine and adapt, or die. Many, maybe most, did. But enough --- the strongest and most resourceful --- survived to pass their toughened genetic inheritance down across successive generations, resulting in a race apart. Today's Jews are perhaps the descendants of this ancient class of exiled Semites, despite inevitable "assimilation" with Gentiles over the centuries, as they wandered from people to people, first ingratiating themselves as the innocent victims of "religious persecution", then making themselves indispensable through loan-lending, eventually poisoning all potential native opposition that stood in their way of obtaining power, finally devouring the host culture's wealth before moving on to another people and repeating the process elsewhere. This would help explain their unfortunate reputation as human potato-bugs.

Complimenting these historical speculations, a metaphysical interpretation argues that the Jews are part of the very force that characterizes existence itself; namely, the eternal confrontation of opposites: light contrasted by darkness, heat versus cold, gravity and matter, life and death throughout the animal kingdom, etc., etc. It is through the process of struggle that life is either made stronger and earns its right to survive, or succumbs and vanishes. So too, the supreme creative race of our planet is opposed by its polar opposite. Only in overcoming the Jews can Aryan mankind fulfill its godlike destiny. To lose such a struggle must not only rob us of our yet unfulfilled potential, but condemn us to the same fate that befell all other defunct species before us: extinction.

In this, we are no different than the dinosaurs who failed to prevail over their own particular challenges. We differ from them only in that, should we fall, no one will be left in the future to wonder over our fossilized bones.

How can you claim any superiority for the Aryan Race, when colored persons from America and around the world outnumbered their White competitors and won many gold medals in the last Olympics?

The Olympics was conceived exclusively by Aryan men in ancient Greece. Blacks, beaners, sand-niggers, gooks or other assorted Mud People had nothing whatsoever to do with the Games in any way, shape or form. While it is entirely possible that the Jews could have been ticket-sellers even way back then, in places like Mexico, the Congo, Arabia or China, the only equivalent spectator sports were cannibalism, tribal warfare and courtly torture.

Given a more than two thousand-year history of the Olympics, the participation of non-Whites is a very recent affair, and then only for political reasons unrelated to sports. For the 1936 Olympics, anti-Hitler zealots in the U.S. deliberately fielded a negro, Jesse Owens, to embarrass the Germans. Nonetheless, the Third Reich came away with the most gold medals. Since then, the Olympics have been reduced by the haters of everything White from a purely Aryan festival celebrating the magnificence of our race to a propaganda spectacle promoting "multi-culturalism" --- newspeak for "race-mixing". Whenever non-Aryans are hyped today, whether in the Olympics or anywhere else, the intent is uniformly aimed at conditioning Whites, especially impressionable young people, into embracing colored competitors as superior heroes. This is part of today's pervasive attempt at every level of society to breed us out of existence and make us feel weaker than more dynamic Afro-Americans or other ape-like primitives.

Despite the race-pollution agenda of anti-White propagandists, however, the Olympics naturally highlight some of the very racial differences we are supposed to ignore. For example, after trying to escape from hungry lions in Nigeria for so many generations, blacks typically excel in the sprint. (Although the famous British poet, Rudyard Kipling, once referred to negroes as "the most ungraceful beasts in the African jungle".) So too, Asians are good long-distance-runners: it's always been a long way across China! In competitions requiring more brains and balance than brute strength, such as the javelin-throw or high-jump, Aryans usually lead the rest.

Far more importantly, racial standing cannot be determined by the relatively puny condition of the human body. Mohammed Ali in his prime could not have survived one round with an average gorilla, just as the fastest runner from the Peoples' Republic would not stand a Chinaman's chance against an ordinary cheetah. According to arguments for colored superiority based on physical strength, Africans, Vietnamese and all the rest are inferior to most other animals.

It is the champion Aryan brain that distinguishes us as the only civilizing people on Earth, and confirms White supremacy as a fact of life. Not only was the very notion of an Olympics the exclusive product of that mind, but so was the technology that transmitted its images around the world, the city and stadium in which it was held, even the clothes worn and language spoken (however garbled) by its Afro-American participants. Strip the Games of their Aryan details and competitors, and the only thing left would be a gaggle of naked savages running around in the jungle. On the other hand, an all-White Olympics would restore the Games to their original condition as a worldwide celebration of the unique Aryan spirit.

National Socialists criticize the U.S. invasion of Iraq, but don't you support our troops?

We sincerely and deeply sympathize with the families of all service personnel killed or wounded in Iraq. Although even Kofie Annan, the black chairman of the United Nations, recognizes that the U.S. invasion is "illegal", a soldier cannot be held

responsible for his orders, except to obey them. As Shakespeare says in King Henry V, "we know enough if we know we are the king's subjects. If his cause be wrong, our obedience to the king wipes the crime of it out of us. But if the cause be not good, the king himself hath a heavy reckoning to make when all those legs and arms and heads chopped off in a battle shall join together at the latter day and cry, 'We died at such a place!'", some crying for a surgeon; some upon their wives left poor behind them; some upon their children rawly left. Now, if these men do not die well, it will be a black matter for the king that led them to it." U.S. soldiers have been misled by King Bush II, and, as such, they are victims of Israel and the oil companies which demanded Iraq's subjugation.

So long as our country is dominated by money and Jews, each generation is required to die in wars needed to fulfill the alien programs of high finance and Zionism. We believe that Americans should be asked to fight and risk their lives only when the freedom of their country is clearly at stake, not at the whim of over-fed Jews like Ariel Sharon, or mouthpieces like George Bush for transnational corporations.

How would you fight terrorism, then?

No politician and no one in the entire news media dares breathe a word about the real reason behind the destruction of New York's World Trade Center or the war in Iraq; namely, the U.S. government's unconditional support of Israel, which has antagonized the entire Islamic world. It has made us lethal enemies from many millions of Arabs, who will never forget or forgive the American-built bull-dozer which demolish refugee homes in Palestine, or the American-built helicopter gunships that blow up schools and hospitals, killing defenseless women and children. If our government would renounce its Zionist ties, cut off all further financial and military aid to Tel-Aviv, and publicly expose Jewish influence behind international affairs, the "war against terrorism" would be won without firing another shot. No "homeland security", increased troop strength in Iraq, or staged elections there of political puppets will prevent the birth of new generations of Arabs who hate us more than they love their own lives.

Americans must decide if their unreciprocal love affair with tiny Israel is worth a future of unrelenting fear and escalating violence both at home and throughout the world.

What would you do about threats from North Korea?

President Bush, at the beckon call of his Zionist handlers, over-committed American military strength in the Iraqi sink-hole. We are thus too weak to deal with the far more dangerous challenge of the North Koreans, who are obviously talking advantage of our distraction in the Middle East by flexing their nuclear might. Bush further exacerbated the crisis by refusing any dialogue with the Reds, among the last die-hards of a defunct system being kept politically afloat only by creating a

diversion from their failed ideology. They hope that the rattling of atomic sabers will distract the hungry masses of their own people from the Communist government's appalling failure to feed them. Such saber-rattling is sure to get louder and more dangerous, with actual potential for a nuclear exchange, so long as America, preoccupied in Iraq, continues to ignore reality in the Far East.

An amicable solution is self-evident, but apparently beyond the scope of a president interested instead in stealing the oil reserves of other nations while fulfilling Zionist agendas. A better leader would understand that the North Koreans are in a terminal situation. Presenting them with abundant food supplies in exchange for nuclear disarmament would be an offer they could not refuse. Whether or not North Korea continues to be run by obsolete, aging Marxists is far less significant than their atomic weapons capability. Once their nuclear fangs have been pulled, the North Koreans can threaten no one, either militarily or ideologically, and the crisis will be defused. This is the kind of rational diplomacy a government indebted to the likes of Haliburton, Enron and Israel is unable to pursue, but typifies National Socialist decisiveness in the name of efficacy and reason.

Will National Socialists allow or out-law "gay marriage", so much in the news these days?

If America's Founding Fathers ever imagined in their wildest dreams (nightmares) that homosexual marriage would be a future issue in the republic they created, they would have unanimously endorsed a constitutional amendment criminalizing such an outrage in the strongest possible language. Generally regarded as the most civilized president ever to have occupied the White House, Thomas Jefferson stated that convicted lesbians should lose their ears and noses, while faggots would not have been so "gay" in 18th Century America, where they were lucky to have escaped castration. That such behavior is now associated with "holy matrimony", the most sacred bond between two human beings, is a clear indication how socially unhinged the United States and Western Civilization have become. It is no wonder that our country is known as "the Great Satan" throughout the Islamic World.

America's abrupt proliferation of perverts has resulted from too many generations of bad breeding; the lower, defective elements of our population have been allowed to reproduce themselves unchecked. "Gay marriage" is another poison fruit of democracy, whose self-righteous advocates have for so long repeated the lying mantra of human equality. The most monstrous examples of mental retardation, physical deformity or moral degeneracy have been nurtured with misguided sympathy, held up as equals or even superiors, and, worst of all, allowed to reproduce themselves and their serious imperfections on an exponentially burgeoning scale. These self-evident inferiors are fueling a population explosion that threatens to actually out-number sane, healthy Americans before the close of the 21st Century. It is an obvious law of nature that any race which does not breed its best stock upward inevitably succumbs to its lowest common denominators.

Many, perhaps most homosexuals and lesbians are recognizable because they inherited their insanity as genetically botched flukes. They are not culturally conditioned victims, but unnatural freaks that would have never been born in a society mindful of breeding only its best human stock.

Marriage is "holy matrimony", against which the leftist liberals and their perverted ilk have blasphemed in the most despicable fashion. And so it will be again, when National Socialists have elevated love between a man and woman to its highest place in the celebration, preservation and perfection of our Aryan Race. Anyone who seeks to again subvert its sacred vows will be ruthlessly driven back into the so-called "closets" of moral corruption from which they came, and the door bolted shut after them for all time.

Health care was hotly debated in the last election. What is the National Socialist take on this issue?

The deplorable condition of health care in the United States is infamous. Less well known is the Jewish stranglehold on America's pharmaceutical industry, which is responsible for the extravagant cost of medication. Health care is unaffordable in large measure because mostly Jewish lawyers have forced doctors to pay ruinous premiums for 'no-fault' insurance. Between the kosher drug companies and attorneys, medical attention is rapidly becoming less and less accessible to everyone but the rich.

Clearly, radical solutions alone are capable of rectifying an otherwise hopeless situation. In the coming National Socialist future, every citizen will pay a nominal health care tax sufficient to provide lifetime, unlimited health coverage and even provide for medical research. The billions of dollars presently being wasted on federal programs aimed at making civilized human beings out of hopeless colored savages, lost in exorbitant adventures like the Iraq War, or thrown away on foreign aid to Israel, will be used in the service of Aryan Americans. The drug industries will be nationalized, and physicians handsomely salaried by a folkish state motivated solely by its determination to care for our racial well-being. After we have driven the money-changers from the temple of medicine, White people will never again be asked how much care they can afford.

Public health and medical research, particularly in cancer prevention and treatment, during the Third Reich were the finest on Earth, because Adolf Hitler set a standard of social concern we are determined to revive. Until then, health care in the United States is terminally ill, and will continue to force more and more Americans into misery and death. These are the logical consequences of a Jewized society where money dominates everything. Only in a National Socialist world, where racial health is paramount, may our people live and flourish.

American National Socialists favored neither the Republican nor Democratic candidates for president in the last election. Have there ever been any presidents you admired?

U.S. presidents from George Washington to Andrew Johnson mostly epitomized Aryan statesmanship, because our government was headed by race-conscious White men from its inception until the War Between the States. The only exception during that early period was John Adams, who tried to establish a tyranny through his Sedition Acts, late 18th Century versions of today's insidious 'Patriot' Act. The post-Civil War era witnessed a large influx of mostly Eastern European Jews, who lost no time in exerting their financial influence on White House policies. Consequently, a string of utterly forgettable political hacks, like Rutherford B. Hays and Chester Arthur, occupied the oval office as willing pathfinders for the ambitious Jews. They found their first real agent in Woodrow Wilson. He obligingly railroaded America into World War One, as part of a deal with England to turn over Palestine to Zionist thieves.

Since then, only candidates willing to betray their race by acting as Gentile front-men for Jewish interests have been allowed to run for the presidency. No matter who the masses of American voters elected, their choice was already in the Jews' pocket. Aware as we are of this political shell-game, the presidents who most represented our worldview naturally belong to an epoch early in American history, before the ascendancy of the Jewocracy that has ruled our country since 1913. These men include Thomas Jefferson (who initiated negro repatriation to Africa), Andrew Jackson (an active racist, he defeated attempts by Jewish financiers to take over the United States), James K. Polk (he invaded and defeated Mexico), and Abraham Lincoln (who would have completed black repatriation, had he lived).

Even some of the Jew stooges chaffed under the kosher yoke into which they voluntarily slipped their necks to become president. In his second volume of the life of Winston Churchill, historian David Irving reports a private statement made during the Second World War, in Casablanca, Morocco, by Franklin D. Roosevelt to the effect that he could not blame the Germans for having turned to the Nazis. 'Any other people would have done the same,' he said, 'had they been so mistreated by the Jews.'

When Richard Nixon realized he had been betrayed by Henry Kissinger, Herbert Stein and all the other Zionist 'advisors' with whom he too long surrounded himself, he lamented to the Rev. Billy Graham that Jewish power was even beyond the reach of presidential control. Only someone outside the system might be strong enough to someday save America from Jewish rapacity, Nixon suggested, and Graham agreed that, if ever such a man should arise, they should both support him.

Most remarkable of the recalcitrant chiefs-of-state was John Fitzgerald Kennedy, the last man to achieve the White House with Gentile money. His father, Joseph P. Kennedy, was one of the country's wealthiest men. Something of J.F.K.'s racial

instinct apparently broke through his playboy presidency seeing the Berlin wall, which he visited on his first trip to Germany. The ugly Communist barrier prompted him to make some conditional, but nonetheless exceptional comments about Adolf Hitler. He then moved on to see Berchtesgaden and the Fuehrer's 'Eagle's Lair' on the Obersalzberg.

After visiting these two places, he declared, 'You can easily understand how that within a few years Hitler will emerge from the hatred that surrounds him now as one of the most significant figures who ever lived. He had a mystery about him in the way that he lived and the manner of his death that will live and grow after him. He had in him the stuff of which legends are made.' ('Prelude to Leadership, The European Diaries of John F. Kennedy', Washington, D.C.: Regnery Publishing, Inc., 1965, p. 74.) According to the author, Gore Vidal, in his 1997 book, 'Palimpsest', Kennedy expressed his desire to free Rudolf Hess, then still imprisoned at Berlin's Spandau fortress. These statements call into question possible motives behind J.F.K.'s assassination. The Jews would have never tolerated a presidential pardon for the former Vice Fuehrer of the Third Reich.

Aside from these rare exceptions, the White House has not been occupied by a race-conscious Aryan since the late 19th Century. Since then, every chief-of-state was and is nothing more than a Gentile shill acting for the Jewocracy that really rules America.

Where did the Aryans originate, and how did they become the Master Race of our planet?

One million years ago, our vegetarian ancestors descended from their arboreal existence onto the plains of South-Central Africa to pick at the remains of meals left by predators. In the process, these proto-humans became meat-eaters. To satisfy their acquired taste, they were forced to learn how to hunt. Developing the necessary skills stimulated their intellectual development, and gave them an upright posture as swift bipedal runners.

After approximately nine hundred thousand years of evolutionary progress, some fundamental environmental change drove game herds hunted by homo-erectus out of South-Central Africa. They were pursued by the more courageous, physically dynamic and intellectually capable of these early humans. Following retreating pasture lands into Northern Europe, the animals led hunting societies into formidable natural surroundings, in which homo-erectus must either adapt or die. Most did, but during the struggle for existence, over the course of millennia, a few survivors gained in strength and cunning. With the onset of Ice Ages, the interplay of heredity and environment produced a new race of large-brained creators superior in stamina and intellect to any other version of the human species.

About forty thousand years ago, another natural cataclysm forced them from the Baltic to the Steppes of Central Russia, the Caucasus, from which they derived their

identity as 'Caucasians'. For several more millennia, they honed their skills as successful cattle-barons, until population pressures forced them to migrate across the European Continent. There they responded to new environmental conditions to become the great cave artists of Lascaux and Trois Freres, and Western Civilization was born.

Meanwhile, descendants of homo-erectus who lacked the courage, strength and intelligence to follow animal herds into new, tough surroundings continued to slowly evolve under the less difficult challenges in South-Central Africa. They passed on their less-stimulated traits to their descendants, who evolved dark skin in response to excessive sunlight, and became the negro races of today.

Don't you feel sorry for all those people killed in the recent Indian Ocean tsunami?

We regret the loss of Swedish, German, Aryan American or other racial family victims, but 160,000 dead doesn't even put a dent in Asia's huge non-White populations. While some evangelicals are convinced such a cataclysm is a merciful Yahweh's method of converting the heathen to his old time religion, it seems more likely that Mother Earth, disgusted with too many sub-humans crawling on her back, tries to shrug off as many of them as she can in an occasional convulsion. Only hysterical simpletons are truly heart-broken over Nature's way of purging herself from time to time from far too many anthropomorphic low-lives.

The same hypocrites pouring tears and dollars over the heads of hapless Indonesians are indifferent to equal numbers of mostly dead civilians killed by the man-made disaster in Iraq, where more than a quarter of a million people have already perished during the war and the sanctions that led up to it. The same news media and government personalities who wring their hands in well-publicized humanitarian angst over victims of an act of God shed no tears for non-combatants bombed, shot, and incinerated in places like Faluja or Bagdad. While repeated images of weeping flood victims deluge American television screens, missing is any visual compassion for the men, women or children of Iraq, unlamented as anonymous "insurgents".

In truth, everybody is trying to exploit the 2004 tsunami for their own ends. Demented race-mixers and anti-White fanatics are using it to accelerate their miscegenation schedules on a worldwide scale. Liberal do-gooders jump at the chance to exorcise their demons of White self-hatred. Born-again missionaries are taking advantage of the situation to make converts with aid in one hand and a church tract in the other, blaming the sufferers for incurring divine wrath for following the wrong religion. More realistically, the Indonesian, Sri Lankan and Indian authorities coldly regard the event as a golden opportunity for foreign investment. Human life has always been cheap in so-called "Third World" countries, because it has so little racial value there. Why not profit from the

circumstances, they reason, by milking it for all its sentimental worth from gullible Americans ashamed of their own skin color?

The natural event has also revealed something about the denizens in that part of the globe in the 21st Century. United States Army helicopters delivering supplies to tribesmen living in the remote hills of Indonesia were fired upon by naked savages using bows and arrows. Throughout the flood-ravaged region, gangs of rapists have violated so many women and girls, mothers are hiding with their children amid the rubble.

The tsunami also points up modern Americans' Jewized mentality, which assumes everything can be solved with money. Of the half-a-billion U.S. tax dollars given the Somoza government thirty years ago for earthquake relief in Nicaragua, less than \$100,000 was actually used to help rebuild the country. The rest vanished into private bank accounts around the world. The same thing happens whenever American suckers dump their conscience-stricken cash into any non-White hole, whether it be in Central America or Indonesia.

By contrast, when Rumania suffered a bad earthquake in 1943, Hitler gave no money, but ordered his army field medics, Wehrmacht engineers, and Luftwaffe transport planes loaded with food and clothing to the victims, who recovered in a matter weeks -- this in the middle of his desperate struggle to save Europe and the world from Stalin's communist war-machine. Of course, the Fuehrer's generosity will not be highlighted in Steven Spielberg's next feature film, so the masses of our people will remain in ignorance -- which is the goal of the ruling Jewocracy that is the real catastrophe of our times.

How can you claim you're for freedom, when the Nazis in Germany burned books?

During the "Roaring Twenties", pornography blossomed into a major industry for the first time in Germany. Books defaming and denigrating national heroes like Goethe or Wagner replaced standard school texts condemned as "politically incorrect", while any contrary information about race or the Jews could not get published. After Adolf Hitler was elected to power on 30 January 1933, a popular wave of nation-wide resentment arose against this formerly shameful state of affairs, and the real story of what happened has been profusely documented in David Irving's 1996 book, "Goebbels, Mastermind of the Third Reich".

Irving shows that self-organized groups of mostly college students across the country spontaneously delivered huge piles of sexually perverted magazines, Communist tracts and assorted anti-German materials to blazing garbage dumps where these reams of ink-stained toilet paper rightly belonged. No Reich government official had ordered the bonfires or participated in the demonstration, except Dr. Joseph Goebbels, who was invited by the students to make a single, ten-minute, impromptu speech at the University of Berlin after the flames had already

been lit. Even so, he was sharply criticized thereafter by both friends and foes alike for his brief participation. Millions of people in the outside world were subsequently misled by newsreel narrator, Lowell Thomas, and other Gentile front-men, to believe that Hitler was burning all books not published by the Nazis. Uninformed observers were given the impression that after the Bible and all the plays of Shakespeare had been reduced to ashes, only "Mein Kampf" would be left for anyone to read.

In fact, the Germans, recognized for centuries as the most literate people in Europe, were cleansing themselves of the same published filth with which the United States is currently awash. But no one outside the Third Reich was told that the European equivalents of "Hustler" magazine or Howard Stern's "Private Parts" had been purged from German culture to make way for something better. While Hitler-hating hypocrites still deplore his so-called "burning of the books", any published discussion of racial differences, impartial views of National Socialism, criticism of the Jews or race-mixing is kept from the public at large.

A case in point was Irving's "Goebbels" book mentioned above. Although hostile to his subject, the author dared question claims that six million Jews had been exterminated on Hitler's order. The manuscript had nonetheless been accepted for publication by a major company (St. Martin's Press), and was actually in production, when death threats from irate Jews literally stopped the presses and killed the book. There are many numerous other examples, all rather typical. A few years before the Irving case, several thousand copies of Alfred Rosenberg's "Myth of the 20th Century" were privately printed and stored in a warehouse that was torched by Jewish Defense League arsonists. Every copy was lost.

Americans are unaware (don't care?) that every major and mid-sized publisher in their country offers as a standard contract to authors specifically stating that nothing construed by the editors as "racist" or "pro-fascist" will be allowed to be printed. Throughout Europe, National Socialist authors who attempt to publish their views, even in limited press runs, risk arrest, fines and imprisonment. Immediately after World War Two, the Allied "liberators" of conquered Germany banned and burned literally hundreds of thousands of books – most of which had little or nothing to do with "Nazism".

As someone once observed, "Freedom of the press belongs to those who own one."

Do the colors of the Swastika flag mean anything?

The black Swastika represents the soil of our homelands – those territories where Aryan men and women have connected with the Earth through generations of toil, and impacted it with their unique culture.

The white disk stands for our mission -- eternal preservation of our people's racial integrity.

The red field simultaneously signifies the revolutionary character of our National Socialist Movement and the sacrifices made on its behalf -- past, present and future.

Because of your racial views, would National Socialists have sided with the South during the American Civil War?

The Confederacy's Secretary of State was an international lawyer, Judah P. Benjamin. He was also an agent for the House of Rothschild, the world's most powerful banking firm. Its directors extended financial support to all European governments and controlled them with high-interest loans. Indebted monarchs were personally unconcerned, because payment could always be left to their successors. But in so doing, they allowed the House of Rothschild to tighten its grip on future generations.

Seeking to extend their financial domination over America, the bankers lavished money and war supplies on the needy South in the venerable game of Divide and Conquer. They had neither interest in nor sympathy for Southern problems, but saw the Civil War only as an opportunity to economically conquer the New World, just as they had the Old. However, their schemes were seriously threatened immediately after the Confederate collapse by Abraham Lincoln. To heal post-war wounds, he forbade any vindictive action against Southerners, and ordered their amiable reintroduction into the United States as fellow Americans. In "emancipating" the Negroes, he had disenfranchised them, making it possible for him to repatriate the blacks to Africa – specifically, Liberia, which had already been set up by his predecessor, Thomas Jefferson, for just such a purpose.

Lincoln was in the process of creating a united, all-White America when he was assassinated by House of Rothschild operatives. His murder was followed by the horrors of "Reconstruction", when the South was plundered on such a revengeful scale, President Ulysses S. Grant was forced to issue a White House decree specifically aimed against the exploitative excesses of "Jewish carpet-baggers". But not even a presidential order was sufficient to prevent their brethren from incrementally gaining dominance over the wealth, politics and culture of the United States. As such, the Civil War, 'and particularly its aftermath, represented the great turning-point in American history, marking the real beginning of the Jewocracy that runs our country today.

That conflict set the stage for all subsequent military involvement, wherein Gentiles were and are duped into imagining they fulfill their patriotic duty, when they actually sacrifice themselves to bring about the ulterior goals of low-profile Jews, like today's Paul Wolfowitz. In such wars, both Gentile sides are guaranteed defeat, no matter who is the apparent victor. Southerners suffered for generations under the injustice and poverty of "Reconstruction", as the North fell into a deep economic depression that caused vast unemployment and disease epidemics, claiming more lives on both sides of the Mason-Dixon Line than the recently concluded war.

While other Confederate leaders languished in jail or stood trial for "crimes against humanity", Judah P. Benjamin booked first-class passage to England, where he resumed his lucrative law practice, living in luxury for the rest of his days. The real winner of the Civil War had disappeared over the sea.

After the recent release of a movie about Howard Hughes, some critics described him as a "Nazi". If true, were other Hollywood film makers favorably disposed toward National Socialism?

Howard Hughes is still despised by mostly old Reds who cannot forgive him for testifying at the House Committee on Un-American Activities against Communists, most of them Jews. A truly great American genius, his understanding and hatred of the Chosen Ones has been well-documented (www.popmatters.com/film/reviews/h/howard-hughes-real-aviator.shtml -39k).

A Hollywood artist of the first rank unquestionably sympathetic to our Idea was Walt Disney (Neil Gabler, "An Empire of their Own: How the Jews Invented Hollywood", NY: Anchor Books, 1988). He often attended Los Angeles meetings of William Dudley Pelley's National Socialist-style Silver Shirts during the 1930s, was active in the America First Committee to prevent Roosevelt from going to war against Hitler's Germany, and kept Walt Disney Productions Jew-free, so long as he lived. Pelley had played an important role in Hollywood himself, as the foremost screenwriter of the 1920s. His best-remembered script was for Lon Chancy's "The Hunchback of Notre Dame".

Charles Higham's "Errol Flynn, the Untold Story" (NY: Dell, 1980) describes the silver screen's dashing Robin Hood as a devoted admirer of Adolf Hitler, although accusations that Flynn was "a Gestapo agent" are more probably wishful thinking (on our part!).

More certain was the open admiration expressed for the National Socialist Revolution by Vincent Price ("Vincent Price, A Daughter's Biography", by Victoria Price, NY: St. Martin's Press, 1999), even before the Third Reich was born. In 1932, during a visit to Vienna, he observed that the Austrians "are ruled by the Jews, who tax them to excess ... Three hundred small boys called the 'Hitlerknabe' are marching outside and singing. From the spirit here and the faith in the man (Hitler) and the lack of faith in Austria, which is completely under the control of the Pope and Judea, I feel he must be right, and at least it (National Socialism) is a direct appeal to the right emotion in man, love for his brother." His daughter recalled, "Vincent liked to tell friends that his visit to Nuremberg had coincided with the famous National Party Day rally immortalized by Leni Riefenstahl in 'Triumph of the Will', that he had heard Hitler speak ...". The Fuehrer, she writes, was her father's "hero", until it became clear to Vincent that continued admiration for "the greatest man in two thousand years" would sabotage his career in kosher Hollywood.

Another famous performer whose independent thinking got him into trouble during the early 1960s was the witty comedian, Jonathan Winters. After ad-libbing a hilarious routine ridiculing Negro race-mixers and their shady benefactor, a "Mr. Goldie", Winters claimed to have suffered a "nervous breakdown", and ducked into a psychiatric hospital to escape charges of "racism" and "anti-Semitism", when the privately recorded skit was leaked to the outside world.

A more recent British performer to suffer the scourge of Juda was Vanessa Redgrave. Despite her efforts on behalf of Soviet Jews, for which she was awarded the Sakharov Medal, effigies of the actress were burned outside CBS studios in both Hollywood and Philadelphia as part of a nationwide campaign to stigmatize Miss Redgrave as "rabidly anti-Semitic", in 1980. Even when she starred in the role of a concentration camp in-mate in "Playing for Time", a movie made for television, the studios were ticketed by chanting, menacing thugs of the Jewish Defense League, led by Irv Rudin. "It's a horrible insult," he frothed (www.rense.com/general140/ani.htm -19K). "Six million Jews will roll over in their graves! We will not cease until nobody will have the guts to hire her ever again!" Despite Miss Redgrave's pleas to the contrary, she was hounded by incensed Yiddles wherever she went. Under pressure, the Boston Symphony Orchestra canceled a performance of "Oedipus Rex" in which she performed. Her only "crime" in the evil eyes of Rudin and his fellow tribesmen was to have financed and narrated "The Palestinian", a film sympathetic to the awful plight of Gentiles in the Near East. While the documentary showed Israeli aggression against the sorely victimized Palestinian people (not exactly a secret), it could hardly have been construed as "anti-Jewish". Nonetheless, in a world where only the Jews are immune from criticism, Vanessa Redgrave's career had been savaged.

Another European performer with an international reputation, Bridget Bardot, was convicted by a Paris court last June for "incitement of racial hatred" for expressing her personal opinions in a new book. She was fined \$6,600 for writing that La Belle France was being dismantled by waves of smelly, crime-prone colored immigrants who knew nothing of European Civilization. Le Rocher, the publisher of "A Scream in the Silence", was fined an additional \$6,000, and ordered to pay, together with Bardot, for advertisements in two major (and, hence, costly) newspapers announcing their own conviction. Undaunted, she defiantly told the court that France was being miscegenated out of existence, and condemned race-mixing in no uncertain terms. "I was born in 1934," she explained. "At that time, inter-racial marriage wasn't approved of." The verdict was the fourth such judgement against her on similar charges since 1997. (www.amren.com/news/news04/06/10/bardot.html - 36k)

Last year, Mel Gibson was similarly crucified as "anti-Semitic" because, introducing his film, "The Passion", he tried to follow the New Testament version of Christ's execution to the biblical letter. Having done anything less because of outside pressure would have amounted to censoring the Word of God to satisfy Jewish intolerance. Gibson did not write the dialogue for his Jesus character to say,

"If my kingdom did belong to this world, my attendants would be fighting to keep me from being handed over to the Jews." (John 18,36). Or have a mob of Jews exclaim, "His blood be upon us and our children!" (Matthew 27, 25). If the Jews are upset by lines such as these, they must protest to their Author, not Mr. Gibson.

The popular screen actor, Robert Mitchum, assured his place in Hollywood early during his career by starring in a propaganda farce, "Crossfire", aimed at demonizing the postwar popularity of "anti-Semitism" in America. But people can and do change, and he spoke the truth after appearing in another twisted Jewish version of reality. When interviewed about his performance in Jew Herman Wouk's "The Winds of War", Mitchum stated bluntly that the pseudo-documentary was "a travesty on history. There was nothing 'noble' about our involvement in World War Two. It was a sham from the beginning". America entered World War Two, he said, chiefly to stimulate our depression-ridden economy with arms production: "That was the alpha and omega of the whole mess." Mitchum went on to say that the alleged "extermination" of six million lovable Jews by Hitler was "a political fairy-tale".

Before thus committing career-hari-kari, Mitchum was an unabashed racist. He told fellow movie star, Shirley MacLaine, of his younger days on a Georgia chain-gang, when "he was shackled to 'some nigger', with whom he became friendly." ("My Lucky Stars", by Shirley MacLaine, NY: Bantam Books, 1995; pgs. 293, 294, 307, 329, 330) Mitchum escaped. "He described 'feeling like a nigger being chased right before they lynched him'." Mitchum told her, "They'll just go after some other poor nigger to fill their quota on the chain-gang." Years later, he and MacLaine were together in East Africa, "commiserating with the Whites who had been through Uhuru and the Mau-Mau insurgence."

She is herself not unaware of the Jews who use Hollywood to manipulate public opinion and mould mass behavior. Miss MacLaine went as far as she dared, when she wrote that "perhaps manipulation of Hollywood began around the poker tables in Palm Springs, years ago, with the attendance of Jack Warner (Warner Brothers), Samuel Goldwyn (Samuel Goldwyn Productions), Harry Cohn (Columbia), Barney Balaban (Paramount), Joe Schenck (20th Century Fox), Darryl Zanuck (Fox), and Louis B. Mayer (MGM) ... They controlled Hollywood ... they desired to manipulate the public. In the main, they were of Eastern European descent [a transparent euphemism for "Jewish". AVS] and wished to create the reality of the New World as they wanted it to be ... They played fast and loose with the truth, and somehow it was acceptable." That "New World" was the world of lies and terror in which we live today.

It was hardly surprising then, when Marlon Brando told a national television audience on 5 April 1996, "Hollywood is run by Jews. It is owned by Jews, and they should have a greater sensitivity about the issue of people who are suffering. You will see every other kind of race and nationality stereotyped in Hollywood films,"

the veteran actor stated on "Larry King Live", "but we never saw the kike, because they know perfectly well that's where you draw the wagons around."

Abraham H. Foxman, National Director of the Jews' own "Gestapo" -- the Anti-Defamation League -- said of Brando's contention that Hollywood was Jewish, "Even though this may technically be a reality, it is also considered an anti-Semitic statement, because it is perceived to bolster that old canard used by genuine anti-Semites -- that of a Jewish conspiracy to run the world (That "New World" of the Hollywood Heebis Shirley MacLaine mentioned? AVS). Mr. Brando owes an apology to the Jewish men and women who work in Hollywood for vilifying them, and to all Jews for his stereotyping and use of an anti-Semitic epithet."

While preparing to play the role of George Lincoln Rockwell in an installment of the 1970s' anti-White propaganda extravaganza, "Roots", perhaps the actor's racial conscience had been awakened by something he may have read by the Commander of the American Nazi Party. In the previous decade, Brando had demonstrated for black "civil rights". (www.adl.org/PresRele/AUSUS_12/2708_12.asp -19K)

Like the star of "The Godfather", Charlton Heston was an early activist for Negro "civil rights" who later wised up (www.ypc.org/nrainfolheston.html - 7K). Toward the close of the last century, this cinematic Moses told the Free Congress Foundation's 20th Anniversary Gala, on 7 December 1997, that "a cultural war is raging across our land, storming our values, assaulting our freedoms, killing our self-confidence in who we are and what we believe, where we come from. Heaven help the God-fearing, law-abiding, Caucasian, or even worse -- rural, apparently straight, or -- even worse -- admittedly heterosexual, gun-owning or -- even worse -- NRA-card-carrying, average working stiff, or -- even worse -- male working stiff, because not only don't you count, you're a downright obstacle to social progress. Your tax dollars may be just as delightfully green as you hand them over, but your voice requires a lower decibel level, your opinion is less enlightened, your media access is insignificant, and frankly, mister, you need to wake up, wise up and learn a little something about your new America -- in fact, why don't you just sit down and shut up?

"The Constitution was handed down to guide us by a bunch of those wise old dead White guys who invented this country. Now, some flinch when I say that. Why? It's true. They were White guys. So were most of the guys who died in Lincoln's name opposing slavery in the 1860s. So why should I be ashamed of White guys? Why is 'Hispanic pride' or 'Black pride' a good thing, while 'White pride' conjures up shaved heads and white hoods? Now, Chuck Heston can get away with saying I'm proud of those wise old dead White guys, because Jesse Jackson and Louie Farrakhan know I fought in their cultural war. I was one of the first White soldiers in the civil rights movement in 1961, long before it was fashionable in Hollywood -- believe me -- or in Washington, for that matter. In 1963, I marched on Washington with Dr. Martin Luther King to uphold the Bill of Rights. But you don't see many other Hollywood luminaries speaking out on this one, do you? It's not because there

aren't any. It's because they can't afford the heat. They dare not speak up for fear of CNN or the IRS or SAG or the ATF or NBC or even W.J.C (the World Jewish Congress). It saps the strength of our country when the personal price is simply too high to stand up for what you believe in.

"Nobody opposed the obscene rapper, 'Ice-T', until I stood at Time-Warner's stockholders meeting, and was ridiculed by its president for wanting to take the floor to read Ice-T's lyrics. Since I held several hundred shares of stock, he had no choice, though the media were barred. I read those lyrics to a stunned audience of average American people -- the stockholders -- who were shocked at the lyrics that advocate killing cops, sexually abusing women, and raping the nieces of our Vice-President.

"Mainstream America is depending on you -- counting on you -- to draw your sword and fight for them. These people have precious little time or resources to battle misguided Cinderella attitudes, the fringe propaganda of the blacks who raise a militant fist with one hand while they seek preference with the other. We've reached that point in time when our national social policy originates on Oprah. I say it's time to pull the plug.

"Americans should not have to go to war every morning for their values. They already go to war for their families. They fight to hold down a job, raise responsible kids, make their payments, keep gas in the car, put food on the table and clothes on their backs, and still save a little for their final days in dignity. They prefer the America they built -- where you could be White without feeling guilty, own a gun without shame, and raise your hand without apology. They are the critical masses who find themselves under siege and are longing for you to get some guts, stand on principle, and lead them to victory in this cultural war.

"In a cultural war, triumph belongs to those who arm themselves with pride in who they are and then do the right thing. Not the most expedient thing, not the politically correct thing, not what'll sell, but the right thing. Don't run for cover when the cultural cannons roar! Remember who you are and what you believe, and then raise your hand, stand up, and speak out! Don't be shamed or startled into lockstep conformity by seemingly powerful people! The maintenance of a free nation is a long, slow, steady process. And it is in your hands. But as leaders you must -- we must -- do as Lincoln would do, confronted with the stench of cultural war: Do what's right. As Mr. Lincoln said, 'With firmness in the right, as God gives us to see the right, let us finish the work we are in ... and then we shall save our country.'

"Defeat the criminals and their apologists, oust the biased and bigoted, disavow the self-appointed social engineers whose relentless arrogance fuels this vicious war against so much we hold so dear! Do not yield, do not divide, do not call a truce! Be fair, but fight back!"



In a recent issue of *The New Order*, a Rev. Richard Butler was eulogized. Who was this man?

With the passing of Rev. Butler last September (2004), National Socialists and White Americans of good will everywhere lost one of their oldest and dearest friends. As the founder and leader of Aryan Nations, he provided a unique umbrella organization for comrades from all over the world.

Richard G. Butler was born in 1918, in southern California, where he grew up to attend Los Angeles City College, graduating with a degree in aeronautical engineering. Something of an aviation pioneer during the 1930s, he was co-inventor of rapid repair for tubeless tires, a patented process that saved the lives of countless Allied airmen in World War Two. Deeply patriotic, Butler enlisted in the U.S. Air Force after Pearl Harbor, serving as a valued flight instructor. During the postwar era, he organized and operated a machine plant for the production and precision machining of automotive parts and engine assemblies, plus aircraft components. In 1968, he became Senior Manufacturing Engineer for the Lockheed Aircraft Company at its Palmdale, California plant, where he participated in the development of the renowned L-1011 aircraft.

Throughout his successful career as an aviation executive, he had grown increasingly troubled by the obvious decline of American society, particularly since the Second World War. Using the same kind of scientific research he applied to aviation, Butler concluded that the White Race was in mortal danger of becoming extinct. The only alternative was National Socialism, born from the genius of history's greatest martyr for truth in two thousand years. Butler resigned from his

prestigious position at Lockheed in 1986, and raised our sacred Swastika over his privately owned citadel in Coer d'Alene, Idaho.

It was a particularly courageous decision for a man in his seventies. "No one is too young or too old to fight for his race," he bristled whenever anyone pointed out his already advanced age. "Individual human existence is short, and I want to do something of real value with my life before it's done. Men as old as me and older did not hesitate to fight the Soviet invaders of Eastern Europe in Hitler's Volksturm. I'll never be too old to fight the colored invaders of America."

Butler was devoutly religious, but rejected mainstream Christianity as antithetical to the principles of its founder, and preached his own version of spirituality. Over the next fifteen years, his nineteen-acre estate served as the world's most prominent National Socialist headquarters during the late 20th Century. The Pastor's graciousness and generosity made it a congenial oasis of calm sanity in an outside world gone mad with race mixing degeneracy.

Beginning in the late 1980s, he mounted a unique, annual demonstration, when hundreds of men and women from across America paraded through the streets of Coer d'Alene, each one carrying the flag of their own nationality. Every mid-summer, this otherwise obscure little Idaho town drew global attention in a colorful display of White unity. It also attracted hysterical opposition orchestrated by the gargoyle-faced boss of the Jewish Defense (sic) League. But local people were hardly charmed by the vociferous Irv Rudin (rhymes with "Juden") and his fellow loud-mouths, come all the way from New York City looking for trouble, and quite literally foaming at the lips with revenge. They were more favorably impressed with the Gentile marchers' self-controlled, even dignified demeanor under pressure. From these yearly dramatizations of racial solidarity a new name for Rev. Butler's struggle naturally arose: Aryan Nations.

By the late 1990s, it had become the most visible expression of White resistance on earth, serving as common ground for numerous National Socialist and racist organizations. The Swastika banner flying atop its high tower shone out like a defiant beacon of hope, calling growing numbers of adherents from around the world. But such defiance and growth, however lawful, was intolerable to the kosher powers-that-be, and they exerted the full weight of their monstrous legal system against Pastor Butler. Where physical violence, news-media provocation and government intimidation had failed to stop him, subversion and money -- the Jews' immemorial tactic and weapon -- would succeed. In 1999, court proceedings were brought against Aryan Nations by Morris Dees, a "civil rights" attorney from Montgomery, Alabama, who makes his living by suing White patriots and impoverishing them. Over the last twenty-five years, he bankrupted half-a-dozen racist organizations, including the Ku Klux Klan and White Aryan Resistance. Dees' self-styled "Southern Poverty Law Center" is reportedly bankrolled to the tune of sixty-five million dollars. It was this well-heeled behemoth that now unleashed its awful financial power on the former U.S. Air Force flight instructor.

Edgar J. Steele represented Rev. Butler in court, although he did not share his elderly client's racial views. Steele nevertheless stated honestly, "At trial, I was flabbergasted by the lies told by witnesses who had been bought and paid for by Morris Dees and company. Because of the endless media vilification, and despite our having proven up so many of those lies, the jury chose to slap Pastor Butler with the most ridiculous verdict I have ever seen. Idaho's bond requirement made it impossible for us to appeal. For what it is worth, I was in the trial and at his side every step of the way. We put up an excellent fight, the best of my career. We beat Dees at every turn. We beat him on the law. We beat him on the facts.

"What we couldn't beat was the passion and prejudice of the community, as reflected in the minds of the jurors. Some of them spoke to the press afterwards, recounting how they considered not one shred of evidence, not one factual issue, not one legal principle, in immediately resolving to bankrupt Pastor Butler, so that he would leave north Idaho. For that, the press is largely accountable, as it had been conducting a relentless war of disinformation against Butler and his followers for years.

'Not once did I observe Pastor Butler raise his voice or disparage another who was unjustifiably hounding or maligning him or those he loved. Always, I was struck by the disparity between his public image and the man I knew. I know from personal experience that one cannot believe anything one reads in the media concerning such a man, however. Current reporting, especially from the local Spokane newspaper, which has chosen to boorishly, crudely and falsely mock the man in death, has borne this out with a vengeance. Always, the media endeavors to paint Butler as a criminal never jailed because he managed to dance just out of reach of the authorities. In reality, he was never jailed because he never did anything wrong. Unless you view speaking your mind and standing by your principles to be wrong. 'Are you a White supremacist?', I asked Pastor Butler one day. 'No,' he responded, 'I am a White separatist. I don't wish harm to befall other races. I just want to be able to live apart from them.'

"Richard Butler had a large number of followers all around the globe, most of whom genuinely loved him. A much larger number of the local population here in north Idaho thought more well of him than the media would have you believe. I know, because they continually come up to me and say so, though they might not admit such even to their own families."

The seizure of Aryan Nations' Coer d'Alene headquarters proved to be a hollow victory for its enemies. A short time later, Irv Rudin, while under investigation by the F.B.I., tried to commit suicide by shooting himself in the head. The botched attempt reduced him to a life-long vegetable. The movement he, Morris Dees and all the others who strove with personal violence and financial power to shut down is in far better shape than the moribund Jewish Defense League and its comatose boss, as this web-site attests. Because they themselves are crass materialists, our enemies are incapable of understanding that our Idea cannot be extinguished by annihilating its

physical existence. Nothing they do can exceed the destruction suffered by the Third Reich. Yet, sixty years later, the ideology that created it has survived and spread around the world.

Richard Butler passed away at the end of a long, productive and ultimately heroic life, content in the awareness that he did everything he could for his race and nation. No man hath greater epitaph.

How many followers do you have?'

More than yesterday, but less than tomorrow! From the day Adolf Hitler founded National Socialism eighty five years ago, it has never rested on the dead weight of numbers, but is generated instead by a few White men and women embodying a majority of energy and will-power. *Mein Kampf* set down that the Movement comprises two fundamental categories of followers: "activists" and "supporters". The latter are persons favorably disposed to our Idea, who may assist with donations, etc., but are less personally involved in championing it than activists who risk their liberties and lives to promote this "philosophy of life".

More is expected of "activists", who are, consequently, far less numerous than "supporters", because fewer individuals are willing to put themselves in harm's way for something greater than themselves. This does not mean that "supporters" are any less important to the success of our struggle. They form the bedrock of the Movement, upon which the activists stand. Together, they form the power-center of National Socialism. And while we are always seeking to broaden our supporter base, the ranks of "activists" will be forever thinned and honed into an ideological elite, not because of any special privileges, but entirely by the difficulty of the struggle in which they have voluntarily engaged.

Adolf Hitler began with seven men, including himself. Fifteen years later, he made a clear distinction between "supporters" and "activists", when he told half-a-million of his followers at their annual congress, "For the masses of our fellow countrymen, it is sufficient for them to say, 'I believe'. But for us, our watchword has always been: 'I fight!'"

How do your opponents envision America's destiny?

The future is determined by forces set in motion during the past, unless they are diverted by present opposition. In a nutshell, Adolf Hitler's struggle was fundamentally an attempt to transmute civilization's centuries-long decay. His death in 1945 opened a final chapter in the Decline of the West, wherein American extinction is plainly written.

That's just fine for liberals, who embrace every form of cultural rot as "progress" toward their old goal of "One World, One Race, One Government". They are pleased with our country's present drift in that direction. For them, race-mixing is

the True Faith they embrace with all the intolerance of religious fanatics. Persons so much as hinting a lack of enthusiasm for their inter-racial piety must be shamed and ostracized. Racism is the worst of all sins, far more serious than murder, meriting the most dire punishment, because it stands in the way of a future where all nations are dissolved under a single government authority, like the United Nations (except, of course, the term "nations" will no longer be politically correct). All other armies having fulfilled the Old Testament admonition to break their swords into plow-sharers, legions of blue-helmeted police will make sure that laws against all variations of racism are strictly enforced.

Concurrently, behavior-modification in schools, the entertainment industry and news media will use advanced technologies to psychologically program on-going generations against any inflection of racial self-awareness, thereby enabling interbreeding on a global scale. Once accomplished, and all ethnic identities have been blended into an inter-racial souffle, we may dispense with any kind of government whatsoever, because the human differences that formerly caused crime and unhappiness will no longer exist. Mankind ("Personkind" will be more "gender-friendly" then) will achieve its ultimate destiny, what it was meant to become, wherein everyone will look, act and think the same. There will be no differences of opinion, nor of any other kind.

Along with race, religion, politics and sexual behavior will be all alike and indistinguishable. People will be sensitive to the feelings of all others at a time when no one will risk offending anyone else; hence, the abolition of separate notions of any kind, except those that are socially acceptable. Those lucky Americans will be able to do whatever they please, so long as they do not hurt the feelings of others, regardless of any consequences, because a god-like technology will continually clean up after them.

Of course, such a future can exist only in the heads of demented liberals, and must culminate in circumstances entirely unlike their utopian fantasies. If present trends continue, America will approach its racial Rubicon around the middle of this century, when its majority population will no longer be White. Thereafter -- again, given any serious opposition -- White Americans' minority status will dwindle at an accelerating pace, until colored immigration and inter-breeding will have shoved them into extinction sometime in the following century.

Long before then, the burden of supporting national economic life will have become too great for America to bear, because it was sustained by White labor, now in shorter supply. And since the same kind of people who originally created a constitutional republic will no longer possess majority status, it will be replaced by a totalitarian government of power-freaks more interested in enforcing liberal agendas than up-holding old fashioned notions of liberty.

In short, the liberals' inter-racial dream is devolving into a world of political tyranny, economic misery and White death. As a measure of their sick mentality,

they would prefer even those consequences to a world where people were conscious of their racial identities.

In opposition to the liberals, then, why not choose a conservative path?

Any differences today between liberals and conservatives are unimportant, because neither place any value on preserving the White Race. When a whole people is pushed toward the edge of extinction, all other issues dwindle to insignificance.

Both liberals and conservatives are so entirely dominated by Jewish money and influence that they are nothing more than compliant puppets jerking to the least whim of their kosher wire-puller. The "Neo-Cons" in government today are power-mad race-mixers who wrap their intolerance in a religious hysteria that justifies and exonerates any excess, as witness the suicidal war in Iraq; the wholesale torture of defenseless, even innocent captives; and the visible transformation of America's Constitutional Republic into a fundamentalist tyranny.

Contrary to the conservatives, whose bank accounts seem more valuable than the racial integrity of their people, National Socialists alone enjoy the intellectual freedom of a rational worldview unfettered by political correctness, and inspired by a realistic idealism for the future of our race.

Do National Socialists believe in evolution?

Given the present human condition of Western Civilization, it is far easier to believe these days in DE-evolution. Instead of bringing together its most intelligent, brave and creative individuals, the Aryan Race is being deliberately bred out of existence by a universal, unrelenting campaign in American schools and all forms of public information to promote miscegenation. Indiscriminate breeding among cattle devalues the herd into scrub bulls, just as unions between Whites and colored races result in evolutionary backsliding toward genetic decay.

White people are alone capable of producing civilization. Without it, all humanity would sink into filth, sickness and bloodshed -- the very qualities currently overtaking America, as it becomes inundated with burgeoning colored populations. These anthropomorphic races are just wild animals, not true human beings. Left to themselves, without simpering White liberals to care for them, they rapidly decline into squalor and barbarism. Breeding with such low-lives can only drag down the elite White Race into savagery, as dramatically attested by the deteriorating status of America's cities, where non-Aryans congregate like flies on putrescence.

Evolution is not just a theory, but a demonstrable fact of life, Any child can see the relationship between the skeletons of an ape and a man. They both quite obviously share a common evolution, of which the human is the more mentally developed species. So too, homo sapiens-sapiens, or "modern man", is a decided intellectual improvement over his predecessors -- Neanderthal, homo erectus mauretanicus,

etc., etc. It is apparent that the "life-force", as George Bernard Shaw described it, is trying to evolve a smarter being.

We are mistaken, however, in imagining that Negro pygmies, Indonesian head-hunters, Polynesian cannibals and all the other non-Whites are only just behind us in terms of evolution, and must be given a chance to catch up with us. We do not share the same path with these ape-like creatures, nor are they coming up behind us on the evolutionary trail. Instead, they have been genetically sidetracked as human offshoots into evolutionary cul-de-sacs. The path they trod is a dead end, just as many other sub-species before them. They are mere developmental debris to be brushed aside and discarded like the chips and shavings left over by a master sculptor after having carved and chiseled a magnificent statue from a block of wood. So too, evolutionary forces have hewn Aryan Man as their supreme achievement from the rough material of a broad-ranging species. All other races are nothing more than the flotsam of that process. As Nietzsche declared, "Man is the meaning of the Earth."

Some religious persons are troubled by what they perceive as a contradiction between their Christian faith and evolution. But they fail to realize that no such contradiction exists, because evolution is merely the means by which God creates and perfects life. So too, we National Socialists are convinced that we are fulfilling the Creator's highest command by fighting for His noblest accomplishment – the preservation and evolutionary destiny of the White Race!

After a B-29 dropped the first operational atomic bomb on Hiroshima, in 1945, U.S. President Harry Truman declared, "we won the race of discovery against the Germans". In other words, America was far ahead of the Third Reich in nuclear development, thereby ensuring victory for the Allies. Doesn't this prove that applied science flourishes better in a free democracy than under a Nazi dictatorship?

In truth, U.S. research into atomic energy was far behind contemporary developments in National Socialist Europe, and the Allies knew it. On 27 February 1943, an alarmed Winston Churchill frantically ordered a British commando raid to destroy the Germans' "heavy water" plant in southern Norway, before it could be put to use in nuclear arms manufacture. The Norsk Hydro Company was attacked, but work on Hitler's "Victory Weapon" continued to out-pace similar efforts in the U.S.

In just the past few years, researchers have established that, contrary to conventional history, the world's first nuclear bomb was detonated in the Harz Mountains of Germany, during March, 1945, five months before the American atomic blast took place in New Mexico (see "Black Sun", by Joseph Farrell, IL: Adventures Unlimited Press, 2005, or "Hitler's Miracle Weapons", by Friedrich Georg, MN: Historic Aviation, 2004). While the Harz Mountain test was a success, additional months of development were required before the "Victory Weapon"

could have become operational, more time than Germany had left before the capitulation, in early May.

Not long before, a pair of u-boats carrying stores of uranium-235 left Hamburg for Japan. The captain of one submarine aborted his mission after learning from radio intercepts that Karl Doenitz, head of the German Navy and recently appointed Chancellor, commanded the cessation of hostilities, and surrendered the uranium to the Americans. They used it to power not only their New Mexico test device, but the a-bombs dropped on Hiroshima and Nagasaki. Meanwhile, the second u-boat commander followed his original orders to Tokyo, where Japanese scientists took possession of the uranium to build their own nuclear device. It was successfully exploded in Korea, literally on the day Emperor Hirohito declared peace.

While still news to the general public, these historical events have been convincingly documented by Farrell, Georg and many other historians (punch in "Nazi a-bomb" or "Japanese a-bomb" in www.google.com for additional sources). They are cited here to show that both America and (far less generally well known) Japan were indebted to Third Reich scientists, who, contrary to President Truman, did indeed lead the world in nuclear application. They also pioneered jet and rocket propulsion, cancer research, genetics, television, computers, super-highway construction, etc. -- all this during just a dozen years, and due entirely to Adolf Hitler's meritocracy, wherein the best racial elements were fostered.

Such an ideology is diametrically opposed to the phony equality of all self-defeating democracies, and explains why they played second fiddle to National Socialist science in the last century.

If Nazi scientists were ahead of their Allied opponents, why did Germany lose the war?

Its outcome had nothing to do with which side had the better scientists, the larger armies or more skillful generals. In 1931 – when Germany was still a democracy, and two years before Hitler was even elected to power – a man working in the cipher department of the German Army sold its hitherto impenetrable code device, known as "Enigma", to French government officials for the equivalent of \$30,000.00. From that moment on, the Allies were able to read most German military intentions before they were issued. The Germans never knew they had been betrayed by one of their own, low-level functionaries.

His treason was largely offset, however, by Reinhard Heydrich, chief of national security, and it was due mainly to his counter-espionage activities that the Reich was victorious into 1942. His assassination in June of that year marked the real turning-point of German fortunes. Heydrich's murder had been engineered by Wilhelm Canaris, a crypto-Jew born Moses Meyerbeer, who managed to become head of German military intelligence. Canaris covertly plotted with Churchill to have a hit-squad parachuted into Czechoslovakia, where Heydrich operated his headquarters.

Henceforward, Canaris-Meyerbeer filled in the gaps of information missing from the partially decoded "Enigma" secret, allowing Allied commanders to literally read all German classified material before it was dispatched to men in the field.

Soon after Heydrich's assassination, u-boat successes in the North Atlantic declined, Rommel lost the decisive Battle of El Alemain, and Stalingrad fell to the Soviets. For the next two years, Roosevelt, Churchill and Stalin were privy to every German military decision long before it was made. Countering all moves made by their enemies was child's play.

Canaris-Meyerbeer was found out and arrested during the botched attempt on Hitler's life in July, 1944. But by that time, the war was already lost. Its outcome has been since blamed on the Fuehrer's "madness" or "incompetence". In truth, however, German security had already been mortally breached years before he assumed control of his country's armed forces, while he was still a young politician struggling for power.

For more on this story, see the book, "Adolf Hitler: Bungling Amateur or Military Genius?", available from this web site.

Why even bother to lift a finger for National Socialism, when your chances of success seem non-existent?

If we knew beyond a shadow of a doubt that our Idea would never be victorious, we would fight no less fanatically for it. What must the world think of a father who preferred the safety of a beach to risking his life against impossible odds for the rescue of his daughter drowning out at sea? Far better to perish in the pursuit of a supremely noble cause, than live in a world without it. Surely, some of us will die, as millions before us. But enough of us will live to carry our Blood Banner to absolute triumph over all our enemies. We are utterly indifferent to when this will happen, because, for us, we are as sure of victory as though it has already happened. Why?

Because men like Adolf Hitler are never born into the world without setting into motion forces which reach ultimate realization long after their deaths. Leonardo di Vinci's airplane did not fly for another four centuries after he invented it; the electrical power Thomas Edison harnessed for the first time is still reaching heights of development more than one hundred years after he lit the first light bulb; the few thousand persons who appreciated Ludwig van Beethoven's music during his lifetime have since been multiplied by many millions. So, too, the racial ideology Adolf Hitler invented is being made more valid and relevant with the rapid decline of Western Civilization, precisely as he predicted.

Trying to cope with a society in which Whites are increasingly marginalized will force them to either embrace Adolf Hitler's legacy or perish. There is no middle ground for survival. But the great quality of our race is its capacity to rebound, often at the last moment, after long periods of decline. The passing of Ancient

Greece was succeeded by the rise of Rome; the European Dark Ages were followed by the Renaissance, etc. All we must do is keep the banner of our Idea flying. Time and the validation of its principles by the deteriorating conditions of a rotting democracy is making and shall make far many more converts to National Socialism.

The purity of this "philosophy of life" shines ever brighter in comparison to the progressive darkening of American society. Inevitably, it must shine out as the lone beacon in a world of black chaos. That realization is enough to make us consecrate our energies and lives to its perpetual flame.

Who is Colin Jordan?

He is Britain's foremost National Socialist. Born 1923, in Birmingham, he grew up to win a university scholarship in history at Warwick. During World War Two, he volunteered in the Royal Air Force against the perceived "invasion" of his country. A lying Winston Churchill had convinced most of his fellow countrymen that "England must save the world from Hitler".

But an incident in 1941 shocked many people into wondering about the real purpose of the war. On May 10th, Rudolf Hess, the second most powerful man in Germany, parachuted alone to Britain in the desperate hope of ending needless bloodshed between the two Aryan peoples. His valiant offer was spurned, and the Deputy Leader of the Third Reich was imprisoned for the rest of his life, dying in confinement forty six years later as the truest martyr for peace the world has ever known.

The plight of Rudolf Hess stirred deep reconsideration in the soul of Colin Jordan, so much so the young RAF man told his superiors that he could no longer support Churchill's war in good faith and agreed with Hess that hostilities should be brought to a swift end through a negotiated settlement. Still, Colin loved his country, and honorably served for the duration as a unit educational instructor in the Royal Army Medical Corps. After the Allies' self-defeating "victory" that allowed Stalin to enslave millions of Eastern Europeans, Jordan resumed his higher education at Cambridge University, from which he graduated with an Honors Degree, in 1949.

Over the next decade, he was first a school teacher, then the representative of a major company in Scotland, but his over-riding passion was the study of Adolf Hitler's "Mein Kampf", which clearly laid out the only principles that could rejuvenate the true spirit of Western Civilization. Marxist upheavals and race riots fifteen years after World War Two convinced Jordan that the time for action had come, so he freely sacrificed his lucrative career by creating the White Defense League, in 1958. Just two years later, it began flying our imperishable Swastika banner as the openly pro-Hitler National Socialist Movement.

Attracting a handful of fellow fighters, he struck public awareness like a comet, generating international attention with his public speeches and demonstrations, which were almost invariably physically attacked by England's numerous Communist thugs. But he and his men fought back, always against overwhelming odds, to survive and gradually win over new followers through the unparalleled bravery of their struggle.

In July, 1962, Jordan spoke at Trafalgar Square to immense crowds of Londoners come to applaud or kill him. The rally erupted into violence, but he and his comrades fought their way through the mob and into worldwide recognition. Later that same year, Lincoln Rockwell, Commander of the American Nazi Party, outwitted agents from Scotland Yard determined to prevent his entry into Britain by meeting secretly with Colin Jordan and his men in the remote Cotswold. There they forged a special alliance, the first of its kind since World War Two. Their agreement resulted in the World Union of National Socialists, which laid the groundwork for worldwide communication and cooperation between comrades everywhere. This multi-lingual web-site and today's NSDAP-AO are living legacies of the Cotswold Agreement made more than forty years ago.

An early accomplishment of that alliance was the first journal of its kind in nearly forty years, "National Socialist World", a quarterly anthology of essays submitted by thoughtful comrades from across the U.S. and overseas. Colin Jordan's erudite article in the premiere issue took American comrades, who knew him only as a street fighter, by surprise for its depth and clarity. In simple, direct language, he pierced the heart of our ideology and brought it up to date for mid-20th Century readers.

For years, he had warned his fellow Britons' of the Jewish tyranny subtly winding its sticky web over their country. The Magan David spider eventually crawled forth from its burrow to weave an insidious "Race Relations Act", which criminalized any public criticism of non-Whites. Colin Jordan was the first to openly defy this kosher affront to liberty, and was imprisoned for ten months. In 1967, despotic legislation became yet more brazen, when even publishing written opinion differing from the new, race-mixing paradigm was outlawed. This

time, he was sentenced to a year-and-a-half behind bars.

While Jordan was incarcerated for having dared to express his opinions in print, Commander Rockwell fell to an assassin's bullet. Deprived of its top English and American leaders, the World Union of National Socialists collapsed. After Jordan's release, he reconstituted the remnants of the dispirited NSM into a new British Movement, which he led into the next decade. Seventeen years of unrelenting struggle eroded his naturally robust health and forced his resignation from the onerous physical demands of personal leadership. In 1975, he retired to his Yorkshire home, where, at age eighty two, he continues to publish a racist newsletter, "Gothic Ripples".

You mentioned Rudolf Hess. Why?

Rudolf Hess was the ideal National Socialist, and, hence, the ideal man. As a young adult, he volunteered for some of the most brutal fighting of World War One, during which he was badly wounded. His quiet, unassuming character contrasted with great personal bravery in the face of murderous odds, qualities that attracted favorable notice in the old Imperial Army, through which he rose to become an officer in the air corps. His courage and skill as a pilot were apparent, but the Armistice went into effect before he could see combat.

Alarmed at the appalling conditions of the postwar world, he attended the speech of a little-known politician whose glowing genius changed his life. Hess became one of the early Stormtroopers, who made it possible for Adolf Hitler to be heard, in spite of all physical opposition. The same personal daring that won Hess the admiration of his Army superiors now gained a similarly healthy respect from his National Socialist comrades. Time and again, he threw caution to the winds by hurling himself against overwhelming numbers of Red and Jew thugs, invariably thrashing them out of the meetings they had come to disrupt.

But Hess was more than a brawny street-fighter. The ordinarily soft-spoken young man possessed a profound grasp of National Socialism, which he articulated with fiery oratory and described in clear prose. These natural talents combined with his organizational skills and easy comradeship to ensure his rapid rise through the ranks to become the man second only in authority to Hitler himself. In 1923, they were jailed for about a year following the violent suppression of the Movement. But they put their time to good use by writing a book, "Mein Kampf" ("My Struggle"), which defined the principles of their "philosophy of life". While Hess took dictation from its more famous author, he also contributed to some of its fundamental ideas. After both men were eventually released, Hess resumed his duties as a vigorous spokesman and organizer.

In 1933, with Hitler's election to Chancellor, his Deputy Fuehrer came to personify the Aryan Revolution itself. He was the standard against which all other comrades measured themselves, because he embodied a balance between ideological purity and tactical compassion. Hess guarded against anything that compromised National Socialist beliefs, in other words, but demonstrated a magnanimity ignored by his detractors. For example, he warned Ernst Roehm, the dissident Stormtroop leader, that the slightest infraction of Party discipline would be severely punished, but restrained more loyal, if over-enthusiastic comrades from rioting against kosher businessmen following the murder of the German ambassador by a Jew assassin in 1938. His reputation for clemency was as deserved as it was well-known. Pleas from the family members of former Communists or even Jewish agitators detained in concentration camps often obtained their release through the Deputy Fuehrer, usually on conditions of strict probation.

As the second most powerful man in Germany, Hess lived with his wife and small son in a modest, downtown apartment, from which he casually walked every workday like a common citizen, minus any bodyguards, to his office at the Party headquarters several blocks away. Although in his forties, he entered several air races, and won an international trophy, competing against skilled pilots almost half his age. His innate sense of humanity, however, could not deter outside agitation for war, though he seized upon every attempt to prevent it. He abhorred the potential for mutual slaughter from which only Stalin could benefit. When, against his best efforts, the conflict erupted in 1939, Hess hoped it might soon end with quick German victories in the West. But Churchill, obedient to his Jewish creditors, preferred the annihilation of the Empire he had sworn to protect over a reasonable peace between Aryan peoples.

With Hitler's Continental crusade against communism about to commence, Hess gave up his prestigious career, beloved home and family by flying a one-way mission to Britain on 10 May 1941. Parachuting alone into Scotland, he hoped to liaison with prominent statesmen in Parliament known to oppose Churchill's war and effect its termination before any more blood on either side could be spilled. Instead, Hess was confined to solitary confinement for the duration, then tried and convicted as a "war criminal". Over the next forty years, he languished in Berlin's enormous Spandau Fortress, as the 20th Century's tragic "Prisoner of Peace". The Talmudic revenge responsible for his imprisonment was so intense, even the will of a United States president, John F. Kennedy, could not gain his release. The Deputy Leader's liberation would have to come from another quarter.

In 1987, Soviet communism was tottering to a fall, and USSR President Gorbachev, seeking to curry favor with the German people, expressed his desire to free Spandau's lone inmate. Afraid the aged prisoner still knew secrets that, if disclosed, would expose how the English people had been duped against their own best interests into waging war against Germany, cowardly British agents strangled the 90-year-old man to death. In so doing, however, they ensured that the martyrdom he suffered energized a revival of the very ideology he epitomized and they despised. Every year, in commemoration of his assassination, mass-demonstrations on his behalf grow larger and louder. His long life and sacrificial death still comprise a power with which to be reckoned – a historical phenomenon that grows exponentially, as the magnitude of his achievement and example inspire generation after generation.

In accordance with the straightforward clarity of the man, his tombstone reads simply, "I dared." It is a motto all comrades touched by and loyal to his memory have taken to heart – to dare and dare again, as he did, for our race and nation.

Why is June 22, 1941 commemorated by National Socialists?

On that day, sixty four years ago, Adolf Hitler's decision to invade the USSR saved Western Civilization. Soviet armies were literally weeks away from launching an all-

out attack through Poland that would have swept across Europe, then on to America. Stalin was about to fulfill the nightmare concocted by Jew Karl Marx and set in motion by Lenin to impose a bloody tyranny on all mankind. "The way to the Red revolution of the world," Lenin declared, "leads over Poland and through Germany." But the Fuehrer beat Stalin to the punch, and would have defeated him, had not America and Britain aided their faltering Communist ally.

Even so, Hitler inflicted such heavy casualties on Russian forces, they were too weak to carry out the old Marxist scheme of domination. In the climactic Battle of Berlin alone, one million Red Army soldiers were killed. Nothing would have been able to stop them from steam-rolling over the European Continent by the early 1940s, had Hitler never arisen to unite the German people in time. Given American military debacles in relative sideshows like Korea, Vietnam, Mogadishu or even Iraq, our politically and racially divided country would have collapsed before the immense war-machine Stalin built just for that purpose. Instead, his armed forces were ground to a halt amid the ruins of Berlin, "the Alamo of the Aryan Race", as George Lincoln Rockwell, founder of American National Socialism, characterized it.

Every White man, woman and child should fall on their knees every June 22nd in gratitude to Adolf Hitler and his incomparably brave soldiers for having prevented their world from becoming a Soviet gulag. As the Fuehrer said of others who gave their lives for his struggle, so it may be said of him: "And yet you have triumphed!"

National Socialists commonly describe non-whites in the U.S. as "America's worst health hazard". Why?

A report issued by the World Health Organization in June, this year, stated that more than one million, one hundred thousand persons in the United States have AIDS. Of that number, according to the same source, between 49% and 51% are black. Hispanics comprise an additional 18%, With Asians and other less numerically significant non-White minorities contributing another 5%. Race-mixed offspring -- the unfortunate outcome of unnatural relations between colored creatures and degenerate "whites" -- were over-looked in the World Health Organization's otherwise comprehensive study, but must nevertheless be included as a contributing factor of approximately 6%, based on the burgeoning number of racial bastards in America. Most of the remaining percentage was, not surprisingly, made up of white homosexuals. The percentage of heterosexual whites who contracted the virus within their own race was barely 3%.

In other words, 97% of AIDS-carrying persons in the U.S. are either non-White, race-mixed or homosexual. Half are black, even though Negroes make up less than 14% of the total national population. In Africa, where the disease originated, countless mulattos have been infected, and are spreading it far beyond the appropriately named "Dark Continent" -- a powerful reason to avoid race-mixing and shun personal contact with anyone partial to Negroes specifically or non-whites generally.

While the disease has been so far mostly confined to America's non-Aryan population, social and sexual relations between whites and non-whites are heavily glamorized by our country's entertainment celebrities, enthusiastically promoted by education officials, blest by church authorities, and praised by government leaders. This combined, relentless propaganda assault on the impressionable minds of young people is psychologically manipulating them into increasing instances of race-mixing, thereby moving AIDS into the white community, with frightful consequences for its teenage victims.

As awful as AIDS may be, however, it is only part of the overall contribution non-Whites are making to civilization in the form of violent crime, urban blight, the dumbing-down of our formerly high educational standards, the poisoning of American culture, and, worst of all, the threat their very presence in society poses to our continued identity and existence as a White Race.

What's wrong with the courts in America?

Nothing more typified the current condition of our country's legal system than a comparison of two trial verdicts announced just last month (June 2005). In the earlier case, Michael Jackson was found "innocent" of all the notorious charges brought against him, even though jurors later admitted they believed he was a pedophile.

A few weeks later, Edgar Ray Killen was convicted of murdering three "civil rights" activists in Mississippi during the mid-1960s, despite constitutional provisions against charging the accused a second time for the same offense. Although originally found "not guilty", he was hauled back into court after forty years, when his conviction amounted to a life sentence for the eighty-year-old defendant. Jurors' post-trial statements affirmed that evidence against him was slim at best, but the climate of revenge stoked against Mr. Killen by the local press created enough hysteria to generate his conviction. In both cases, extra-legal, even illegal forces determined their outcome, due to the putrid political correctness that dominates democratic society. Michael Jackson's celebrity status as the icon of America's race-mixed culture had as much bearing on his verdict as Edgar Ray Killen's former association with the Ku Klux Klan exerted on his.

More fundamentally, Michael Jackson personifies the depths to which mass-entertainment have sunk, wherein celebrity status guarantees immunity from justice. Parallels may be found in the O.J. Simpson trial of ten years ago. Meanwhile, a White man blest by neither fame nor fortune, but unashamed of his racial identity, is tried and re-tried until the desired conviction is obtained, regardless how slim the proof against him. In any healthy society, a freak like Michael Jackson could not exist, while citizens with White self-respect, not the abominations of our race-mixed "pop culture", would be examples for others to emulate.

Recently, British Prime Minister, Tony Blair has been brow-beating other European leaders to not only forgive many trillions of dollars in debt owed by African potentates, but to provide these same corrupt dead-beats additional billions in free aid. He has even corralled U.S. President Bush into forking over more American tax-dollars for the perennially Dark Continent. Why this sudden interest in Africa?

In early July, television networks around the world vigorously promoted a special concert of celebrity performers, all energetically trying to whip up mass-support for an "Aid to Africa" campaign. What these drugged-out non-entities failed to sing about, however, was why Blair and his raceless ilk really want to underwrite such a miserably failed part of the world as Africa.

It was no coincidence that the much ballyhooed "Aid to Africa" concert was sponsored entirely by sub-companies of British Petroleum. With Earth's available oil sources running out, among the last, remaining supplies are still untapped in Africa. But social conditions there are so chaotic and corrupt, corporate work of any kind is unable to function. So, Blair and his fellow petro-plutocrats want to cancel the Dark Continent's astronomical debts, grease the ever-out-stretched palms of tribal chiefs with billions of more dollars to keep the brothers in line, and lavish the Hottentots or Tutzies or Ubangees or whatever with as many modern-day novelties as needed to pacify them, just as 19th Century missionaries plied the natives with cheap trinkets as temporary diversions from natural savagery. Then it was cosmetic mirrors and colored ribbons that sent the cannibals into paroxysms of childish delight; today it's boom-boxes and pimp-hats. Intent and effect are the same; only the toys have changed. All this amounts to nothing more than an investment for European and American oil companies which will only be able to operate in relatively stable social environments.

Following the money-trail is a short walk from the "Aid to Africa" concert to British Petroleum. Intellectually shallow, narrow-minded power-freaks like Blair use high-minded liberalism as propaganda to cover their real intention; namely, to rob the Africans of their natural wealth by buying them off with relatively paltry favors. In doing so, he is no different than his imperialist predecessors, who colonized (i.e., enslaved) so much of the world in the name of the British Empire. During the past, they used military force. Today, they use propaganda subterfuge. It comes down to the same thing: theft.

So long as oil junkies like Blair are allowed to gratify their petroleum addiction at the expense of other peoples, the world will continue to alternate between otherwise needless, unjust wars and economic decline. Sentimental fools emoting over the dime-store humanitarianism of musical creeps may have been easily conned by an "Aid to Africa" concert. But the only "aid" in which Blair A Company are interested is their own, no matter what the cost in lies, suffering or death for the rest of mankind.

Who was Anton Mussert?

He was Holland's foremost National Socialist of the 20th Century. Born on 11 May 1894, in Werkendam, a province of Noord-Brabant, he grew up to become Utrecht's top civil engineer. During the early 1920s, Mussert organized and led the modernization of irrigation and water systems throughout the Netherlands, a success that won him world recognition as an inspired social innovator. Part of his achievement was wresting control of a vital public utility from privately owned companies, largely Jewish, that charged exorbitant fees for fresh water, creating an especially heavy burden for lower class citizens.

It was this experience that opened his eyes to the Jewish Question, with its ramifications for every aspect of civilization. After years of study, he concluded that Europe and the West were being torn between the slavery of capitalism and the tyranny of communism. Weakened internally by the ravages of democratic degeneracy, Aryan man would be crushed under Marxism's military steamroller, as soon as Stalin's preparations were complete. The only hope lay in something that could unite all White people – regardless of their religious, cultural, or border differences – in the name of racial solidarity.

That hope rose in Holland for the first time during early 1931 with the first Dutch translation of Adolf Hitler's "Mein Kampf". It was the answer that Mussert and millions of his fellow countrymen were searching for, so he founded the "Nationaal-Socialistische Besieging" (the NSB, "National Socialist Movement") on 14 December of the same year. Its popular success was instantaneous, and soon multiplied its first dozen members by many thousands. System reaction was predictably repressive, with the arrest of followers on trumped-up or non-existent charges, police beatings of incarcerated supporters, outlawing NSB public demonstrations and selective legislation against free speech for National Socialists. The democratic Establishment's tyranny was bolstered by open Communist aggression in the form of assassinations and street violence to keep Mussert from being heard.

Despite everything his enemies thrust at him, he scored big in the national elections of 1935, narrowly losing as president to the same, corrupt non-entities who opposed clean water in Holland ten years before. Political observers both inside and outside Holland agreed that if the contest had any semblance of fairness, he would have won. He was, in fact, recognized throughout the world as the real leader of the Dutch people, as his reception by Pope Pius XI on 16 June 1936 confirmed. Although the electoral process was, for all practical purposes, closed to the NSB, it continued to grow, becoming the largest political organization in the Netherlands by 1940.

In spring of that year, Hague government officials secretly betrayed their country's often loudly proclaimed neutrality by a covert agreement with Paris politicians to allow French armies on Dutch soil for the purpose of attacking northern Germany. Learning of their duplicitous dealings in time, Hitler launched a preemptive strike

through Holland that saved his country from invasion. Though naturally appalled and deeply saddened by the defeat of their nation, Mussert and his numerous NSB followers understood it had been betrayed by the same political criminals they fought throughout the previous decade. More importantly, the German occupation gave Holland a chance to join the pan-European crusade against Soviet Russia. A year before, Mussert formed the all-Dutch "Vrijwilligerslegioen", or Volunteer Legion, an adjunct of the NSB itself. During the next two years, more Dutch recruits joined the SS-"Freiwilligen-Legion Niederlande" and an infantry regiment of the SS Division "Viking". This outstanding participation of so many volunteers represented an extraordinarily high number in proportion to the Dutch population.

Even the producers of a flagrantly anti-Nazi television series of the mid-1970s, "World at War", admitted that nearly two-thirds of the Dutch population were actively involved in various NSB organizations until the Allied occupation at the close of 1944. Under Mussert, Holland thus had the highest percentage of popular support for National Socialism outside Germany. The Dutch SS men, along with their million other comrades from France, Spain, Scandinavia, even Britain and America, fought like lions on the Eastern Front against Stalin's Mongolian hordes. Among the SS Vikings' most legendary triumphs was the defeat they inflicted on Soviet forces at Seelow Heights, before the last defense of Berlin.

On 7 May 1945, Mussert was arrested by the Allies, who handed him over to their revenge-crazed, puppet politicians, the same men who had needlessly dragged their country into war five years earlier. In November, they subjected him to a sham-trial from which no honest patriot could have expected justice, and he was executed in the Hague one year to the day of his arrest. But they could not so easily kill off the prophesy Mussert made for the future. Today, just as he predicted, Holland is the cesspool of Europe for its flourishing drug trade, legalized prostitution and rampant homosexuality. Its birthrate has been in a tailspin for the last fifteen years, sounding a death-knell made all the louder by the mass-exodus of its young adults and an unrelieved suicide epidemic. This is the country lovers of democracy preferred and still prefer over the clean, livable and uplifting Holland millions of pro-active Dutch of the NSB and SS built in the 1940s.

But the Netherlands has just about hit rock bottom degeneracy, as a natural revolt of its people's healthier natural instinct began to reawaken with the onset of a new century. An overwhelming majority of its citizens is rising up against the sub-human racial sewage that has befouled their civilization. Vibrant sentiments are replacing the self-satisfied apathy of the past, and even the alleged "statesmen" still in control of the Hague have been forced to back-track on uncontrolled immigration of sub-human scum from the dregs of Africa and Indonesia.

Three years ago, a moderate voice for Nordic Holland, Pim Fortune, was murdered by a typical Third World wild man to become a victim, as was the NSB leader before him, of the forces arrayed against Aryan mankind everywhere. As such,

Anton Adriaan Mussert was not only a martyr and prophet for his own land, but a true hero of White men around the globe.

A recent issue of "Newsweek" magazine declared that our times are fast becoming the "Chinese Century". If so, how can we survive such a global transformation?

Two hundred years before "Newsweek", Napoleon categorized China as "a sleeping giant" that, if ever awakened, would terrorize and eventually dominate the world through sheer weight of numbers. For the rest of the 19th Century, most statesmen in the West marginalized "the Yellow Peril" through colonization, the opium trade, and Christian missionary work.

At the turn of the last century, in what may have been the opening shot of a global race war still in the process of spreading, volunteers from Britain, Germany, Italy, France, America and other Aryan lands – prefiguring Hitler's multi-national SS men by forty years – put down a bloody, native attempt to expel all foreigners in the Boxer Rebellion. Among their allies were the Japanese, who were in a far better position to keep China from ever becoming dangerous. Three decades later, they began carving up the Asian mainland as the only viable means of keeping population pressures down in the restricted living space of their native islands. Such colonization, had it been allowed to continue, would have forever prevented China from becoming the world-threatening giant foreseen by Napoleon.

Instead, liberal saps in Britain and America derided Japan's preemptive achievement in the Far East on worthless moral grounds, and stoked the emotional fires of international indignation against "Nip aggression". But such moralistic posturing was actually propaganda window-dressing for the massive arms production they desperately needed to extricate themselves from the Great Depression through war. In fall, 1941, they imposed an oil embargo that guaranteed industrial Japan would collapse within six months, or be forced to defend herself somehow. Hence, the attack on Pearl Harbor. The ensuing war removed the one power capable of preventing China from fulfilling Napoleon's dire prediction.

As long ago as the composition of "Mein Kampf", Adolf Hitler prophesied that without Japanese colonization of Asia, China would go Communist and become a global menace the like of which had not been seen since the days of Ghengis Khan. When he made this forecast in 1924, it elicited only derisive laughter from the political know-it-alls of his day. Yet, they were not laughing just seventy five years later, when Mao Tse Tung united all China in an Oriental despotism that cost the lives of twenty million people.

In their vindictive destruction of Japan, Americans awoke the "sleeping giant" Napoleon warned against. For the next twenty years, they strove to remedy their mistake by containment. But the consequences of their fateful error became so alarming, that even their enemy, Soviet Premiere Nikita Khruchev, was planning

the nuclear annihilation of Red China, just before he was removed from office by Politburo members afraid atomic bombs could not stop a billion Chinese from overwhelming the Russian border. International isolation of the now manifestly real "Yellow Peril" at least sealed it behind the so-called "Bamboo Curtain", until a small-minded American president, hoping to ward off the impeachable consequences of his political indiscretions with a diplomatic diversion, visited Beijing to shake the bloody hands of Mao Tse Tung, thereby personally welcoming Communist China into the community of nations.

During the following decade, a democrat president went even further in opening up hitherto unprecedented economic opportunities to the Red Chinese. In this century, the republican side of America's political shell-game prattled about non-existent "weapons of mass destruction" in Iraq, while pointedly ignoring Beijing's nuclear stock-piling of atomic bombs. He winked at China's Red government leaders, who brutally suppressed any attempt by their own people to remove the shackles of tyranny, as witness massacres like Tianamin Square, or the imprisonment and torture of Falun Gong members desiring a modicum of spiritual freedom.

Bush prates loud and often of "liberating" Iraq from a "brutal dictatorship oppressing its own and nearby peoples", but utters not a word about contemporary Communist genocide in neighboring Tibet. We hear no calls to "liberate" these peoples from a system immeasurably more powerful and wicked than anything Saddam Hussein could have ever operated.

The fearful ramifications of such presidential duplicity are becoming obvious in the relentless absorption of the entire American economy, from Wal-Mart diapers to whole industrial complexes. Economists writing for that same 'Newsweek' issue cited at the beginning of this response projected that by the mid-21st Century, China will have not only surpassed the United States as the world's most powerful economy, but continue to out-distance us by exponential degrees, leaving American manufacturing at a level parallel with today's Mexico or below.

Nixon and Clinton whetted the Red dragon's taste for petroleum, an appetite that has since grown so voracious it is pushing oil prices past record highs. China's mushrooming economy will continue driving up such costs, and, with them, everything related to them, from basics like food transported by a trucking industry dependent on gasoline, to common luxury items, like DVD-players, all made, of course, in China by substance workers or slave laborers, with whom Americans cannot compete and expect to feed themselves. Consequently, not just jobs and pay-checks, but our entire economic existence is being out-sourced overseas to the Chinese.

Coeval with China's economic conquest is its immense and burgeoning war machine. In early August, this year, the ambassador of Japan, made impotent by American aggression in the Pacific sixty years before, asked the Chinese why they felt obliged to construct an armada of deep-sea, missile-firing submarines, since no

one threatens their over-sized country. Yet, their military systems are in a process of continual computer up-grading, because whatever our government has not freely and stupidly given away or shown them, their spies steal from us. Reporters for "Newsweek" write that China has been guilty of more cases of industrial espionage in America than any other nation by a factor of ten-to-one. Beijing proclaimed earlier this year that a manned space-mission to the Moon is nearing completion. The clear implications of this announcement were more than scientific. It means that the Chinese military will soon have or already has intercontinental ballistic missiles capable of delivering nuclear war-heads to virtually any target on the globe

With American and all other Western politicians groveling at the feet of the Chinese giant they awakened, what is behind China's ambition to become the world's foremost super-power? The answer: A deeply rooted hatred of Westerners, going back to the Boxer Rebellion of 1900. Travelers to China know that a more deeply ingrained racist people exists no place else on earth. Revenge against the west has been and continues to be drummed into the consciousness of the Chinese masses from early childhood and beyond by a state-run educational system of uniform indoctrination. Their ultimate goal is to first equal, then surpass, and ultimately crush us economically and/or militarily in this century.

They must inevitably succeed, unless the presently dying spirit of racial self-defense is re-ignited in the hearts of White men and women everywhere. And National Socialism alone possesses the ideological spark that can inflame our will to resist and slay the dragon, like a modern, Aryan Saint George.

You criticized Prime Minister Tony Blair, but hasn't England always been America's best friend?

Last August 3rd, a British Broadcasting Company (B.B.C.) story reported that the government in London secretly gave fissionable material to Israel during the early 1960s for the production of that country's first atomic bomb. U.S. negotiators had then diffused tensions in the Near East by constructing a complex, delicate agreement with Israel and her Arab neighbors based on mutual trust. The Israeli negotiator was shaking hands with his Arab counterparts, as "heavy water" and other essential elements Israel previously lacked to build a nuclear arsenal were arriving in Tel Aviv. In other words, Israel - not Iraq - has had the only "weapons of mass-destruction" in the Near East for the last forty years. Although American officials learned of British duplicity shortly thereafter, they scrambled to conceal it, according to the B.B.C., in the vain hope of maintaining the integrity of their fragile peace agreement. While this comes as "news" to most Americans, existence of Israel's atomic capability has been known throughout the Arab states from the beginning. Because of it, Israel has been able to do as she pleases in Palestine and elsewhere with impunity.

England's underhanded betrayal of her "best friend" was just one example in an unbroken legacy of dishonesty going back centuries. In 1940, U.S. newspapers

carried front-page reports of a supposedly classified Brazilian government dossier on "Hitler's plan to invade Texas from Brazil". Its broad publication caused national outrage and made Americans more inclined to side with England against Germany. In his book, "Churchill's War", historian David Irving was able to trace the origins of the "dossier" to the British Foreign Office, where it had been fabricated and subsequently planted in the Brazilian government

Twenty-five years before, the notorious "Balfour Declaration" was another underhanded deal that tricked Americans into fighting World War One. In their desperate search for allies against Germany, the British solemnly promised territorial sovereignty to all Arab peoples throughout the Near East. London then cut a secret arrangement (through Lord Balfour) with Theodore Herzl, President of the World Zionist Congress, in which U.S. public opinion would be propagandized for war by the country's Zionist-controlled press, in exchange for the formerly sovereign Palestinian lands eventually seized by Israel - the same territories so hotly disputed today, eighty years later. This shameful betrayal is most recently told and thoroughly documented in "Tutankhamun, The Exodus Conspiracy", by British authors Andrew Collins and Chris Ogilvie-Herald (London: Virgin Books, 2002). Given consistent English sabotage in the Near East from World War One to the present Iraq War on behalf of Zionist expansion, recent bombing attacks in London are not surprising.

England supported and profited from the American Civil War. Earlier, our capitol at Washington D.C. was burned, not by Hitler, but the British during their military invasion of our country in the early 19th Century. In our rebellion against their arrogant despotism, they sided with native savages, paying for as many scalps of the colonials - fellow white men, women and children - as the Indians could take. Although hardly a thumbnail sketch, this is the real history of "our best friend".

Britain has been rotting for a very long time. It was already old and decadent when our forefathers fought against it, more than two hundred years ago. Today, the racial sewers of its defunct empire have backed up all over England, quite literally choking the country to death with masses of dark sub-humans from the bowels of Pakistan, Africa, Asia, the Pacific, Indonesia and God knows where else. At the same time, more white residents are leaving to settle permanently outside the country than ever before in its history, while birth rates for British whites is at an all-time low. A fundamental population shift is rapidly taking place there, and even United Nations demographers predicted in 1998 that white people in England would lose their majority status before the end of the present century.

Indeed, the future end of such a state of dissolution is not difficult to predict. Too much international betrayal and evil history have piled up too long in that self-dispossessed land. In "Richard II", Shakespeare has Gaunt declare, "that England that was wont to conquer others hath made a shameful conquest of itself." Britain, on whose Empire the sun never set, is at the twilight of its history, its race-mixed society in free-fall toward oblivion.

Yet, the true, primeval, Nordic British spirit, though faint, is not dead. British National Socialists have nurtured its flame with their lives, from William Joyce, hanged for speaking the truth over Third Reich radio sixty years ago, to Colin Jordan, still fighting England's oligarchy of race-traitors. From even the smallest ideological spark, great explosions of nation-wide revival have come, and will yet come again. The real secret of the Aryan spirit is its unique resilience, a capacity to re-start itself at the very brink of extinction. The fact that this self-starting potential still sleeps in England's Aryan soul means that all prophecies of doom for that sad island are on hold. When it suddenly awakes - most probably, as British history is tolling its last hour - only then will America and the rest of the Aryan World find in England a true friend of trust and racial kinship.

How can National Socialists expect any decent American to support their ideology when Hitler committed history's worst atrocities in World War Two?

The single most infamous war crime of modern times was committed by an American president sixty years ago. On 6 August 1945, an atom bomb exploded over Hiroshima, Japan, killing eighty thousand civilians in a single flash of super-heated light. But they were the lucky ones. Another one hundred twenty thousand people died the slow death of radiation poisoning over the next two decades. The city had no military value, and was, in fact, considered "safe" from enemy attacks by civil defense authorities just for that reason. Consequently, Hiroshima was populated largely by women, children, the elderly, and wounded veterans.

The decision to destroy these helpless people was arrived at for two reasons: In the post war era just around the corner, President Harry Truman and his advisors knew of Soviet ambition to dominate the world after the annihilation of Europe. The deliberate killing of two hundred thousand non-combatants was the only kind of language another mass-murderer like Joseph Stalin could understand. Hiroshima demonstrated to him that he did not have the Bomb, and, no less importantly, a U.S. politician was willing to use it. In fact, this cautionary gesture was wasted on the Communist boss. His military had already been catastrophically depleted in fighting Adolf Hitler, and was, therefore, too feeble to carry out the perverted nightmare of one world under Communism.

Hiroshima's other purpose was to compel the Japanese to prostrate themselves at the mercy of their enemies. For the previous four months, since Germany's collapse, they had been trying to reach an armistice with the Americans, who stopped their ears against anything but unconditional surrender. The Japanese chief concern was that Emperor Hirohito, as the personification of their religion, would not be abused, and otherwise agreed to all other Allied stipulations. "Unconditional surrender" of the Axis powers originated with the Secretary of the Treasury, Henry Morgenthau, and was so successfully promoted by his propagandist, fellow Jew Nathan Kaufman, that it became American war policy. It needlessly prolonged hostilities throughout

both European and Pacific theaters by many months, causing the accumulation of more dead and wounded, many thousands of them American G.I.s.

In the pathologically twisted reasoning of democracy's court historians, apologists for Truman have since argued that the deaths of two hundred thousand guiltless human beings actually saved the lives of at least twice that number of Americans, who would have otherwise fallen trying to invade Japan. In fact, that nation's military had been reduced to ruins long before August 1945. Outside of a handful of airworthy Kamikaze aircraft low on fuel, and an untrained civilian population of old men, women and children armed with bamboo spears, the Japanese had no home army, no industry of any kind left, virtually no navy, some antiquated artillery, but almost no ammunition. Given these military realities, even Japan's most spirited defense could not have cost the American invaders half-a-million or more victims or anything remotely approaching that number. Moreover, invasion was not necessary, as the Japanese had been willing to lay down their arms since the previous May.

In all the abundant published materials documenting Truman's decision to use the Bomb, not a single word was uttered by any political or military authority about the G.I.s they would be saving by its detonation. That assumption (i.e., lie) has been repeated so often it is taken for granted as historical fact, and still used to ease democracy's evil conscience. The ghastly images of Allied victims at Hiroshima have haunted every American generation for the last sixty years. What befell the Japanese could happen to us in a new century where nuclear technology is proliferating throughout the colored "Third World". Fears that the same terrorists who attacked London with conventional explosives last July will inevitably possess atomic bombs in the near future are part of Hiroshima's living legacy, and a long-lived consequence of our country's misguided involvement in World War Two.

As though the obliteration of one defenseless city was not horrific enough, just three days later another civilian population center was decimated, contributing an additional twenty thousand dead with the destruction of Nagasaki. Such atrocities were hardly confined to Asia. Twenty thousand more East European refugees than the first Japanese to die at Hiroshima were burned alive in the Allied fire-storms that engulfed the city of Dresden, famed, not for any arms production, but the manufacture of fine china.

In a video released recently ("The Fog of War"), former U.S. Secretary of Defense, Robert S. MacNamara, quotes General Curtis LaMay, who ordered the fire-bombing of Tokyo, "If we lose this war, we'll all be prosecuted as war criminals." MacNamara agreed. When it comes to wartime atrocities, nothing compares to the Allies' mass-murder of civilians around the world. Americans who enjoy boasting of their country's participation in World War Two like to assume a moral high ground against everyone else. But that place was denied them sixty years ago at cities like Hiroshima, Nagasaki, Dresden and Tokyo.

Patriotic Americans find it fashionable to denigrate all things French and enjoy ridiculing France's military history, since that country's refusal to join President's Bush's attempted "liberation" of Iraq. How do National Socialists view the French, especially after Hitler defeated them in World War Two?

The U.S. armed forces' poor track record from Korea and Viet Nam to Lebanon and Mogadishu leaves Americans little room to belittle the heritage of other peoples. Today's benighted Bushites are too puffed up on parlor patriotism to understand that without the help of France, their own nation would have never come into being. It was the French fleet's blockade of British troops at Yorktown that enabled General Washington's victory at the Revolutionary War's most crucial battle. Yokels guffawing at French military history may be shocked to learn that Napoleon was not, after all, an American.

While insulting a fellow White people is quite correct and even laudable in our society, the merest criticism of Jews or other non-Whites can get you arrested on charges of committing a "hate crime". That alone is proof enough of who really runs America.

In 1940, the French were no less misled by their corrupt politicians than other Allied peoples into fighting their German racial kinsmen. Many French realized they had been betrayed by an international pack of Jewish revenge-freaks, and actively joined Adolf Hitler's fight for a new Europe free from the chauvinistic disputes that kept it divided, and united in the new vision of Aryan solidarity. Most Americans have been brought up to believe that only a few, self-serving "collaborators" had anything to do with the Germans. In fact, pro-Hitler French out-numbered fools and criminals in the Communist-dominated "resistance" movement.

Their leader was Jacques Doriot, born 26 September 1898, in Bresle, Oise, into a lower middle class family. Like many naive teenagers in the early 20th Century, he was deluded into accepting international communism as the only antidote to the materialist excesses and injustices of its ideological cousin, international capitalism. After serving many years as the mayor of Saint-Denis, a Paris suburb, Doriot became a Communist boss in the chamber of deputies. He could not help notice that all the leadership of the Red movement – not only in France, but throughout the world – was composed mainly of Jews, and wondered why this people dominated not only communism, but capitalism, as well. Both, he eventually concluded, were just different ends of the same swindle to victimize Gentiles everywhere. Either claimed to represent "democracy" only to attract naive followers, while imposing a Soviet tyranny in the East and a financial oligarchy in the West.

But a third alternative based on the racial consanguinity of all Aryan peoples, in whatever nation they resided, had arisen to create a global front against these evil twins. In the Third Reich Doriot saw the only hope for the White Race, and

organized the Parti Populaire Français, or French Popular Party, in 1936, on the fundamental principles so clearly spelled out in Adolf Hitler's "Mein Kampf". That same year, Doriot traveled to Spain, where he supported the pan-Aryan fight to smash Stalin's attempted encirclement of Europe, and met the eloquent British Fascist, John Amery. They traveled together through Mussolini's Italy and the Third Reich, closely studying the numerous social and cultural renovations blossoming in those countries, progress they intended to adapt in their own nations. Had they succeeded, the catastrophic war that soon followed would have never taken place.

France, Doriot knew, had been railroaded into a suicidal conflict with Germany, and left in the lurch by Winston Churchill, who ordered his troops to abandon the field without informing Britain's French ally. But military humiliation offered France the unique opportunity to shrug off all those evil or out-dated politicians who brought about defeat, and become part of the Aryan community of peoples. Doriot took to the air waves, and his fiery radio broadcasts helped the French transform their depression into the new hope of racial solidarity. He was labeled a traitor by both Marxists and conservatives, who failed to mention that Doriot had joined the French Army in 1917, participated in active combat during World War I, when he was captured by the Germans and remained a Prisoner of War until 1918. For his wartime service, Doriot was awarded the Croix de Guerre.

With Germany's crusade against Soviet Russia, he founded the Legion Volontaire Française, (LVF), a unit of French volunteers who fought on the Eastern Front alongside their German kinsmen. Doriot himself fought with the LVF and saw active duty, receiving the Iron Cross in 1943 for extreme personal heroism. Even after the LVF was all but destroyed, Doriot fought with the regular German Army against the Soviet barbarians raping and murdering their way into Eastern Europe.

Fighting to the very end for his vision of an Aryan world, Jacques Doriot was killed on 22 February 1945, when his car was strafed by two American fighter planes. With him died one of the greatest French heroes of the 20th Century. But the spirit that transformed him still propels the Cause for which he gave his life toward inevitable victory.



John Amery was mentioned in the last entry. Who was this man?

Contrary to official versions of the past, many millions of Britons opposed their government's declaration of military hostilities against Hitler. Surveys taken in spring, 1940, showed nearly half of the British public opposed "Churchill's War", as described in the 2001 book of the same name by David Irving. John Amery was one of his fellow countrymen who earlier recognized the anti-White forces mobilizing not only against England, but the whole of Western Civilization. In 1937, he gave up his privileged life-style as the son of a wealthy and politically powerful father, Leopold Stennett Amery, former First Lord of the Admiralty and Colonial Secretary, who later became the Secretary of State for India and Burma under Churchill.

The twenty-five year old son volunteered instead to risk his life running guns on the battlefields of the Spanish Civil War. For his consistent bravery under fire, John would be awarded the medal of honor while serving as an intelligence officer with Italians fighting in General Francisco Franco's anti-communist crusade. Their struggle and eventual victory convinced the young Amery that Spain had been the first phase in Stalin's attempt to dominate the globe, a goal only Aryan mankind united through National Socialism with its own world-transforming ideology could successfully oppose. It was at this time that he made personal contacts with many leading Fascists, including Jacques Doriot, while acting as a liaison officer with the Cagoulards, Franco's French volunteers.

After the Nationalist victory, Amery stayed on in Spain, at San Sebastian, to help rebuild that devastated country. With the outbreak of the Second World War, however, he traveled to the Third Reich, where he had a personal meeting with Adolf Hitler. The Fuehrer was favorably impressed with the articulate young man, and allowed him to remain in Germany as a guest. Uncomfortable as a mere spectator to historically unfolding events, Amery requested permission to broadcast appeals for peace on international radio.

In the first of his numerous transmissions to Allied forces, on 3 November 1942, he said, "Listeners will wonder what an Englishman is doing on the German radio tonight. You can imagine that before taking this step I hoped that someone better qualified than me would come forward. I dared to believe that some ray of common sense, some appreciation of our priceless civilization would guide the counsels of Mr. Churchill's government. Unfortunately, this has not been the case. It came as a great shock to me when I heard that England and Soviet Russia had become allies. So much so that I thought that the people responsible in London were acting in a manner that no longer coincided with British imperial interests.

"For two years living in a neutral country, I have been able to see through the haze of propaganda to reach something which my conscience tells me is the truth. That is why I come forward tonight without any political label, without any bias, but just simply as an Englishman to say to you: a crime is being committed against civilization. Not only the priceless heritage of our fathers, of our seamen, of our Empire builders is being thrown away in a war that serves no British interests. But Britain's alliance-leader, Stalin, dreams of nothing but the destruction of that heritage of our fathers. Morally, this is a stain on our honor. Practically, it can only lead sooner or later to disaster, to a disintegration of all the values we cherish most.

"Your leaders say Germany seeks world domination. Did it ever enter your mind that this is but another trick of that long-planned strategy of Jewish propaganda? However fantastic it may sound, the German Army is at this moment the only thing that stands between Communism and you! If that rampart collapsed, your liberties would be a vague souvenir of a happy past."

Desiring to take a more active role in the fight, Amery established the Legion of St. George, on Hitler's fifty-fourth birthday, 20 April 1943. Its purpose was to enlist British prisoners-of-war against the Soviet threat. In his first message to prospective volunteers, he declared, "Thousands of our fellow countrymen are in prison in the home country, because they have declared themselves against this fratricidal war. In violation of the Habeas Corpus Act and the fundamental laws of our constitution, these men have never been brought to trial or even allowed to see a lawyer.

"Europe and our country, your wives and children at home, are menaced by the invasion of the hordes of Bolshevik barbarity. For this reason, I have approached the German government with the proposal to form a British Legion Against Bolshevism, to be known as the Legion of St. George. I appeal to all Britishers to answer this call to arms for the defense of the principles that we Englishmen have been the first to proclaim in the world. The St. George Legion will fight only against the Communists and on no other front! Within the limits of the military possibilities, the Legion of St George will fight at the junction of the German-Finnish front, beside the troops of the undaunted, liberty-loving Finnish people. Hundreds of soldiers have already volunteered to join this Legion. Three Royal Air Force airplanes have come over to us so far with their arms and equipment It is up to you civilians to give a hand to show that we intend to take our responsibilities to

maintain the integrity of our Empire, by giving the world proof that we have not all sold out to the Jew or plutocrat!"

Reconstituted under the Waffen-SS as the British Free Corps, eleven hundred p.o.w.s volunteered, some seeing action near the west bank of the Oder River against invading Soviet hordes, in January, 1945. Later, they drove trucks, directed traffic, and assisted the evacuation of civilians from the Neustrelitz and Reinershagen area.

"We of the British Free Corps are fighting for YOU," Amery stated "We are fighting with the best of Europe's youth to preserve our European civilization and our common cultural heritage from the menace of Jewish Communism.

"Make no mistake about it! Europe includes England. Should Soviet Russia ever overcome Germany and the other European countries fighting with her, nothing on this Earth would save the Continent from Communism, and our own country would sooner or later succumb. We are British. We love England and all it stands for. Most of us have fought on the battlefields of France, of Libya, Greece and Italy, and many of our best comrades in arms are lying there – sacrificed in this war of Jewish revenge. We felt then that we were being lied to and betrayed. Now we know it for certain.

"This conflict between England and Germany is racial suicide. We must unite and take up arms against the common enemy. We ask you to join with us in our struggle. We ask you to come into our ranks and fight shoulder to shoulder with us for Europe and for England."

In the final months of the war, Amery made speeches and radio broadcasts on behalf of Benito Mussolini in northern Italy. It was there that he was captured in April 1945 by Communist partisans, who handed him over to British authorities. They charged him with high treason, even though Free Corps volunteers swore never to fight fellow Englishmen, but only Soviet forces. Moreover, Amery, in all his speeches and aired statements, never urged surrender, but only preached against the immorality of Churchill's War.

Amery was summarily condemned with a speed that shocked even a writer for "The Times": "Within eight minutes of entering the dock, he was sentenced to be hanged. No one may say Mr. Amery was accorded anything approaching a fair trial. Instead, the process more resembled a drumhead court-martial, except, of course, the accused in this case was never in any military. He took the sentence with complete composure. He bowed to Mr. Justice Humphreys when he was brought into Court, and also after sentence had been passed. While the proceedings (such as they were) lasted, he appeared most of the time to have half a smile on his face."

His transparently unjust treatment called down bitter criticism on the court throughout the Commonwealth. Jan Smuts, the South African President, sent an

urgent message to the British Government, "Please convey to Mr. Attlee (Prime Minister Clement Attlee) a private and personal message from me as soon as possible in connection with the possible execution of Amery's son. We have had similar cases in South Africa, in none of which execution has been inflicted, as the acts were more of an ideological than of a criminal character. I am deeply moved by consideration for Amery and his wife, both of whom have deserved well of their country."

Five days later, on 19 December 1945, John Amery was the victim of legalized murder. In the years since then, the British Empire he warned was in mortal danger has completely unraveled, and England, formerly the most powerful nation on Earth, reduced to a shadow of her former greatness. In far better condition is the National Socialist worldview, for which Amery gave his life, but, in so doing, memorialized his name and sacrifice for us and future generations of White revolutionaries.

What is to be made of the natural disaster in New Orleans?

It is a glimpse into the future, a scene from America's coming social collapse. Multiply by a factor of one hundred the combined breakdown of authority at New Orleans with the wild anarchy of its colored denizens, and the shape of things to come is self-evident. Louisiana's deluge graphically demonstrated the fragility of equalitarian society, when its black darlings took advantage of the cataclysm to set fires, shoot at relief helicopters and as always in situations like these, generally went ape.

Such behavior is unthinkable in civilized countries like Japan, whose people are not exactly unfamiliar with natural upheavals. Unlike Congoid Americans, the Japanese invariably help, not victimize each other. Even the racial low-lives who survived last December's Indian Ocean tsunami did not behave as badly as our home-grown barbarians, spoiled by generations of government hand-outs and pro-Negro propaganda. Their typical behavior only underscores the old observation, "You can take the savage out of the jungle, but you can't take the jungle out of the savage."

We should learn further from Mother Nature, who only tried to flush out the sub-human trash from a city long over-burdened by the wretched refuse of West Africa.

Hitler and his followers are portrayed by Hollywood stereotypes as humorless militarists. If not, give an example of National Socialist wit.

A woman walks into a San Francisco curio shop. Looking around at its merchandise, she notices the realistic, life-sized statue of a rat. The unusual artwork has no price tag, but is so striking she decides she must have it.

"How much for the bronze rat?", she asks the owner.

"Twelve dollars, a hundred dollars for the story," he says, and she gives him twelve dollars. "I'll just take the statue, thank you. You can keep the story."

As the woman walks out of his shop carrying the statue, she notices that a few real rats have crawled out of alleys and sewers, and begun following her down the street. This is a bit disconcerting, so she begins walking a little faster. Within a couple blocks, the group of rats behind her grows to over a hundred, and they are squealing. She starts to trot toward the Bay, takes a nervous look around, and sees that the rats now number in the thousands – maybe millions. They are all squealing and coming toward her faster and faster. Terrified, the woman runs to the shore. There, she throws the bronze rat as far out into the Bay as she can. Amazingly, the millions of rats jump into the water after it, and are all drowned.

"Ah, ha!," the owner exclaims as she walks through the front door of his curio shop again, "I'll bet you've returned for the story?"

"No," says the woman. "I came back to see if you have a bronze Jew."

With the death in late September of Simon Wiesenthal, he was lauded throughout the world's newsmedia as a foremost "Nazi hunter". What was his role in the Holocaust?

The term, "Holocaust", referring to the deliberate attempt by Hitler's government to exterminate the Jews, was coined, not during the war or immediately after it, but thirty years later, when the term suddenly occurred to a Madison Avenue-type propagandist as a gimmick perpetuating sympathy for the Jews and all their causes, particularly Israel. Interestingly, the three chief Allied histories of that conflict – Eisenhower's "Crusade in Europe", Churchill's "The Second World War", and De Gaulle's "Memoirs de Guerre" – all fail to mention a single word about any alleged "Holocaust". 1948's "Encyclopedia Americana" was typical for its time, when, in a hostile description of Germany, its contributing editor stated that the Nazis killed "several hundred thousand Jews", a far cry from the alleged six million victims.

Indeed, almost as soon as hostilities ended, historians were aware that such an event could never have taken place. An early investigator was the French scholar, Paul Rassenier, certainly no friend of the Third Reich, who discovered in the 1950s that the simple logistics of collecting and housing six million persons for extermination, at a time when all German-held roads and railway networks were subject to incessant air attack, were not physically possible. Rassenier had himself been imprisoned by the German authorities during 1943 for smuggling Jews into Switzerland. He was deported to first Buchenwald, then Dora, two of the most notorious "death camps".

After the war, the French government awarded him the Medaille de la Resistance and the Reconnaissance Francaise, so he could hardly be described as a "Holocaust denier" or "Nazi sympathizer", labels applied to anyone who dares question the Six

Million legend. Rassenier's exhaustive, impartial, if not pro-Jewish investigation found that the concentration camp facilities supposedly used to gas hundreds of thousands of persons on a weekly basis were preposterously inadequate. His observations were verified by Dr. Charles Lawson, a leading forensic pathologist in the U.S. assigned to the Judge Advocate General's Department, for which he performed literally hundreds of autopsies at more than twenty alleged "death camps" throughout Germany. "Never was a single case of poison gas uncovered," he told reporters for "The Wichita Eagle" (KS) on 1 April 1980. "Virtually all the persons I examined died of typhus, which swept eastern Europe in the last months of the war."

Devotees of the Holocaust generally concur that it did not supposedly begin until 1942. Yet, the Polish Jew, Rafael Lemkin, published "Axis Rule in Occupied Europe" the following year. Already by that time, he asserted that six million Jews had been murdered by the Nazis, who, one must then presume, killed no more Jews for the next three years of the war! In any case, Lemkin's irrational number was cited by Soviet prosecutors at the Nuremberg Trials, in 1946, and henceforward adopted as the official Holocaust tally.

Even higher figures were once toted for the Holocaust. Simon Wiesenthal, the most far-famed Holocaust enthusiast, originally claimed Hitler killed eleven million Jews. This lump sum is still repeated in public education classes for American children as "the real figure". But according to University of Chicago professor, Peter Novick, 'The Israeli historian, Yehuda Bauer, reports that Wiesenthal acknowledged to him in a private conversation that he simply invented it'. Later, the 11-million figure was reduced to Lemkin's fictional amount, because it was deemed too incredible for acceptance by even the most benighted Judaeophile. As such, Simon Wiesenthal's legacy is the biggest lie ever told.

Why is the U.S. unable to conquer Iraq?

America won every war it entered until the mid-20th century, because its armed forces always used to be White. The relatively few non-Whites allowed to serve were either relegated to minor duties, like kitchen help, as orderlies, etc., or commanded entirely by White officers. For obvious reasons, the Jews wanted the U.S. to win World War Two; hence, strict racial segregation prevailed throughout all the services. The Jews did not waste time. Immediately after the Second World War, President Harry Truman appointed Anna M. Rosenberg to head up "manpower" (!) in the armed forces. Her first act was to desegregate them. She was so successful in her efforts that the number of blacks recruited into the military jumped to unprecedented levels. Rosenberg's new, racially mixed army did not have long to wait for its first test. Just five years after America defeated the great German and Japanese war-machines, her army fell apart on the battlefields of Korea.

Fellow black soldiers were notorious for their insubordination and unwillingness "to die for the white man". Many went over to the North Korean Communists, who

promised a world where Negroes would be regarded as equals, able to loaf at the state's expense, and have sex with whomever they chose. Easily suckered by such childish propaganda, black deserters were disproportionately far more numerous than white traitors. More importantly, blacks were generally regarded as unreliable by the average G.I., a lethal deficit on the battlefield. Added to the U.S. soldier's racial liability was his lack of any clear distinction between the equalitarian democracy he was supposed to die for and the equalitarian communism he was told to fight. These racial and ideological considerations confused and weakened U.S. resistance in the face of a racially united foe. After three years, America gave up, leaving in place a broken country beyond mending, and a communist regime in the north. That is the legacy of America's Korean War failure we live with today, more than fifty years later.

Viet Nam was little more than a replay of Korea, with the added subversion of American troops by a Jewish newsmedia partial to the communist Viet Cong. Today, especially since the Clinton administration, the U.S. armed forces have become a testing ground for every species of political correctness. They are awash in blacks, hispanics, racial low-lives of every stripe, lesbians, homosexuals, and Abu-Grad psychopaths, cheek to jowl with decent White men trying to make the best of a bad situation. It is no wonder that this mish-mash of military misfits, no matter how many duty-conscious White men among them, find victory in Iraq elusive. Going on three years after their Commander-in-Chief congratulated them on winning the war, more of them have been killed or wounded than during the intensive fighting that opened the invasion.

Touted as the most powerful military power in history, the U.S. is unable to subdue an ill-equipped insurgency. By contrast, Mussolini's Fascists, out-numbered three-to-one and cut off from outside help by League of Nations' sanctions, conquered Ethiopia in less than seven months, spoiling the predictions of military experts around the world, who were certain the Italians would need more than a year to overcome the colored hordes of Haile Selassie. Hitler took Poland in less than a month, despite the Poles' million-man army. He and Mussolini won these comparable campaigns, because they commanded racially and ideologically united armed forces.

America, with its polyglot military services of fashionable racial and sexual "diversity", cannot prevail over a backward people defending themselves with little more than homemade bombs and small arms fire. The lesson for our country is painfully clear: If our country is to successfully defend itself against a REAL outside threat someday – like the growing Chinese colossus – we will have to rely for our survival on something other than the inept conglomeration of social experiments that today passes for the U.S. armed forces. In other words, only an all-White military, led by Aryan commanders, is what our country needs and deserves to survive.

You've told us about National Socialism in the U.S. and Europe, but has it ever made any impact on Canada?

Adolf Hitler's popular mandate from the German people on 30 January 1933 inspired White men around the world to similarly liberate their own homelands from Jewish domination. Like millions of others on both sides of the Atlantic Ocean, Adrien Arcand found in National Socialism the revolutionary ideology that alone could galvanize the masses of his fellow Canadians for their own racial preservation.

The Quebec-born journalist began with what he did best – write – and launched several, different newspapers aimed at reaching all levels of society, from young children and college students, to working class readers and house wives. The best read among these periodicals were *Le Goglu*, *Le Miroir*, and *Le Chameau*. Beautifully written, ruthlessly truthful and spiced with biting humor, they were widely circulated, with hundreds of thousands of subscribers. So influential had the printed word of Adrien Arcand become, that he received financial support from Richard Bedford Bennett, the Canadian Prime Minister. In 1934, Arcand sought to transform his huge readership into the Parti national social chrétien (PNSC), or National Social Christian Party. Through it, he advocated the creation of a race-conscious society, and liquidation of the capitalist-communist swindle by deportation of Canadian Jews to Hudson Bay.

When Bennett left office, Arcand lost his most powerful friend, but the PNSC continued to expand with followers in the millions. As war clouds gathered over Europe, Arcand warned that the obvious Jewish conspiracy to destroy the Third Reich would unleash evil forces throughout the Western World that would ultimately lead to its own downfall: "The opportunity Adolf Hitler has given all Aryan mankind through the example of his social success in Germany is not only our sole opportunity to avoid the internal collapse of modern civilization. It represents the beginning of an unprecedented golden age of Aryan brotherhood that transcends cultural, class and border differences. It is imperative, therefore, that we prevent ourselves from involvement in murdering the greatest hope humanity has ever been offered, just to satisfy the revenge of a few, powerful Jews who are no longer able to suck the life-blood out of Europe."

Despite all his efforts at peace, his country was dragged into war to save Churchill by a Canadian government that had been captured by race-traitors interested only in fulfilling their own Zionist schemes. They arrested Arcand on 30 May 1940 in Montreal for "plotting to overthrow the state". Of course, he had never advocated nor acted for such a purpose. The opposite was actually happening: The Jewish authorities who arrested him were overthrowing the Gentile government. As some measure of the high-level support Arcand still enjoyed, another future Canadian Prime Minister, Pierre Trudeau, and the Conservative leader, George Drew, vigorously protested his arrest, although even these powerful figures had lost their influence to change the destiny of either Arcand or Canada. A law-abiding citizen his whole life long, Arcand was condemned to languish in prison, vilified as "a

security threat" to his beloved country for having spoken the truth about Canada's criminal involvement in World War Two.

Inside the internment camp, Arcand was so deeply revered by his fellow inmates they constructed a special chair for him, a kind of makeshift throne, from which he could "rule in Hell rather than serve in the 'Heaven' of political correctness." But five years behind bars did not break his spirit.

Upon his release, with the promise of the Third Reich shattered by militant ignorance and hatred, he twice ran for the Canadian House of Commons, winning second place with nearly a third of the vote, in the 1949 federal elections. Four years later, he placed second again, having raised his popular support to almost forty per cent of the vote. These political showings attested not only to his unbroken will and stated belief in Adolf Hitler, but the continuing appeal of his uncompromised National Socialist beliefs to millions of his fellow countrymen. On 14 November 1965, he addressed a crowd of about 1,000 enthusiastic followers from all over the nation at the Centre Paul-Sauvé, in Montreal. The rally proved that, even at 66 years of age, he could still inspire listeners with his ideal of "the Great White North".

Adrien Arcand died two years later, on 2 August, in the conviction that he had set Canada on the path which would ultimately lead her into a future community of all Aryan peoples.

Did Canada produce any other notable National Socialists?

During the 1930s, John Ross Taylor played a key role organizing Adrien Arcand's *National Social Christian Party* throughout English Canada. Born into a well-known Toronto family, Taylor later joined the *Canadian Union of Fascists*, where he was an eloquent opponent of Jewish war-mongers and their Commie-Capitalist conspiracy to destroy Hitler's Germany. As some measure of his popular impact, he was imprisoned as "a security risk" by the same government authorities who needlessly sent thousands of his fellow countrymen to their deaths on European battlefields.

Following his release after the tragic conclusion of World War Two, Taylor resumed his racial activism, placing fourth in a field of six candidates for the Canadian House of Commons in 1974's federal election. Two years later, he founded and led the *Western Guard Party*, which won nation-wide attention and popular support for its "White Power Hot-Line". This was a recorded telephone message used to promote the National Socialist world-view and comment on current events from our perspective. Never once did he even suggest violence as an acceptable measure, nor employ any "racial epithets", however much they were deserved by the anti-Aryan Jews and their colored pawns.

Although Taylor's enemies owned, operated, or influenced all of Canada's newspapers, magazines, radio and television networks, they would not allow a single

telephone answering device to challenge their otherwise dominant news media empire. His brief spoken words reached no more than a few hundred listeners daily, against the many millions of persons swamped round-the-clock by a vast public information system at the beckon call of liberal-Marxist propagandists. Nothing could more dramatically unveil the inherent weakness and falsehood of their raceless hate-mongering. What else could explain their fear of a single, two-minute recorded message?

In 1979, intolerant despots sitting in judgment at the Orwellian "Canadian Human Rights Commission" ordered Taylor to permanently disconnect his "White Power Hot-Line". His country, like ours, had been founded on the immutable principle of freedom of expression. So, like any self-respecting patriot, he defiantly refused to obey the intolerant tyrants. They twice found him guilty of contempt of court, and sentenced him to prison for half-a-year. After his release in March 1982, he was again jailed under the anti-White "Canadian Human Rights Act". With the passage of this incredibly suppressive legislation, Canada became a Bolshevik-style dictatorship, in which anything perceived by the authorities as criticism of the Jews or their dark minions was a felony offense. Political correctness had become the law of the land enforced by police and prisons.

Taylor alone had the guts to challenge this totalitarian state of affairs, taking his fight for freedom to the nation's highest governing body. In 1990, the Supreme Court of Canada upheld the ruling against him, thereby permanently installing a Jewish dictatorship. Canada had fallen a long way from a scant fifty-five years before, when a Canadian Prime Minister could fund National Socialism.

Having tried for six decades to legally work for the White Race by working within the corrupt democratic system, Taylor despaired for the future of his people, and crossed the border into Montana. There he joined *Aryan Nations*, a community of racial comrades from all around the world (see "Richard Butler" in this Q&A feature). Finding solace among ideological compatriots, Taylor spent his last few years in personal fulfillment, finally recognized and appreciated for his long-time struggle by fellow revolutionaries who understood and valued him. Ailing at age 84, he asked them to send him home to his beloved Canada, where he died penniless on 6 November 1994, in a Calgary boarding house.

John Ross Taylor's life, however, was incomparably rich with heroic self-sacrifice and exemplary honor. It is a legacy we, his followers, have fatefully inherited from one of our Continent's greatest fighters for truth, freedom and the preservation of our race.

According to news media reporters, Nazis recently caused a race riot in Ohio. What really happened?

On 15 October 2005, members of the *National Socialist Movement* demonstrated against the racial decomposition of Toledo. Until twenty years ago, the specific part

of town chosen for their rally was an attractive neighborhood built over past decades by mostly Polish-American families. It has since been over-run by Negro slum-makers who reduced the once clean streets where Aryan children formerly played to trash-heaped battle-grounds for black gang-bangers. Unable to survive in such an urban jungle, most of the original residents fled for their lives. Left behind were a few White people, most of them elderly, too poor to escape. The same, tragic pattern has been repeated a thousand times across our country ever since integrationist fanatics began imposing their perverse dogma of false equality on America. This was the issue National Socialists – and no one else – dared to address.

In cooperation with local law enforcement, they agreed to abide by mutually satisfactory arrangements stipulated by city police days before the scheduled rally. Although the NSM leaders faithfully followed these pre-arranged agreements, the System authorities, typically, did not. They prevented most National Socialists from joining the demonstration in the hope our comrades would be overwhelmed by the sheer weight of numbers thrown at them by their enemies in the streets. These consisted of colorful sub-humans from the *International Socialist Organization* and something called the "*One People's Project and Northeast Federation Of Anarchist Communists*" gathered under the banner of the "*Anti-Racist Action*" (ARA). Although forty of these assorted low-lives confronted just a dozen Stormtroopers, the odds were not weighted heavily enough in favor of the Reds, who hung back, afraid to attack. That came later, when a cowardly mob of some one hundred twenty incited Spooks fell on a few individual National Socialists in the crowd.

During the riot that ensued, the police were beaten back by volleys of rocks and eggs hurled at them by ARA savages. Thus encouraged, the black mob suddenly swelled with neighborhood support from fellow tribesmen, who seized the chaos as an opportunity to do what came naturally to them; namely, to invade local stores, burn buildings, and attack any Whites that got in their way. A newspaper reporter was severely beaten and his jeep destroyed. By the time police reinforcements arrived to arrest hundreds of Afro-rioters loaded down with looted goods, the Toledo neighborhood was aglow with the flames of racial equality. It was New Orleans after Hurricane Katrina all over again, only this time in Ohio.

Resultant publicity afforded the *National Socialist Movement* worldwide attention. While that coverage was predictably hostile and biased, the news media masters could not avoid showing clean-cut Aryan men defying simian crowds of black arsonists with signs proclaiming, "White People, Unite – Fight for your Race!" Public response the NSM received has been overwhelmingly supportive. Even liberals were appalled that the National Socialists were denied their First Amendment rights to free expression by howling mobs. "In life," said NSM Commander Jeff Schoep, "there are two types of people: the ones who talk tough and do nothing, and the ones who act and make a difference."

For a complete report on the Toledo confrontations, contact the National Socialist Movement, P.O. Box 580669, Minneapolis, MN 55458; telephone (651) 659-6307; website <http://www.nsm88.com>

Alan Greenspan, Federal Reserve chief, is being retired. What is the Federal Reserve?

It is no more "federal" than "Federal Express". The so-called "Federal Reserve" is a group of private businessmen who have been pulling our national pursestrings for ninety-two years. With passage of the Federal Reserve Act in 1913, the hitherto exclusive right of Congress to issue and control money in the United States was usurped by a cabal of international banking powers, specifically Kuhn, Loeb and Company, headed by financial wizard Jacob Schiff. Together with other Jewish capitalists – most notably, the Warburg brothers – they literally took over our country's financial existence, rendering the U.S. Constitution a dead letter. Ever since, most Federal Reserve chairmen have been Jewish, like Greenspan – this in a country where Jews comprise less than three per cent of the U.S. population.

Results of their domination were immediately apparent. To make good on Federal Reserve investment in Britain's fight with the Kaiser, Americans were dragged into World War One, which left thousands of our men dead and wounded. Other Federal Reserve-sponsored catastrophes followed: the Great Depression, World War Two, progressive weakening of the dollar, Vietnam, Iraq, and a host of related calamities, most recently, the manipulation of gasoline prices. Although public discussion of its real nature is one of those taboo subjects, never to be spoken of in the similarly Jewized news media, the Federal Reserve as an evil government-within-the-government has been known since its inception. Henry Ford exposed it in his company newspaper, "The Dearborn Independent", throughout the 1920s, and Senator Henry Cabot Lodge (MA) tried to stop its passage. Congressman Louis T. McFadden (PA) brought formal charges against the Board of Governors of the Federal Reserve Bank system and the Secretary of United States Treasury for numerous criminal acts, including conspiracy and treason, on 23 May 1933.

As Chairman of the Banking and Currency Committee for more than ten years, McFadden certainly knew what he was talking about, when he said, "Mr. Chairman, we have in this country one of the most corrupt institutions the world has ever known. I refer to the Federal Reserve Board and the Federal Reserve Banks. Some people think that the Federal Reserve bankrolls United States government institutions. On the contrary, they are private monopolies, which prey upon the people of these United States for the benefit of themselves and their foreign customers; foreign and domestic speculators and swindlers; and rich and predatory moneylenders.

"Those bankers created a reign of terror in Russia with our money in order to help that war along. They financed Trotsky's (Leon Trotsky, real name Lev Bronstein, a New York Jew) passage from New York to Russia so that he might assist in the

destruction of the Russian Empire. They fomented and instigated the Russian Revolution, and placed a large fund of American dollars at Trotsky's disposal in one of their branch banks in Sweden. Mr. Chairman, the Fed are the agents of the foreign central banks. They use our bank depositors' money for the benefit of their foreign principals.

"Mr. Chairman, I believe that the national bank depositors of these United States have a right to know what the Fed are doing with their money. There are millions of national bank depositors in the country who do not know that a percentage of every dollar they deposit in a member bank of the Fed goes automatically to American agents of the foreign banks and that all their deposits can be paid away to foreigners without their knowledge or consent by the crooked machinery of the Fed and the questionable practices of the Fed. The Fed have been International Banks from the beginning, with these United States as their enforced banker and supplier of currency. But it is none the less extraordinary to see these twelve private credit monopolies, buying the debts of foreigners against foreigners, in all parts of the world and asking the government of these United States for new issues of Fed notes in exchange for them.

"The government and the people of these United States have been swindled by swindlers deluxe to whom the acquisition of American or a parcel of Fed Notes presented no more difficulty than the drawing up of a worthless paper by sharpers with strong banking 'fence' on this side of the water, a 'fence' acting as a receiver of a worthless paper coming from abroad, endorsing it and getting the currency out of the Fed for it as quickly as possible, exchanging that currency for gold, and in turn transmitting the gold to its foreign confederates. The magnitude of the acceptance racket as it has been developed by the Fed, their foreign correspondents, and the predatory European-born (i.e. Jewish) bankers, who set up the Fed here and taught your own, by and of pirates, how to loot the people.

"The man who knows or suspects that a crime has been committed and who conceals and covers up that crime is an accessory to it. Mr. Speaker, it is a monstrous thing for this great nation of people to have its destinies presided over by a traitorous government board acting in secret concert with international usurers. Every effort has been made by the Fed to conceal its powers – but the truth is – the Fed has usurped the Government. It controls everything here and it controls all of our foreign relations. It makes and breaks governments at will. No man and no body of men is more entrenched in power than the arrogant credit monopoly which operated the Fed.

"We need to destroy the Fed wherein our national reserves are impounded for the benefit of foreigners. The Fed should be repealed, and the Fed Banks, having violated their charters, should be liquidated immediately. Faithless government officials who have violated their oaths of office should be impeached and brought to trial. Unless this is done by us, I predict that the American people, outraged,

pillaged, insulted and betrayed as they are in their own land, will rise in their wrath, and will sweep the money changers out of the temple."

Congressman McFadden's eloquent exposure of the Federal Reserve could not restrain its avaricious masterminds from instituting the plutocracy that today runs America. But here, as in every other host-nation where their arrogance got the better of them, they have gone too far. The Jewish instinctual lust for unbridled power through control of Gentile wealth is their undoing, as more Americans are pushed ever closer to the poverty line and toward White Revolution.

The Federal Reserve Act and the Federal Income Tax both became law in the same year. Is there a connection between the two?

For almost one hundred years, Americans prospered while paying very few taxes. From the late 18th to mid-19th centuries, our ancestors were allowed to keep virtually all the income they earned, because the framers of their constitutional republic regarded the rights of private property as inviolable. In opposition to the excessive taxation imposed on their people by foreign tyrants, our Founding Fathers established free enterprise, with strong emphasis on individual liberty. As Thomas Jefferson affirmed, the best government was the least government, and therefore the least costly.

Federal expenses were adequately covered by taxes on luxury goods, mostly alcohol, carriages, sugar, tobacco, auctioned-off property, corporate bonds, and slaves. Even during the War of 1812, revenue needed to pay for national defense was raised through collected import duties and sold public lands and by taxing gold, silverware, jewelry and watches. Ever jealous of our liberties, even this form of light taxation was deemed un-Constitutional and abolished by what was then an all-Aryan congress after the war. Henceforward, our government was funded only by the collection of tariffs from imports.

As a result, our national economy flourished, poverty was the lowest on earth, the U.S. produced more millionaires than any other country in history; the middle class broadened with unprecedented prosperity, absorbing and shrinking the lower classes; and luxury goods became available to a broad spectrum of the American people. Until then, the United States was composed of a predominantly Gentile, unabashedly race-conscious population. But with the beginning of Jewish immigration in the mid-19th century, national attitudes of individual liberty began to change.

The shift in Aryan consciousness was reflected in Washington, D.C.'s first income tax used to pay for the Civil War. Its lowest tax rate was a flat 3%, applied to workers earning between \$600 and \$10,000 a year. The next highest tax rate was 5%, levied on income exceeding \$10,000. For Americans in the North earning a higher dollar amount, the rates were increased accordingly. Additional sales and excise taxes were added, and an "inheritance" tax also made its debut. An office of

"Commissioner of Internal Revenue" was created to assess, levy, and collect taxes, with the right to enforce the tax laws through seizure of property and income and through prosecution.

Just two years later, as the cost of fighting the south burgeoned, the federal government raised rates. Now anyone earning \$600 to \$5000 paid 5%, while persons with an income over \$5000 paid 10%. Every taxpayer had to submit a list of their income as well as a list of any taxable property they might have to the tax assessor before the first Monday in May. Fines were imposed on people who failed to abide by the tax laws, which, in turn, generated additional expenses through the growing bureaucracy required to handle records and enforcement.

By war's end, internal revenue reached its highest amount in the nation's 90-year history, when more than \$310 million were collected. Americans in the North were suffering under a tax burden far more oppressive than anything imposed on their forefathers by Britain's King George in the previous century. Our free republic had been swiftly transformed into a tax-gathering corporation only because they had been emotionally swept up in the mindless fervor of patriotism, enthusiastically handing over their liberty to defeat the rebels.

But congress, still a bastion of Aryan thought, did away with the imposed income tax after the War for Southern Independence, once again taxing luxury goods, tobacco and alcohol for revenue. In sharp contrast to today's U.S. Supreme Court, in 1895, its nine White men condemned the income tax as unconstitutional. And so it remained until the 16th Amendment to the Constitution was ratified on 25 February 1913. It is illegal if only because at least eleven states did not vote on it. More importantly, it struck at the very heart of the U.S. Constitution, which was designed to protect individual sovereignty against government greed. The unconstitutional amendment was nevertheless passed for a secret cause; namely, World War One, which its international planners had scheduled for the following year.

Accordingly, by the end of that needless conflict, annual internal revenue collections for the first time passed the billion-dollar mark, rising to \$5.4 billion by 1920. With the advent of another war for covert, ulterior motives, employment increased, thereby ending the depression, which was the real reason President Franklin D. Roosevelt embroiled our country in overseas conflict. In 1939, he had the federal tax laws codified for the first time as an integral part of the U.S. Code. The Internal Revenue Service became law, and tax collections jumped to an unheard of \$7.3 billion. Another nail had been hammered into the coffin of freedom.

At first, the average American did not notice much of a change, paid his taxes without too much difficulty, and was, therefore, not inclined to protest the evil precedent that had been set against his liberty and that of future generations. Learning from the patriotic emotion that had blurred the common sense of Northerners during the Civil War, Roosevelt used popular chauvinistic sentiments in the midst of the Second World War to thoughtlessly support the kind of income

tax tyranny which has oppressed us ever since. With the defeat of his forces in North Africa at places like Kassarene Pass and u-boats sinking more ships than he could build off the very coasts of America, his aggression against Germany was not going well. Ostensibly to overcome this dilemma, in 1943, F.D.R. pushed his withholding tax on wages through a congress cowed by fear of appearing less than patriotic. The number of taxpayers suddenly jumped to 60 million and tax collections to \$43 billion by 1945. In other words, the Federal Income Tax, as we know it today, is a direct result of America's attempt to destroy Adolf Hitler, and another evil consequence of our country's misguided involvement to save the Jews in World War Two.

During the immediate postwar period, many in congress argued vehemently for the repeal of the federal income tax, and especially its withholding section, which had been supposedly instituted only to raise enough funds to win World War Two. By then, however, the Internal Revenue Service had grown too powerful to be defied, even by congressmen. Popular fear and resentment of the I.R.S. eventually became so widespread that System officials enacted the largest tax cut in U.S. history in 1981, approximately \$750 billion over six years. In reality, the apparent generosity was a smokescreen to disguise a pair of tax acts, in 1982 and 1984, which attempted to raise approximately \$265 billion. The I.R.S. had grown into a self-sustaining, insatiable monster, ruining the lives of thousands of Americans having difficulty paying or unable to pay what was often an overwhelming tax burden. The federal government became the precise opposite of the constitutional republic invented by our Founding Fathers – an end in itself.

Most recently, the Economic Growth and Tax Relief Reconciliation Act of 2001 represented President Bush's growing stranglehold on middle income taxpayers, who must assume burden for repealed estate tax provisions by now paying capital gains income tax on decedent's built in gain.

The Federal Income Tax and Federal Reserve System need American involvement in war and crisis to survive and grow. Hence, their simultaneous births in 1913 were both part of the First World War that immediately followed as the first in a series of wars fought throughout the last century, including the present quagmire in Iraq. Intentional peace and domestic prosperity are antithetical to their very existence.

Today, the most serious crime ordinary citizen can commit is failure to cough up demands made by the I.R.S. Tax evaders are doled out more ferocious punishment than murderers or rapists. National Socialists believe the Federal Income Tax should be abolished as an illegal, tyrannical burden imposed on our people to pay for their own exploitation and eventual extermination by transnational power-freaks.

How can we explain the rioting going on in France?

The revenge-obsessed haters of Adolf Hitler years ago released a feature film, based on a book of the same title, called, "Is Paris Burning?" This question was allegedly asked by the Fuehrer of his military commanders, who were supposed to have burned the French capital to the ground rather than allow its "liberation" by Anglo-American forces in World War Two. As even anti-Nazi historians realize, no such question was asked, because he never issued orders for the city's destruction. Although this non-event did not stop Jew propagandists from churning out generations of books and movies on the subject for Gentile consumption, it is ironic that Paris was burned, not by Hitler, but by those very forces he opposed all his life; namely, non-Whites.

All multi-racial societies inevitably collapse in violence. The nation-wide insurrection of North Africans across France foreshadows the downfall of that land and identical consequences for any Aryan society foolish enough to imagine that colored immigrants are nothing more than Whites with dark skin. French response to the trouble painfully demonstrated how far this formerly great people have sunk. The rioting was actually allowed to spread, because it went unopposed. After local police were overwhelmed, Paris government leaders refrained from calling out the next line of defense – the army – for fear, in their own words, of "provoking the rioters". Such temerity is to be expected from ephemeral politicians. But the real disappointment was the French themselves. There was not one man among them with the guts to fight back. And that is the real cause of France's doom. When a people can no longer muster the courage to defend its own life, it has written off all rights to its continued existence.

For the last fifty years, the French have not only allowed millions upon millions of racial low-life from around the world to settle on European soil, but lavished them with luxuries and basic necessities these perennially dissatisfied free-loaders never knew in Africa or Asia. While traitors in government worked hard at race-mixing France as quickly and thoroughly as possible, the majority – as majorities everywhere – regarded their own dissolution with bovine indifference. But neither racial treason nor bourgeois apathy were the determining factor in the downfall of France.

Resistance to non-White immigration came only from conservatives who fought the enemy on his terms - namely, by running in elections. Results were predictably dismal. Far worse, those race-conscious patriots who could have been radicalized into the kind of revolutionary fervor alone needed to overcome so dire a situation as the miscegenation of a people, were misled into the electoral process, as officially acceptable as it was unavailing. Since total failure on the part of conservatives to vote the colored hordes out of France, no one there has shown the physical courage required to save their country from internal collapse. As a consequence of such cowardice, Europe is today crawling with more than twenty million non-Whites, most of them from Africa, with more on the way. Meanwhile, the Aryan birthrate in Europe has declined to its lowest level since World War Two.

Most Americans are unaware that the French authorities have imposed the most severe penalties on anyone offering the merest hint of "racism". Hefty fines and long-term imprisonment are meted out to critics of colored immigration and its bloody consequences. What can be said for a people fettered by such laws against their own self-defense, while mobs of non-Whites rampage across a formerly happy land? The world is witnessing in France a White people paralyzed by liberalism in the face of racial violence.

Unless the French can somehow summon from their genetic heritage the likes of a racist Napoleon, they will continue to be overwhelmed by the destructive forces incinerating their country. As such, the national rioting there is an object lesson and warning for White people everywhere: Yesterday, New Orleans. Today, France. Tomorrow, the whole Western World.

What's behind drug addition in the United States?

The rosy picture of multi-racial peace and harmony constantly depicted on television is at odds with reality. Contrasting this manufactured idyll are the 94 million crimes committed daily in the United States, a rate that has tripled over the last 35 years. A source for much of American crime is found in Mexican gangs, who control the drug trade in our country.

According to Clifford Kiracofe, a Virginia journalist and staffer for the U.S. Senate Committee on Foreign Relations from 1987 to 1992, "By June, 1997, the threat from the 'Mexican Foundation,' a group of several large drug trafficking organizations – had reached such proportions that the DEA (Drug Enforcement Agency) informed Congress it had superseded the Colombian-based Cali Cartel as a national security threat. The Mexican drug mafia now has a close working relationship with violent alien 'street gangs' operating in the United States, law enforcement officials say. Today, Mexico is the transit station for 70% of the cocaine, a quarter of the heroin, 80% of the marijuana and 90% of the ephedrine used to make methamphetamine in the United States. U.S. cocaine traffic accounts for more money than is spent in the entire U.S. criminal justice system."

He was seconded by Michael Horn, DEA's chief of international operations, in his testimony before the U.S. Senate Committee on Foreign Relations: "To put things into perspective, the most conservative estimate of the annual U.S. market of cocaine is \$55 billion. Now, our annual budget for the entire U.S. criminal justice system is \$45 billion. Today, we have shipments of 4,000 and 5,000 pounds of cocaine being brought into our country from Mexico and warehoused here. Although Colombians still control and facilitate significant portions of the drug trafficking, the sophisticated, organized criminal groups from Mexico have eclipsed the drug-trafficking criminals from Cali and Medellin, Colombia, as the greatest law enforcement threat facing the United States."

For almost the first two hundred years of U.S. history, racial segregation confined illegal drugs to the "bad neighborhoods" inhabited by blacks and Latins. As a consequence, the scourge of addiction was unknown among White Americans. But with promotion of inter-racial relations by film and television producers, educators, churchmen and government leaders, narcotics spread throughout our people like a plague. Abuse of mind-altering substances is endemic to colored "culture", as it has been for centuries. Young Whites are particularly vulnerable, because "minorities" have been incessantly held up for them to admire and emulate as superior creatures since birth. In short, America is over-dosing on colored low-life.

Moreover, America's so-called "democratic society", with its equalitarian superstitions, is inherently incapable of appealing to the healthier instincts of young people. They crave genuine role models – not "Gangsta Rappers" – to provide real leadership. Racially kindred mentors, who provide them with self-esteem through racial identity, White pride. Deprived of this natural desire to find themselves in their race, adolescent energies turn in on themselves, degenerate into the modern American Dream of self-indulgence, of which drug addiction is a logical consequence. It cannot be stressed too forcefully that the narcotic poisoning of our youth originates in the putrescent environment of America's colored communities – a poisoning aided and abetted by negrophile liberals and Latin-loving traitors, who continue to insidiously create the atmosphere for inter-racial relations.

The toll in dead children and ruined lives – already in the millions – continues to erode the existence of White America. In the main, efforts to reverse this suicidal trend continue to fail, which comes as no surprise, since the same intolerant fools promoting the very causes of this on-going catastrophe – namely, uninspiring democracy and love of colored mongrels – can hardly be expected to solve it. Indeed, Americans have long ago lost the once ballyhooed "War on Drugs", which seems to have been quietly nudged aside to make way for the present "War on Terrorism", just as it eclipsed the '60s' "War on Poverty", another failed attempt to salve the ills endemic to democracy.

How long U.S. society can endure the unrelieved drugging of its youth – its future generations – no one knows. More certainly, any people which allows the consistent poisoning of its children is unquestionably finite, if only because such a degraded population no longer deserves to survive. Are the chances of America kicking generations of drug addiction any better than a Meth-amphetamine junkie breaking out of his downward spiral toward self-destruction? The microcosm parallels the macrocosm.

Only after the evil infection of sub-human contagion has been radically cleansed from the U.S., together with all the matchless fools enamored of cannibal culture, and our young people re-discover their lost sense of identity in racial pride, will Americans regain their right to exist. If not, uncontrolled drug addiction will culminate in their extinction, the unavoidable fate of all hopeless addicts.

If our colored citizens are a persecuted minority in this country, why are so many of them on television?

Negroes are the non-Whites most often seen on television, even though they officially comprise only 12% of the U.S. population. Yet, foreign viewers would be led to believe that at least half Americans are black. Televised appearances by Latinos and Asians are likewise disproportionate to their actual share of the population. If all these racial minorities (they out-number Whites in the outside world by nine to one odds) were accurately depicted in terms of their real-life percentages, our television screens would be far less dark.

By far the most over-represented of all minorities are Jews. While their absolute domination of the media as executives and producers is hardly a secret, their numbers in front the camera are nothing short of prodigious (perhaps 30% or more), compared to their tiny share of the national population. The number of Jews in the United States is approximately six million (Ah, that perennial figure again!), about 3%.

Nowhere is this insane dichotomy more apparent than in the capital of Minnesota. Every front page of its leading newspaper, "The Pioneer Press", consistently showcases colored people, mostly Negro, invariably depicted as heroic role models or innocent victims aimed at eliciting reader admiration or sympathy. Very often, its front page is devoted exclusively to these sub-human specimens. Anyone seeing a copy of "The Pioneer Press" could be excused for concluding that St. Paul is predominantly black, with huge brown and yellow communities, including a few, numerically insignificant White residents, all of whom are wildly in love with their colored brothers.

Reality is precisely the opposite, however. Minnesota's capital and its suburbs are overwhelmingly Nordic, the descendants of families from all over Scandinavia! Its combined colored population (while admittedly growing rapidly) is under 5%. Yet, the impression made by daily issues of 'The Pioneer Press' is of a largely non-White city. Although an illustrative example of the problem, this insidious rag is by no means alone. Identical newspapers are found in every state.

But how can we explain such an extreme disparity between the images uniformly presented by America's public information media and real life? The intention of the propagandists who publish our newspapers and produce our television programs is self-evidently clear – to create and sustain a social atmosphere for race-mixing. By repeatedly portraying Negroes and other naturally repulsive species as belonging to a delightful, attractive majority (however non-existent), the goal of miscegenating the Aryan Race to extinction is on its way toward fulfillment.

This Big Lie technique, if professionally promoted over time, erodes our people's racial instinct for self-preservation. It has happened before. Civilizations like Egypt, Persia, or Pakistan were, in turn, the super-powers of their day. All have since been

consigned to the trash-heap of History, because they are no longer White. The same is in store for us, so long as the anti-Aryan haters who dominate most sources of information are allowed to get away with their unending lies. Their goal is to transform the United States into another Mexico or India.

That is the America they have in mind for us and our children. And until at least enough of our fellow countrymen recognize this dreadful design for the future, they are riding a fast-track toward the same kind of oblivion that over-took societies far older and wiser than ours.

Who is David Irving, and why is he in jail?

He is today's most famous historian, with some dozen books published in numerous foreign editions over the last forty years. His "Destruction of Dresden" and "Hitler's War" have been universally acclaimed for decades, and he is internationally celebrated as the foremost living authority on World War Two.

Like other conventional investigators, David Irving presumed that the Nazis' deliberate extermination of six million endearing Jews in gas ovens was incontrovertible fact. That is, until he tried to verify the alleged "holocaust" himself. He found he could not, and, more importantly, learned that history's greatest non-event was being used as propaganda to squeeze many billions of Marks out of the German people to support the rogue-state of Israel, and scotch any potential Gentile opposition to Zionist agendas.

Unlike his colleagues, however, Irving had the courage to tell the truth about the Jewish Big Lie, going so far as to publicly offer a thousand-pound note to anyone who could provide a single scrap of legitimate evidence proving the "holocaust" actually happened. In the fifteen years since he posted that reward, there have been no takers. Instead, Irving has been ostracized from mainstream publishing, hauled into court before hostile judges, and fined tens of thousands of dollars by Jews and Judeophiles.

On 11 November, he was speaking before a student fraternity in Vienna, when police raided the closed lecture, and took the renowned scholar into custody on a warrant issued for his arrest, sixteen years ago. Brought in chains before an Austrian magistrate, the 67-year-old British citizen was charged with "defaming the dead" and "denying the Holocaust". Now, Americans might find it incredible that anyone in a so-called "democracy" could be actually arrested by the authorities for speaking his opinions in a small, private meeting.

But in Austria, as throughout most of Europe, the merest criticism of Jews, or any suggestion that six million of them were not murdered after all, is a felony offense comparable to murder. Indeed, penal sentences doled out to "Holocaust deniers" are equivalent to, and usually stiffer than, those given to convicted killers. If found guilty, Irving could face a sentence of up to twenty years confinement. Ineligible for

bail, he must wait out Christmas and New Year's behind bars until his trial in early 2006.

In the meantime, he was surprised to find copies of two of his books in the Graz prison library. "Hitler's War" has already been mentioned, but "The Destruction of Convoy PQ-17" told of Anglo-American efforts to support Communist tyranny during World War Two. Both volumes were confiscated from their incarcerated author.

"Revisionists have no place in the libraries of judicial institutes!" warned Christoph Poechinger, a spokesman for Austria's justice minister. Frightened for the mere presence of the illegal books in his prison's 6,400-volume library, warden Josef Adam whined it was "not possible to know exactly" how they got there. "Now we will dispose of them," he said cringing before Poechinger.

While the outside world celebrates the blessings of Christmas and a New Year, a man sits alone in a foreign prison for having dared to speak the truth in an age of the Big Lie.

Now that the religious holidays are over, do National Socialists plan on banning any of them?

National Socialists care about religion only in so far as it affects our Aryan Race. As such, what others choose to believe or how they express their beliefs are entirely up to them.

In development for the last 2,000 years, Christmas is a genuine tradition. The same holds true for Hanuka, which is even older. Both are rooted in the different races from which they spring, and, therefore, expressions of two, particular peoples with their own cultural identities. While every folk is entitled to solemnize its traditions in a manner that suits it best, the observance of such holidays nevertheless reflects on its participants. As Plato stated, "Show me how a people celebrate, and I'll show you what they are."

Christmas honors the birth of Jesus Christ, but Hanuka is not a religious festival at all, commemorating as it does an entirely historical event – the death of a despised Gentile, in 163 B.C. Less than a century-and-a-half before, Alexander the Great had conquered Syria, where he was applauded by the Jews for allowing them to enjoy the same freedoms enjoyed by others. In similar behavior before and since, the Jews eventually abused their liberties by trying to seize power in Syria, while Alexander's political descendant, Antiochus IV, was engaged in a foreign military campaign. With victory in his grasp, he was forced to abort his invasion of Egypt, and wheeled his armies about to sack Jerusalem. Personally leading his forces into battle, he vowed to punish Jewish treachery, but fell ill, and died before fulfilling his dream. This is the "holiday" enjoyed by the Jews, as millions of unknowing Christians feel

warm-heartedly disposed toward them for their supposedly kindred spiritual feelings at the same time of year.

In reality, Hanuka celebrates immemorial hatred for Jew-conscious goyim, as personified by Antiochus IV, from his time in the 2nd Century B.C. to ours. During Hanuka, for example, children play with *dreidels* (ritual tops), inscribed on four sides with the initials of various ancient Gentile peoples – the despised Greeks, Romans, Persians and Babylonians – all of whom died out long ago, while Israel still lives (<http://scheinerman.net/judaism/hanuka/dreidel.html>).

The obvious intent of this insidious toy is to instill and perpetuate in Jewish young a deep resentment of our Aryan ancestors and of ourselves. As such, Hanuka is opposed to the "peace on earth, good will toward men" of Christmas. Hanuka means "dedication" to eternal hatred of the wicked Gentile.

Hardly less mean-spirited, although far more ridiculous and recent, is Kwanzaa. Each December, the entire news- and entertainment-media gushes with sentiment for the spiritual richness of this ancient holiday, the Afro-American version of Christmas. In fact, its origins go back only to 1966 (A.D. that is), not to Africa, but southern California. There, it was concocted as "the Black antidote to White Christmas" by a self-proclaimed Negro racist, convicted felon and sadist, Ron N. Everett, who changed his name to Maulana Karenga. Five years later, he was found guilty, along with a number of fellow savages, of having tortured two women with soldering iron and vise.

Apparently, such heinous behavior was not considered a particularly serious offense by the state's ultra-libel courts, especially if the accused belonged to favored minority status, and Everett, or Karenga, or whatever, was released after only three years. His crimes and incarceration found favor with self-hating White men at the Department of Black Studies, California State University (Long Beach), where he was hired as Chairman, a position he still holds. Everett also runs "The Organization Us", whose "Amos 'n Andy"-style motto runs, "Anywhere we are, Us is."

He claims the origins of Kwanzaa lie in "the first harvest celebrations of Africa." These, he says, are "recorded in African history as far back as ancient Egypt and Nubia." In reality, Egyptian and Nubian harvest festivals took place according to the rise and fall of the Nile River, and had nothing whatsoever to do with the Winter Solstice.

Karenga (a.k.a. Everett) includes two ears of maize for Kwanzaa celebrations. Yet, maize is a New World plant, unknown to Africans until White people returned with it to the Old World from America 500 years ago. In Kwanzaa celebrations, maize is referred to as *muindi*, a Swahili word, because, according to Everett, Swahili is "a Pan-African language", and "the most widely spoken African language." Actually, Swahili is hardly a representative African language, as it includes so many Arabic,

Persian, and Hindu words, it would be unintelligible without them. Moreover, Swahili is known to only 7% of the African population. Its vast majority does not even have a language of its own, but mostly speaks Arabic. So much for any "Pan-African language".

And for Kwanzaa – an outlandish, transparently artificial, historically baseless hoax, if there ever was one. It is just the sort of ludicrous monstrosity one might expect from the primitive brains of sub-humans trying to ape the White man's culture. Even so, we do not begrudge them their Kwanzaa, or Hanuka or any other goofy festivals in which they strive to work out their own ethnic psychoses. These ceremonial lunacies are innocuous affairs, more worthy of laughter than alarm, unless they are used by the haters of everything White to promote their not-so-subtle agendas for culturally and psychologically undermining our own racial identity.

Isn't living in a democracy wonderful?

Actually, many persons find it quite difficult to live at all in a democracy which demands equality at any cost between civilized White people and colored savages. For example, on 15 December 2005, the National Center for Health Statistics (Washington, D.C.) revealed just how hazardous living can be in a multi-racial state where reluctance to gush with admiration for Negro or Latino sub-humans is equivalent to spitting on the Bible.

It revealed that the leading cause of death for pregnant women in the United States is murder. For infants and toddlers up to 3 years old, and children between 8 and 15 years, homicide is fourth among the ten major causes of death, surpassing lethal drug abuse, cancer, influenza, pneumonia and heart disease. If the young American is lucky enough to survive into adulthood, his chances of being murdered jump to third place, between 25 and 34 years of age.

Our phony equalitarian society is so materialistic, depressing and un-fulfilling, the study continues, suicide has become the third greatest cause of death among grammar school children, high school students and young adults. For Americans between 25 and 34 years old, it climbs to second place, ranking just beneath traffic accidents.

NCHS conclusions are complimented by the latest Uniform Crime Reports published by the Federal Bureau of Investigation. They show that blacks are responsible for more than 80% of violent crime in the U.S., even though they officially comprise just 12% of its national population. The remaining 20%, particularly those involving narcotics, are largely committed by Mexicans, Puerto Ricans and other non-Whites, mostly Hispanic. The report goes on to reveal that over 90% of America's racial violence is "black on white".

These appalling statistics clearly define a civilization in free-fall toward oblivion. Persons ignorant of the past may shrug off such information, claiming there have always been problems of this kind and always will be, so long as "social injustice" and "inequality" are allowed to exist. But it was precisely when America WAS strictly segregated and race-conscious, from its inception more than two hundred years ago until the onslaught of liberalism in the early 1960s, that crime and suicide nowhere nearly approached the epidemic proportions we suffer.

Children growing up in the pre-war Third Reich and Fascist Italy did not have to face the grim statistics of murder and suicide taking their toll of young Americans during the early 21st century. Since their destruction, these lands have been inundated by the same colored hordes that afflict our country, with precisely the same, homicidal consequences. To the liberal mentality, of course, it is invariably the failure of wicked Whites, whether in Europe or the U.S., to appreciate the sterling qualities of mud peoples from the cesspools of Africa or India. This is an old trick, for the criminal to assume victim status, the strategy of every sub-human envious of Aryan greatness.

Racial inequalities and their attendant violence are inherent in all multi-racial societies. But they cannot exist in an all-White society, our ultimate goal.

Shouldn't we support our troops, because they are protecting America from an outside threat?

They would be put to far better use at our southern border against the real enemies of America.

In a New Years' BBC interview with the head of U.S. Immigration Services (Washington, D.C.), William O'Conner revealed that 180,000 aliens were prevented from illegally crossing into our country during 2005 alone. "Five times that number," he admitted, "slipped through our fingers last year, because we simply do not have anywhere near enough man-power to keep them out. Of the 180,000 we did catch, 80,000 were Mexicans, The rest came from all over Central and South America. While most end up in the United States, many move on to Canada."

Their impact on our way of life has been severe in the extreme. Their filthy urban population centers are expanding at an exponential rate. So much so, places like Los Angeles or Houston are beginning to less resemble American cities than Third World toilets reeking with squalor and crime. Their greasy denizens are not becoming "Americanized", as liberals insist, but they are instead transforming America into Mexico.

We agree with growing demands to "bring the troops home," where they should be posted along the Rio Grand with orders to "shoot on sight" any attempted invasion of our country by racial aliens. That would certainly solve our country's immigration problem in the most thorough fashion!

Shouldn't Iran be punished for trying to build a nuclear bomb?

That is certainly what propagandists in the federal government and newsmedia would have us believe. Since the 1980s, they have brow-beaten Iran for its alleged stranglehold on world oil supplies. But now that this Middle Eastern country is trying to come up with an alternative energy source, Iran's effort is portrayed as "a menace to international peace" by these same loathsome hypocrites.

Although the Iranians insist their development of atomic power includes no military application, they would be thoroughly justified in equipping themselves with a nuclear deterrent. Lost in all the double-standard alarm shouted by Bush and his fellow Jew-stooges is the unpleasant fact that Israel alone of all the lands in the Near East has been equipped with an atomic arsenal for the last forty years.

Bush repeats that Iran's possession of nuclear weapons would be "an intolerable threat". Yet, he represents the only nation in history that actually *used* A-bombs -- not once, but twice, within a few weeks of each other -- to incinerate more than a hundred thousand defenseless Japanese civilians, mostly women and children. Never even alluding to that unprecedented war-crime, the kosher President and his hooked-nosed ilk believe it is just fine for the U.S., Red China, and Israel to stockpile nuclear arms, but not other peoples less enamored of Capitalism, Communism, or Zionism.

The Shabez-goy neo-Cons and their Jewish puppeteers have been beating the drum for sanctions as a standard prelude to war against Iran since 2001. In their insatiable greed for petroleum, they covet that land's natural oil resources, just as they did in Iraq, with catastrophic consequences.

Emotionally engineered agitation against Iran is a familiar smoke-screen to cover transformation of the Near East into an Israeli imperium, the centerpiece of their Talmudic New World Order.

It should come as no surprise, then, that the Iranian President has called for Israel to be "wiped off the map". He knows that arrogant rogue-state has confronted his country -- and, indeed, every Arab people -- with a question of "to-be-or-not-to-be". Mahmoud Amadinejad is today alone of all statesmen in publicly expressing this unmentionable truth, and has therefore been singled out for destruction by transnational Zionist criminals. They brook no interference in their determination to set up their centuries-old dream of an Israeli world-empire. It will be in reality a blood-soaked nightmare requiring the endless sacrifice of Gentile dead, just as U.S. casualties and Iraqi civilian victims continue to pile up in Bush's on-going military debacle.

Why is January 30th so important to National Socialists?

On that day, seventy-three years ago, the German people voted Adolf Hitler into power. The popular mandate they gave him was unlike any election before or since. It signified the first, national triumph of an ideology that cleared away all barriers to the preservation of Aryan man's existence, while leading him toward the fulfillment of his natural, godlike potential.

To put 30 January 1933 into perspective, if Adolf Hitler had never been elected to office, his Communist nemesis would have launched a bid for world dictatorship just eight years later. Since the internal collapse of the USSR, thousands of period source materials never before available to Western historians have come to light. They abundantly document Joseph Stalin's readiness to embark on a planet-wide operation to subdue Europe and America by military force, beginning no later than mid-summer, 1941. Over the preceding two decades, he had harnessed Russian industry exclusively toward realizing the old Talmudic dream of an "international workers' paradise".

If Hitler had not beaten him to the punch, the Soviet tyrant would have sent his Red Armies -the largest on earth – crashing across Poland and into Central Europe. Without the frantic German rearmament inaugurated by the Fuehrer, the Marxist hordes would have flooded across the continent unopposed and easily parachuted into a hopelessly overwhelmed Britain before year's end. The United States, already weakened by the Great Depression, would have stood alone against an outside world dominated by Stalin.

What could we have expected from such a global catastrophe? Forty million Gentiles, from the Ukraine to the Baltic, were in fact murdered by the Soviets, while tens of millions more were enslaved behind the Iron Curtain for half a century after World War Two. But the self-sacrificing struggle National Socialist heroes carried out so bloodied Stalin's armed forces, he was unable to pursue his plan for global subjugation.

As such, every Aryan man, woman and child on Earth should fall to their knees every January 30th in heartfelt thanks to Adolf Hitler for having saved their lives and prevented their country from having become a Soviet gulag. He gave us instead his purifying vision of an all-White world, free from the forces he retarded, though could not entirely exterminate, a task left to us, his ideological descendants.

But wasn't Hitler's biggest blunder attacking Russia?

Nikolai Lenin predicted that the moment it took hold in any country, Communism would spread like global wildfire, resulting in "a world dictatorship of the proletariat". When he seized Russia in 1917, his prediction seemed to be coming true, as Lenin's fellow rat-faced geeks took over many parts of Europe and ran international mass-movements of social misfits, duped fools and arrogant power-freaks. There were the Jewish leaders Bela Kuhn in Hungary, Karl Liebknecht in

Germany, Leon Blum in France and Bernstein in Italy, together with Jewesses Anna Pauka in Romania and Emma Golden in the United States.

But their criminal revolution was stopped dead in its tracks when Benito Mussolini triumphed with Fascism in Italy and Adolf Hitler rescued Germany thereafter with National Socialism. Communist hopes were further dashed as both men teamed up in Spain to crush all hopes for the Soviet capture of Western Europe through Iberia. It was then that Joseph Stalin and his ilk publicly renounced international revolution as the means of achieving their goals as "no longer practical". Privately, however, they had been planning another, more direct method to impose a "workers paradise" on humanity: military take-over.

By 1939, Stalin commanded the largest armed forces, in both manpower and machines, on Earth. His tank army alone was larger than all of Europe's combined armored divisions, and the Red Air Force outnumbered Germany's Luftwaffe by twelve-to-one odds. He planned to smash through the Polish frontier during the early 1940s in his bid for Lenin's old dream of One World Under Communism.

A prescient Adolf Hitler had foreseen this rising threat to Western Civilization from the very beginning of his National Socialist Movement, which aimed at the racial unification of first the German people, then all Aryan mankind to save themselves before it was too late. But the Jews in France, England and America would not have it, so they agitated for war against him. To prevent his country from being surrounded, he concluded a temporary "non-aggression pact" with the Soviets, which suited them just fine. While they continued to stockpile their already prodigious military establishment, their intended victims in Europe fought each other, softening themselves up for Stalin's intended invasion of the West.

By 1941, with Germany and Italy distracted by their life-and-death struggle with Britain, he decided that the moment to strike was rapidly approaching. Because of its optimum dry conditions allowing the movement of ground forces, particularly tanks, he chose early August for the start of his Communist crusade. But his scheme dissolved in chaos when Hitler jumped the gun on June 22nd.

Over the next year and a half, the Soviet colossus was battered to its knees by Wehrmacht soldiers and their comrades from Italy, Finland, Spain, France, Latvia. Holland, Croatia and numerous other European allies, including the Russian people themselves. The Fuehrer wanted to end the Campaign after advancing as far as the Ural Mountains, where he would leave behind a strong garrison against any future Mongolian threat, then swing his armies around to confront the Anglo-Americans with a peace offer they would have found hard to decline.

Fully aware that his numerically limited forces were unable to occupy all of Russia's vast territories, he planned to allow the various Baltic and Eastern peoples to sort themselves out into independent, nationalistic states, much as they have since the collapse of the USSR during the 1990s. Hitler's goals had always been the

destruction of Communism and opening the Ukraine to European settlement. These priorities were grounded in historical fact, because Germans had for centuries contributed to Russian development. Known as the *Wolgadeutsche*, they were invited to settle along that famous river by Czar Peter the Great, since he highly valued their agricultural and technological skills. In his war-time "Table Talk", Hitler preferred the Dutch over his own people as ideal colonizers, who would better transform the Ukraine from a dismal Soviet gulag into the new bread-basket of Europe.

This eminently sensible dream was sabotaged by traitors in his own military intelligence (the *Abwehr*), who funneled Wehrmacht battle plans to the Soviets. They were thus able to inflict a crippling defeat on Axis forces at Stalingrad in late January 1943. The losses in men and materiel were so great, everyone realized that Germany did not have the industrial capacity to make up for them and regain the initiative, especially with Britain and America fighting to save their Communist allies.

In February, the Third Reich's ambassador, Joachim von Ribbentrop, met covertly with his opposite from Moscow, Dimitri Molotov, in a no-man's-land between the lines to discuss an armistice. Stalin, Churchill and Roosevelt had solemnly pledged to one another that they would conduct no separate negotiations with the Axis for individual peace terms. "Unconditional surrender" was the ultimatum concocted by Henry Morgenthau, the revenge-crazed Jewish head of the U.S. Treasury Department. Now, in utmost secrecy, Stalin had no qualms about betraying both Britain and America by sending Molotov to Germany's chief diplomat.

Hitler, it seemed, offered to withdraw his forces from Russia to the Polish border in exchange for the Ukraine and the Baltic, with its old German port at Memel. Molotov agreed to a mutual frontier at Poland and Baltic freedom, but denied the Ukraine. Undercover negotiations continued over the next several months, while Wehrmacht strategists planned a final effort to regain the initiative on the Eastern Front. If they could score a significant victory against the Red Army, Hitler would have the bargaining chip he needed to weedle the Ukraine from Stalin.

But by the time Operation *Citadel* got under way in July, at Kursk, treason and espionage had succeeded in handing over all German plans to STAVKA, Soviet military intelligence, before the offensive even began. A secret meeting to coincide with the anticipated Wehrmacht success had been arranged at the Italian border-town of Feltre between Hitler, Mussolini and Molotov. With the sabotage of Operation *Citadel*, however, the Soviet ambassador did not even bother to show up. The Axis, he believed, was already doomed.

One year later, when the Germans and their allies were pushed back upon the former Polish border with Russia, Stalin sent Hitler another offer, and, as a show of good faith, even suspended all military operations until he received a reply. He wanted to stop the fighting were it was, but Hitler re-stated his demands for Memel

and the Ukraine, both of which, by then, had been lost. Once again, the mustachioed Marshall promised the Baltic port and refused the Ukraine, although now hinting that he would be willing to reconsider German access to the Ukraine after all – again, behind the backs of Churchill and Roosevelt. But Hitler saw through the cunning Georgian's apparent generosity. An armistice would only allow Stalin's ravaged armed forces time to rebuild themselves, while the Europeans and Americans, in fighting one another, made themselves weak for a resumption of the Soviet onslaught. It would be 1941 all over again.

In view of their horrific losses suffered thus far, the Russians knew that their conquest of the Third Reich would cost their military dear, so much so, any hope of taking the Western world by force would have to be abandoned. Worse, if Communism, like any infectious disease, did not have the strength to spread, it would turn in on itself and die. That is precisely what happened. Ground to a halt in the ashes of Berlin, the USSR was no longer strong enough for further conquest.

Over the following decades, its internal rot spread through every level of Soviet society, until the giant with feet of clay fragmented and crashed in a thousand pieces. Consequences of the Axis struggle had reverberated up the spine of the Soviet Union, eventually smashing it to pieces. As Adolf Hitler often said of others who gave their lives for his Cause, so it might be said of him: "Und Ihr habt' doch gesiegt!" – "And yet you have won!"

But didn't the U.S. economy blossom under Alan Greenspan's chairmanship of the Federal Reserve Bank, and doesn't the take-over by his successor, Ben Bernanke, show the Jews are no longer in control?

Most economists acknowledge that Alan Greenspan was the most disastrous Fed chief, ever. He is the architect of "corporate globalization", the out-sourcing of American economic life to foreign companies. This catastrophic betrayal has created a six percent trade deficit, a deficit so high, that our own government would demand the imposition of drastic austerity measures on any Third World country incurring a similarly ruinous percentage.

Far worse, Greenspan's "corporate globalization" has transformed colored India and Communist China into rapidly growing industrial giants that are quite literally eating America alive, as borne out in the precipitous decline of General Motors and Ford, those pillars of our economic existence. With other staples like Northwest Airlines going bankrupt, the very foundations of U.S. prosperity are already eroded beyond repair. White American workers, although the best on Earth, cannot compete and survive against patently inferior Red Chinese slave laborers and coolies from India.

All this was Greenspan's doing, and the consequences of his surrender of the U.S. economy to the world's colored swarms are apparent in the 50,000 workers let go by the American auto industry, the closing of one plant after another, and domino-

effect of airline bankruptcies, contrasted by the rise of economic titans in Asia. At mid-century, they will have utterly surpassed the United States, and own it, lock, stock and barrel, in a take-over no different than any corporate buy-out, save on the "global scale" Greenspan created. The handwriting is already on the wall, as the Chinese language is being taught as regular curriculum at the earliest grammar school levels in U.S. schools, all the better to prepare our children for their adult lives as workers under Asian bosses.

The haters of White America have long wanted to obliterate its existence, and are intent on doing so, economically and racially, a goal they are already close to finalizing. Only an act of God can prevent them from reducing the United States to some North American version of India or Mexico in the next few decades.

Nor is Greenspan hardly the last Jewish head of the Federal Reserve Bank. His replacement is Ben S. Bernanke, whose middle initial stands for (believe it or not!) "Shalom". According to *The Cleveland Jewish News* of 6 February 2006, Bernanke was "brought up in an observant Jewish household in Dillon, South Carolina" (www.clevelandjewishnews.com/articles/2006102/02/news/worldlllbernanke0203.txt).

It should be cause for wonder that the Federal Reserve System, which usurped Congress' Constitutional right to control our country's economic existence, was founded by international Jewish bankers ninety three years ago, and has been run mostly by Jews ever since, as it still is today. In other words, a three per cent Jewish minority directs the national finances of the other 97 percent Gentile majority. And with catastrophic consequences.

As Britain's Jewish Prime Minister, Benjamin Disraeli, once boasted, "Let me control a country's money, and I care not who makes its laws."

David Irving, the British historian arrested by Austrian government officers last year for denying the Holocaust, claims now Hitler's liquidation of six million Jews took place after all. Having learned the error of his ways, how can you continue to believe that the German Nazis never engaged in a deliberate plan to exterminate God's Chosen People?

In the early 1600s, an Italian astronomer proved that the Earth orbits the Sun. While taken for granted today (save perhaps by certain African tribes and Evangelical Christians), Galileo Galilei's observation was condemned as the most heinous crime, because the authorities of his day insisted that the entire universe revolved around themselves. When brought to trial, the scientist declared that it would be "a terrible detriment for the very souls of people if they found themselves convinced by proof of something that it was made a sin to believe."

But his judges were unmoved. They condemned Galilei's book as "false and erroneous" before destroying all copies, instructed him to henceforward neither "hold nor defend" all blasphemous nonsense about the Sun or Earth, and enjoined

him from "teaching or discussing these ideas in any way." Faced with "perpetual imprisonment", the ailing old man "abjured, cursed and detested" his unpopular findings. Having thus recanted the truth under duress, his sentence was commuted to house arrest. He died a prisoner in his own home, unable to share his paradigm-shattering discovery with the outside world. Galileo's forced denial did not prevent the Earth from orbiting the Sun anymore than Irving's recantation killed six million Jews. Although separated by four hundred years, both men shared a common fate, because their discoveries struck at the Big Lie upon which the respective tyrannies of their times stood. Their books were destroyed, their findings condemned as the most offensive heresy, and either man was imprisoned for speaking his opinions.

Their common fate makes it difficult to tell the difference between a 17th Century Inquisition and 21st Century democracy. As Lincoln Rockwell, the founder of American National Socialism, was fond of pointing out, "Under phony 'democracies' where freedom of expression is supposedly allowed, you are allowed to criticize anyone except the tyrants actually in power. In Cuba, you have freedom to criticize anyone except Castro. In China, you have freedom to criticize anyone except the Communists. In the West, you have freedom to criticize anyone except the Jews. That should show you who and what are the real masters of modern society!"

Acknowledgment of Galileo's conclusions would have utterly destroyed the very premise upon which his accusers based their political power. Acknowledgment of Irving's conclusions would no less destroy the very premise upon which today's tyrants base their political power. With no "Holocaust", the many billions of dollars in bogus "reparations" bilked from the German people to prop up the parasitic, antagonistic state of Israel would no longer be justified. With no "Holocaust", the Jews would be exposed as the greatest liars of all time. With no "Holocaust", their high moral ground against all forms of criticism and exposure would be forever lost. With no "Holocaust", Adolf Hitler and National Socialism can no longer be portrayed as the most wicked man and phenomenon of all time, leaving the door open to a positive reassessment of the man and his work for emulation. With no "Holocaust", all those teachers, historians, preachers, authors, movie-makers, politicians, and judges who have based their careers on it for the last sixty years would be shown up as the biggest fools and liars in history.

This understood, the similarly harsh treatment meted out to Galileo Galilei and David Irving becomes comprehensible. Moreover, Irving, like Galileo, is a scientist, not a National Socialist. He, too, is an old man threatened with a heavy sentence even a younger man would find challenging. As such, he is not expected to defy his judges, as Hermann Goering or Julius Streicher did at Nuremberg, although they, too, were condemned for telling the truth about the Jews.

Like them and his Italian predecessor, Irving's plight shines a spotlight on the mean-spirited, remorseless Jewocracy that runs the world far brighter than any book he could ever hope to write.

Why is March 9th important to National Socialists?

On that date, in 1918, Lincoln Rockwell was born in Bloomington, Illinois. Regarded as the founder of post-war National Socialism and its foremost leader during the 1960s, he is still revered by old comrades and new adherents alike as the Great American Hero of our Movement.

The Commander just completed writing his book, when he was assassinated on 27 August 1967. The first edition was actually in publication at the time of his death, and released only weeks later. As such, *White Power* was his last word on the Cause for which he gave his life, and today represents a fitting testimonial to his sacrifice, as the following excerpts prove.

He might have been writing of himself and the fate planned for him by his enemies, when he wrote, "The Jewish masters of world revolution never take any chances on attacks behind their lines. They know that most people don't move without leadership of the elite of their group – the natural leaders – just as your hands won't move without the leadership of your head. So the Jewish revolutionists systematically, coldly, smash the 'head'".

It was Rockwell who first put the Fuehrer's struggle into a global perspective: "Adolf Hitler fought the Alamo of the White Race. He held off the colored forces of racial suicide, the forces of Communism, the forces of arrogant Zionism, and the forces of international money-manipulation long enough for a few men like myself to wipe the Jewish cobwebs out of our brains and start the long battle to awaken our people and free them from the menacing specter of Jewish and colored world tyranny."

His statements about war are particularly cogent to current events in Iraq: "For a hundred years, the Jewish agitators have doubled and re-doubled the rate at which we are killing off our best, by pitting brother against brother in endless, silly wars we always lose and they always win. The Jewish aim is and remains the wiping out of the 'best of the goyim', as the Talmud puts it. They keep getting us killed, now by the millions, while they increase and grow stronger."

His words regarding the "Yellow Peril" ominously describe its contemporary threat to America: "The same, Asiatic strain in the Jews which produces such a love of slaughter and cruelty is found in its pure, original form in the savage Mongol. When this yellow beast of Asia rises up and stalks the Earth, the Great Writer of History dips his pen in blood and prepares to write chapters of death, suffering and destruction."

"But that was hundreds of years ago. What could Ghengis Khan have done with a hydrogen bomb today? Unless you, White man, can muster the will to make yourself think that chilling thought, and do something about the approaching time when it

will happen, you will find out, and your children will learn the ancient meaning of the 'Yellow Peril', the *colored* peril.

'The Jews have gathered up the colored peoples of the Earth, armed them with the ultimate weapons of atomic energy, and agitated them to unlimited dreams of world conquest. As I write, our experts keep expressing more and more surprise at the speed with which Red China is progressing with a deliverable H-bomb. Only a fool could fail to see that the world is rapidly approaching a terrible climax in which the most historic decision in all human history will be made: the long-awaited Armageddon, or Ragnarok.'

Rockwell concluded his book and his life with this clarion call: "Stop rationalizing a situation you know to be deadly serious! Stop temporizing with half-way measures in a situation that screams for decisive action! Stop using business, social etiquette, family and security as an excuse for downright cowardly behavior! If you hesitate very much longer, the fight will be over, and the White man will have lost. *Stand up and fight!*'"

Immediately after the tragic end of World War Two, all expressions of National Socialism were criminalized in democratically occupied Germany, so SS veterans, when meeting each other in public, were forbidden from saying, "Heil Hitler!" As a consequence, they used the covert greeting, "88!", signifying repetition of H, the eighth word of the alphabet. In 2006, the founder of American National Socialism would have been eighty eight years of age. It is only fitting, then, that we honor his memory at this time with our old salute: *Eighty eight, Commander Rockwell!*

Everybody knows about the French, American and Russian Revolutions, but what was the German Revolution?

The French Revolution was the first time the masses of common people overthrew a reigning government. The American Revolution introduced constitutional liberty. The Russian Revolution was the first Jewish capture of a gentile nation. The German Revolution created the first state based on racial self-awareness. Each one of these upheavals impacted the rest of the world far beyond the lands in which they began, and signified the most important transformations experienced by modern man.

The success of the French Revolution encouraged people around the globe to throw off similarly oppressive regimes. The success of the American Revolution showed them the proper form of self-rule they needed after having won their freedom. The success of the Russian Revolution prompted Jews to take control of all other gentile peoples. In response to this lethal threat, the success of the German Revolution continues to inspire Aryan men and women – regardless of any cultural, religious, economic, or national differences – to unite in the common cause of their racial survival.

The German Revolution is the historical inheritor and organic synthesis of the French and American Revolutions, because it combines the will-to-power of the former and the political freedom of the latter. The German Revolution is rooted in these predecessors, but transcends them as the Wave of the Future, when constitutional republics preserving the liberties and racial identity of Aryan humanity will blossom on the burial-mound of Jewish tyranny.

Are National Socialists interested in environmental issues?

The impassable gulf separating our ideology from the times in which we live are opposing views of man's relationship with Nature.

Civilization in the early 21st Century is most characterized by its filthiness. Every city has its non-White slum, often on a vast scale of blight expanding with burgeoning populations to whom basic hygiene is antithetical. America's cities were once beautiful and livable. Now, they are swiftly becoming crime-infested garbage dumps.

A case in point is Montrose Beach, on Chicago's north side. For decades, it was an especially attractive mecca for middle and upper class families, who enjoyed the lake shore. Today, Montrose Beach is an uninhabitable debris-field of taco wrappers, dirty diapers, and assorted refuse so vast, municipal authorities are unable to pick up after the Mexicans and Puerto Ricans who continuously trash the formerly lovely park. It becomes, after sunset, an arena for contending gangs and an outdoor market for drug dealers. But Montrose Beach is just a microcosm for not only the United States, but the rest of the formerly civilized world. As non-Whites proliferate and are welcomed anywhere, catastrophic results are always the same.

The Jews' and liberals' love affair with dirt is at the core of their inter-racial psychoses. They are personally repelled by all forms of cleanliness. Hence, their revulsion of White racial integrity. It is their abhorrence for cleanliness from which today's political correctness, with its loathing for White racial hygiene, springs. This abhorrence consequently extends to the Earth that brought mankind into existence.

A Jewish mind-set of unlimited exploitation is transforming the entire planet into a global Montrose Beach. The steady reduction of our natural environment is a form of species suicide generated by an unnatural thinking that brooks no contradiction. That is why ours is the most hated ideology: It affirms life at a time when a majority aims at death.

The physical repugnance for all colored persons healthy White men and women feel is a survival reflex, part of our natural instinct for racial self-preservation. Throughout Nature, whenever any animals are no longer conscious of their identity, they become extinct. We are not exempt from the same iron law that applies to every other living creature. Yet, it is precisely this instinct for our own continued

existence that the Jews and their liberal dupes have anathematized as "the worst evil" and "unfair" to the darksome dregs of the so-called "Third World".

As a consequence, Western Civilization is experiencing diseases from which it was previously immune, thanks to the wholesale immigration of dirty denizens from Mexico, Asia, the Middle East, and Africa. Viruses and infestations long eradicated by Aryan science are being re-introduced with dung-colored waves of unclean low-life. Even the humble bed-bug, extinct in North America for half-a-century, is today staging a come-back, as it rides on the infected coat-tails of wet-backs and rag-heads. For these sub-humans, filth is their culture, their way of life for thousands of years, so they're not likely to give it up anytime soon.

As for liberal apologists who claim lavishing money on Mud People is all that is necessary for some simple hygiene, anyone who has visited a hotel or medical clinic run by Hindus or Mexicans knows different. No, the non-Whites are not leaving their squalid behavior behind, but are instead spreading it around America. They are not cleaning themselves up, but rather it is our country which is becoming dirty. Sooner or later, we must choose between a predominately colored, thoroughly trashed society, stinking with uncollectible waste and incurable diseases, or an all-White world where we can breathe the fresh air of health and cleanliness.

"National Socialism" is the political name of a view that might be fundamentally known as "Naturalism", because it seeks to apply the laws of Nature to human society. 21st Century civilization has become unnatural – actually, anti-Nature. Hence, the unbridgeable chasm between National Socialists and these times. The essential instinct in their worldview from which its entire ideology flows outward is the placement of Aryan man in accord with Nature. As such, National Socialism means life, because it is rooted in nature itself.

Modern democracy means death, because it works against Nature in all its forms, with all-too-obvious results in the rapid deterioration of Earth's climate, the growing decay of our cities, and the racial degeneration of our people. National Socialism means the preservation of Aryan man, the continuation of his evolutionary destiny, and salvation of our planet itself from the Jews' corrupting lust for univocal impurity.

At stake is nothing less than Life-or-Death for the continued existence of all life on Earth. The hurricanes and climate deterioration currently experienced are only foreshadows of the real natural catastrophes to come. They are the physical effects of too much Jewocracy, too much liberalism, and the final judgment handed down by much-abused Nature on a debased humanity.

National Socialism – being a kind of ideological Naturalism – is alone capable of offering our people escape from that condemnation, because today's political correctness is fixated on the mistreatment of Nature in all its forms, the pollution of our environmental, as well as our racial existence. National Socialism promises life

in harmony with Nature. Based on the present condition of civilization, one shudders to think of the future consequences set in motion by today's democracy.

You mention a dire future for the world without National Socialism. What can we expect?

Unchecked, the forces of decline gaining momentum since the last century will climax in this century. Given the continuous and accelerating inundation of Europe and the United States by waves of immigrants from Africa, Asia, India and the Middle East, the White populations of both continents will have slipped to minority status by 2050. By then, our race will have become so polluted through inter-breeding with sub-humans, its identity will be progressively marginalized until it no longer exists. The only relatively "pure" race surviving into the 22nd Century will be the Jews.

Their triumph will be short-lived, however, because an utterly debased humanity makes for far less productive slaves, unable to create the wealth and luxuries to which the Chosen Ones are accustomed. With a drastically diminished economic existence, the kosher bloodsuckers, like all parasites in Nature, will themselves die off for lack of hosts. The mid-21st Century will also witness America's inevitable economic conquest by Red China and India, the very countries presidents Canton and Bush were so anxious to assist. Our standard of living will plummet to levels comparable with Mexico or Peru, most companies and businesses here will be Asian-owned, and Americans will have to work for mostly colored bosses.

The U.S. dollar will eventually become so weak, it must be replaced by some international currency. With health-care largely in the hands of physicians from Pakistan or the Philippines, medical help will be rendered virtually useless, and certainly unable to keep pace with too many viral strains introduced by the endless deluge of colored immigrants.

As in any fundamental social dislocation, a tsunami of criminal bloodshed will sweep across North America and Europe. Since White people by then will have been disarmed, their spirit of resistance diluted by decades of instilled self-hatred, and all police forces predominantly black and brown, the pale-faced victims can expect no protection. They will suffer the same kind of massacre carried out in the past against our people by Indian savages on the American plain, or Jewish commissars in Russia. Their survivors can look forward to the ecological dissolution of their planet.

Even today, climatologists know that if environmental abuse is not checked within the next ten years, global warming will have become irreversible. With steadily rising world temperatures, massive coastal flooding resulting from rising sea-levels will liquidate hundreds of thousands, force millions more to flee in-land, thereby overburdening already declining economic and demographic woes. Super-storms – hurricanes and tornadoes of unprecedented violence – will afflict the planet, their

depravations compounded by killer heat waves. Depleted ozone will spawn cancer epidemics to ravage mankind. The very air itself will become hard to breathe. Fed up with this failed species, Mother Earth will consign race-mixed humanity to the same graveyard occupied by the dinosaurs and dodo-birds.

Taken altogether, the forces climaxing in this century should reach their apogee in the next. Within the next 200 years, only ruins and garbage will still exist to show that the world was once inhabited by temporarily civilized, but ultimately self-destructive creatures.

While the self-evidence of this doomsday scenario is all too apparent, it is inevitable only if we allow it to happen. Aryan man has narrowly avoided extinction in the past, and could still avert the worst for his race and planet by taking up his last hope when all else fails. As National Socialism is the only ideology diametrically opposing the present condition of civilization, so it alone can transform death into life.

Every April 20th, National Socialists around the world celebrate Hitler's birthday, but do they know what kind of a man he really was?

No individual has been as demonized as Adolf Hitler. But his actual character does not fit the monstrous reputation manufactured for him by his enemies for the last eighty years. Just a few facts about the man's personal life serve to illustrate.

He is still portrayed as a humorless egomaniac, yet at least two funny caricatures he drew of himself appeared, along with a number of his other amusing sketches lampooning close friends and stuffy judges. He even assigned one of his secretaries the job of assembling cartoons from enemy newspapers in which he himself was often horribly depicted, and would rock with laughter at these collections. In other words, he had the ability to laugh at himself. For example, when an admiral praised him as "the bravest hero who ever walked the Earth", Hitler quipped, "you may think so, but at sea I'm a coward!"

Luxuries never appealed to him, and he always ate very modestly, sticking to his sparse, vegetarian diet. When once some army general threw a lavish banquet for him, he left the room before sitting down.

Hitler was an irrepressible wanderer, and guests to his Berghof, high in the mountains, had best bring their hiking shoes. In his personal habits, he never drank, smoked, or used obscene language, and rarely lost his temper, contrary to enemy portrayals. As such, Hitler was in stark contrast to our foul-mouthed George W. Bush or his sexually over-heated predecessor, Bill Clinton.

In his private "table talk", Hitler told of his retirement plans, which included resigning the Chancellorship as soon as the war was over and a constitutional republic based on the American model was up and running in Germany. Unlike

Churchill, Hitler was not interested in writing any self-serving memoirs to make himself seem like a hero in the eyes of posterity. Instead, he planned to write biographies of Frederick the Great and Martin Luther. Churchill, in fact, was fond of repeating, "I will be well treated by history, because I shall write it." Churchill, too, was obsessed with his wealth, much of it squandered by his gambling, ne'er-do-well son, Randolph. Hitler, on the other hand, donated all royalties from his book, *Mein Kampf* - the international sales of which totaled many million of dollars - to charitable institutions, an act of generosity that went unrecognized until nearly half a century after his death.

In a candid moment, he admitted, "Now that I know men, I prefer dogs," and clearly spent his happiest hours in the company of Blondie, his beloved German Shepherd. When an ignorant but well-meaning hunter presented him with the carcass of an eagle, Hitler was noticeably depressed, thanked the man as best he could, but admonished him never to shoot such a bird again. After the National Socialist Seizure of Power in 1933, the Fuehrer outlawed vivisection, kosher slaughter (which requires the slow-death torture of butchered animals), and even specified that lobsters be cooked in water already boiling, so they would die instantly and relatively painlessly, instead of the until then lingering process favored by restaurants.

When Hitler was shown photographs of the women and children killed by the tens of thousands in the U.S. and British air raids on Dresden, near war's end, he wept uncontrollably. In earlier, happier days, his favorite pastime was playing host to his closest companions, like the Belgian SS leader, Leon Degrelle, who remembered that Hitler liked to wait on his friends, personally serving them drinks (invariably non-alcoholic) and treats, while conversation often turned to the latest cars or movies. He also loved reminiscing about "the Old Days", particularly where a humorous memory was involved. For relaxation, he preferred watching Hollywood movies and listening to his record collection of mostly classical music. Mozart, Beethoven, Bruckner, and, of course, Wagner were his favorite composers.

His real passion was not the military or politics, but city planning and architecture. As he once said of himself, "I am a reluctant war-lord, but an enthusiastic builder." His most impassioned speeches were made when he was taking about reconstruction.

Clearly, the real Adolf Hitler was an entirely different man than the fantastic creature conjured by generations of lying Jew movies and no less hateful books aimed at separating the masses of Aryan mankind from their greatest leader.

Everybody knows about the French, American and Russian Revolutions, but what was the German Revolution?

The French Revolution was the first time the masses of common people overthrew a reigning government. The American Revolution introduced constitutional liberty.

The Russian Revolution was the first Jewish capture of a gentile nation. The German Revolution created the first state based on racial self-awareness. Each one of these upheavals impacted the rest of the world far beyond the lands in which they began, and signified the most important transformations experienced by modern man.

The success of the French Revolution encouraged people around the globe to throw off similarly oppressive regimes. The success of the American Revolution showed them the proper form of self-rule they needed after having won their freedom. The success of the Russian Revolution prompted Jews to take control of all other gentile peoples. In response to this lethal threat, the success of the German Revolution continues to inspire Aryan men and women – regardless of any cultural, religious, economic, or national differences – to unite in the common cause of their racial survival.

The German Revolution is the historical inheritor and organic synthesis of the French and American Revolutions, because it combines the will-to-power of the former and the political freedom of the latter. The German Revolution is rooted in these predecessors, but transcends them as the Wave of the Future, when constitutional republics preserving the liberties and racial identity of Aryan humanity will blossom on the burial-mound of Jewish tyranny.

Didn't Hitler admit he was guilty by taking his own life, rather than defending himself at the Nuremberg trials?

In *Churchill's War*, historian David Irving reproduces original source materials to show that both the British Prime Minister and U.S. President Franklin D. Roosevelt agreed on the murder of all Third Reich leaders immediately after their capture. Both men were eventually dissuaded by Soviet Marshal Josef Stalin, who argued that show-trials would be far more useful politically.

He spoke from experience, having brought to court many thousands of his own followers, who, after sufficiently softened up by physical torture and mental anguish, invariably confessed to whatever crimes they were accused of committing, whether or not they were actually guilty. A few victims were set free, but only to make the courts seem less contrived than they actually were. These proceedings, which Stalin felt lent an air of legitimacy to his bloody tyranny, were his chief joy in life, and comprised the kind of "justice" all National Socialists faced at war's end. In truth, the Nuremberg trials were nothing more than legalized revenge, and a first step on the road to world government, today's so-called "globalization", with all its lamentable consequences for America's political and racial survival.

But these considerations never factored into Hitler's final decision. Like any national leader, he was the living symbol and personification of his people, and therefore could not allow himself to be disgraced in any way. He died in the noblest tradition of all Aryan heroes. Shakespeare has Brutus explain in *Julius Caesar*,

"Our enemies have beaten us to the pit. It is better for us to leap in ourselves, than tarry til we are pushed." It was in this Roman spirit that Hitler cheated the Allied hangmen at Nuremberg, and set a personal example of *Victory or Death* all the best National Socialists embrace.

With his passing on 30 April 1945 died the last hope of Western Civilization to save itself from those forces which continue to rot out its very existence. Since then, our race and nation have been caught in a downward spiral of non-White violence, social decay and government tyranny which can only result in their extinction.

In an irony of history, exactly thirty years to the day of the Fuehrer's death, the powerful country that brought about his defeat was run out of Saigon. America's humiliation by racially inferior gook gangs of Vietnamese Reds demonstrated how far America had already fallen in just one generation since the racially fratricidal war Adolf Hitler died trying to prevent.

Mainstream historians insist that mankind would have been partially exterminated, the rest enslaved, if Hitler had won World War Two. But what would have really happened?

Each May 10th, National Socialists commemorate an attempt made by Rudolf Hess, Hitler's right-hand man, to end the Second World War when he flew to England. But his effort, however valiant, was foredoomed by Churchill, who prevented the "uninvited envoy" from negotiating an armistice with Britain's peace party.

Until a year after the Deputy Fuehrer's self-sacrificing gesture, the Third Reich was winning the war on all fronts, and would have almost certainly ended that fratricidal tragedy in victory, had its security chief escaped assassination. With Reinhard Heydrich's murder by British-trained Czech partisans in June, 1942, all Wehrmacht plans were known in advance of their execution by the Allies, who were then able to defeat virtually every German attack. Thus, the greatest conflict in history turned on a single hand-grenade that exploded in Heydrich's car. His survival would have radically altered the course of events. By August, Field Marshal Erwin Rommel's Egyptian offensive would have rolled into Cairo (a month before, the British were already burning all their top secret papers in anticipation of its capture), thus effectively putting an end to the North African Campaign.

"Operation Torch", the U.S. invasion of Morocco slated for the following November, would not have taken place, and combined Axis forces would transfer from the Mediterranean area to concentrate entirely on England. While u-boats continued to keep the Americans at bay, Italo-German naval and air power would have reduced life in the British Isles to bare survival. Deteriorating conditions would have had dire political consequences for Churchill, who would have flown off to Washington, D.C.. Since Hitler never wanted war with the English, whom he valued as fellow Aryans, he would have offered a generous armistice aimed at incorporating them into the new Europe of racially related peoples. In the East,

Stalingrad's capture in December, 1942, and Britain's defeat perhaps three months later, would have signaled the end of the campaign against Communism.

The Soviet empire would have become the new breadbasket of Europe and homeland for the continent's over-population. The vast distances separating Hitler from his last enemy, Franklin Roosevelt, would have prevented any meaningful military clashes, leading to a stalemate the Fuehrer would have been only too happy to use for ending all hostilities. He was never interested in the United States, only in so far as his fleeting hope that "the best blood in North America will come over to us one day." Like Churchill's downfall, F.D.R. would have suffered a political crisis that would replace him with a more sensible regime.

Such an armistice would have had a deeply salubrious impact on the U.S., not only for the countless casualties spared. It would have made Americans mindful of the degenerative forces that pushed them against their own best interests into war and corrupted their Constitutional values. Thus awakened, we would have avoided all the decay, lies and horror into which our country has sunk and continues to sink.

Now that President Bush has stationed U.S. troops on the Mexican border, hasn't he proved his racial loyalty to White America?

Faced with potentially the lowest voter approval rating in our nation's history, puppeteers jerking the wires of their White House marionette came up with two stop-gap measures transparently aimed at preventing Bush's continued slide into obloquy. As a shop-worn political ploy, they had him cut taxes in an obvious effort to literally buy back his lost popularity. The standard tactic of catering to the masses' materialist instincts has been bolstered by giving them another illusion; namely, the dramatic appearance of U.S. soldiers standing guard along the Mexican border against illegal aliens. Bush's wire-pullers took six years to make this decision, because it coincides with approaching record dissatisfaction.

Despite generations of concerted propaganda aimed at psychologically engineering Aryan Americans into fanatic "multi-culturalists", their blood instincts, however diluted, still live in their overwhelming opposition to colored immigration. In seeking to use this natural reaction against a racially hostile threat, the Bushites hope to stem the tide of their questionably elected president's popular decline. But even a cursory glimpse at their sudden interest in preserving the White sovereignty of the United States reveals its conspicuous deception. The troops are forbidden from using force of any kind, while their numbers (some 3,000) translate into less than a trio of soldiers for every mile.

The half-hearted effort's absolute lack of sincerity and its self-evident political cast of features are demonstrated by its proscribed limitations in man-power, will, and even time, as the uniformed but disarmed soldiers are scheduled to be withdrawn after a few months. Faced with literally a tsunami of sub-human waste backing up across the Mexican border from the cesspools of Central and South America, the

pitifully few, under equipped, uninspired troops sent to prevent the colored inundation of their country are analogous to a few janitors with paper towels trying to clean up New Orleans' stadium after the city's black residents trashed it in the wake of Hurricane Katrina.

A far worse catastrophe is overtaking us with the deluge of no less despicable non-Whites flooding our originally Aryan nation. As National Socialists, our worldview allows us to cast our vision into the future, from the perspective of which we clearly observe these early 21st Century years as the prelude to America's capture by limitless hordes of colored low-life. In the context of that horrific vision, only the immediate arrest and deportation of every last racial alien can prevent an otherwise inevitable national collapse. Clearly, our country's corrupt, mentally incompetent democracy – to say nothing of the present regime of inept neo-Cons – could never measure up to such a challenge as the fundamental survival of the United States.

For that, only a National Socialist government of unrepentant Aryan racists would possess the determination to station our whole armed forces, if need be, at the Mexican border, and not as some panty-waist, temporizing courtesy to disaffected voters, but under orders to use their weapons as something more than an idle threat, and mow down the waves of colored invasion, just as our forefathers did at the Alamo. Only this time, it will not be the White defenders who are wiped out

Washington, D.C.'s Jefferson Memorial quotes our third president, "There is no greater truth than that these people (black slaves) are to be free." Doesn't that show that the Founding Fathers loved Afro-Americans?

The Jefferson Memorial is concrete proof that American history has been twisted by the enemies of the White Race to promote integration. The President's quote has been deliberately taken out of context to imply that he was a race-mixing hero. In fact, the complete statement reveals a polar-opposite view. Numerous biographies (see especially Richard Cantrell's widely respected *Presidential Speeches* [NY: Doubleday, 1952]) carry the full quotation: "There is no greater truth than that these people (black slaves) are to be free. Nor is it less true that they and we cannot live together in the same government. Nature, habit, opinion have forever created a divide between us we best respect by obeying."

Far from having been some proto-liberal, Thomas Jefferson was one of the founders of the "Back-to-Africa" movement. With the support of some of early America's most prominent minds, it was aimed at repatriating all U.S. Negroes to West Africa, and had already gone far in sending back thousands of them to Liberia. Today, its inhabitants are the descendants of those same deported blacks. Their flag is a variation of ours, and their capital city, Monrovia, is named after another U.S. president, James Monroe, who was likewise dedicated to creating an all-White America. Efforts in that direction began on the first day of American history, when

Article I, Section 2 of the U.S. Constitution specified that blacks residing in the states "shall be considered three-fifths of a person."

Most of America's Founding Fathers owned slaves, especially George Washington. His enormous plantations were worked by more indentured Negroes than just about any other estates in the Colonies. John Pillsbury's authoritative *History of the New World* (Volume 2, NY: Crofts Publishers, 1909) reproduces a letter in which Washington admonishes his wife, Martha, to exert greater physical discipline on their uppity blacks by having them "severely caned, as that is all they are able to understand."

Far from overflowing with heartfelt angst for their pet Negroes, our country's Founding Fathers were race-conscious White men engaged in building an all-White America. As such, they would be appalled by the inter-racial drivel and purposeful falsification of their heritage so actively promoted in our time. Being Aryan revolutionaries who never hesitated to oppose the enemies of freedom, we know how they would answer today's defilers of liberty and truth.

If the Jefferson Memorial misrepresents America's Founding Fathers, do any other such monuments exist?

Another infamous example may be seen in downtown Chicago, at Wacker Drive, where a statue of George Washington is shown fervently clasping the hand of Haym Salomon in revolutionary comradeship. While most Americans are unfamiliar with him, Salomon was known only to historians as a footnote in American history until his name was hyped just seventy years ago in an effort to counter claims that Jews were never noted for their patriotism unless it served their own interests.

Haym Salomon suddenly became "the Financier of the American Revolution", who saved the cause of liberty from going bankrupt at the last moment by underwriting it. In fact, his motives for joining the colonists were far from idealistic. He lived comfortably under British rule, until he was arrested on charges of having torched his own business building to collect on the insurance -- even back then, an old, shyster trick. Skipping out on bail, he had no other option but to seek refuge among the rebels. He did arrange some loans for their fight, but the House of Rothschild banking family to which he belonged simultaneously funded both the Americans and the English during the War for Independence. As so often before and since, the Jews profited from the mutual slaughter of Gentile peoples.

Salomon's Chicago statue portrays him as a close confidant of George Washington, but historians tell us the two men never met. It is yet one more specimen of deliberate distortion by the inveterate enemies of the White Race to appropriate our history for their own, anti-American purposes.

In fact, the Father of our country experienced his own "Vienna Period" shortly after becoming president. The Jewish community in South Carolina invited him to

speak at the Charleston synagogue in answer to their plea for an amendment to the Constitution outlawing anti-Semitism in the United States. His brief speech, which has survived word for word, was not the fawning drivel we have come to expect from subservient U.S. presidents donning sheeny-beanies around election time.

Instead, Washington told his kosher listeners point-blank that no such amendment would be considered, and implied that its implementation would undermine freedom of speech. The Constitution as it stood, he affirmed, guaranteed freedom of religion for all Americans, not just special interest groups like themselves.

The Jews were disappointed, but the first Chief Executive seems to have been at least miffed by their arrogance in demanding special rights for themselves, especially since their participation in the Revolution, like Haym Salomon's dubious contribution, had been questionable, at best. In his *Maxims of Washington*, he was recorded as having spoken out against the Jews, when some cogent example of their exploitation was brought to his attention: "It is a pity they have not been hunted down as the pests to society that they are."

Of the several geniuses with which the American Revolution was blest, Benjamin Franklin was among the most knowledgeable about the Jewish Question. It is only fitting then, in this, the 300th anniversary of his birth, that his prophetic words spoken at the Philadelphia Constitutional Convention of 1787 are made known and embraced as the dire warning they represent. If nothing else, Franklin proved that exposing the Jews is not un-American. In fact, it's downright patriotic!

Anti-Aryan haters insist the quotation attributed to him was faked by admittedly unknown persons, but they are contradicted by its appearance in *The Collected Works of Benjamin Franklin* (NY: Macmillan, 1932), and, more importantly, by the validity of its prediction:

"I fully agree with General Washington, that we must protect this young nation from an insidious influence and impenetration. The menace, gentlemen, is the Jews. In whatever country Jews have settled in any great number, they have lowered its moral tone; depreciated its commercial integrity; have segregated themselves and have not been assimilated; have sneered at and tried to undermine the Christian religion upon which that nation is founded, by objecting to its restrictions; have built up a state within the state; and when opposed have tried to strangle that country to death financially, as in the case of Spain and Portugal.

"For over 1,700 years, the Jews have been bewailing their sad fate in that they have been exiled from their homeland, as they call Palestine. But, gentlemen, did the world give it to them in fee simple, they would at once find some reason for not returning. Why? Because they are vampires, and vampires do not live on vampires. They cannot live only among themselves. They must subsist on Christians and other people not of their race.

"If you do not exclude them from these United States, in the Constitution, in less than 200 years they will have swarmed here in such great numbers that they will dominate and devour the land and change our form of government, for which we Americans have shed our blood, given our lives, our substance, and jeopardized our liberty.

"If you do not exclude them, in less than 200 years our descendants will be working in the fields to furnish them substance, while they will be in the counting houses rubbing their hands. I warn you, gentlemen, if you do not exclude Jews for all time, your children will curse you in your graves. Jews, gentlemen, are Asiatics, let them be born where they will nor how many generations they are away from Asia, they will never be otherwise. Their ideas do not conform to an American's, and will not, even though they live among us ten generations.

"A leopard cannot change its spots. Jews are Asiatics, are a menace to this country if permitted entrance, and should be excluded by this Constitutional Convention."

You mentioned that George Washington went through his own "Vienna Period". What does that mean?

While it may come as a surprise to most people, Adolf Hitler was not always a so-called "anti-Semite". He says in his book, *Mein Kampf*, that he was disposed to personally sympathize with the Jews for all the persecution they suffered through the centuries until, as a young man, he arrived in Vienna. Previously, as a small-town country boy, he had never met any, and heard only that they had been sorely abused by numerous peoples throughout their long history solely on account of their different religion.

But after living as an impoverished art student and poorly-paid free-lance painter in the Austrian capital for several years, he got to know the Jews at street level, first hand, minus their disguise as an unjustly persecuted religious minority. He saw that most of the Communist agitators and organizers were Jewish, and wondered why. So were the pornographers and pimps. They seemed to have almost all the department stores, where hard-working Gentiles with little to spend were sold shoddy, over-priced merchandise. The leaders of criminal gangs were largely Jewish. At art school, the painters promoting Picasso and his insane ilk were predominantly Jews. Gradually, even against his own sense of justice, he got to know them for what they really were.

And while his experiences did not make him an "anti-Semite", they did prompt his interest in a subject previously unknown to him. Only after years of study in the old city's great libraries, combined with personal observations, was he transformed from an innocent goy into an awakened Jew-knower.

Unfortunately, most Gentiles, whether in Austria or any part of the world, assume, as did the young Adolf Hitler, that Jews are a decent people unfairly victimized by

bigotry, only because our people know nothing about them, save what they see on television or in the movies. In real life, Jews are entirely different from their portrayals as charming sophisticates, funny comedians, kindly rabbis, brilliant musicians, compassionate geniuses, or pitiful victims.

Although it would be merely egotistical to become a National Socialist just because of a bad run-in with one of "God's Chosen People", we may study encyclopedias on the Jewish Question, and not really understand what they truly are, unless we have seen for ourselves how they behave in the wild, as it were, where they reveal themselves in the depth of their cunning deceit and the scope of their perennial animosities.

It is therefore necessary for anyone who wishes to fully appreciate our inveterate opposition to the Jews to personally experience them, especially in their own environment, when their usually raised defenses are down, and their blood-seated hatred of all Gentiles, particularly Aryan peoples, shows through like a perpetual flame behind a thin veil. It might seem strange for us to say so, but it's a shame there aren't enough Jews to go around, so every White man, woman and child could see for themselves the nightmarish extent of their evil! Even Adolf Hitler needed his "Vienna Period" to actually comprehend the magnitude of their danger to society.

We are comforted, at least, in that the Jews are becoming more brazen, more willing to let down their traditional facades, the more they scent Aryan victory. In this, they always make the same mistake, just as they are about to snatch total power. In revealing themselves, they undo themselves. When everyday people finally awake to the kosher wolf in goyische sheep's clothing, a reflex wave of revulsion sweeps the Jews toward annihilation. They cannot help themselves; it is in their egocentric nature, and has happened in many, many countries long before ours. It is happening here, too.

And when the masses of our people are fully awake to the Jews' perfidy, they will naturally think of the man of all men and his Movement that most opposed them: Adolf Hitler and the National Socialist Revolution!

If Hitler was all for the Aryan race, why did he ally himself with the Japanese against White Americans?

He was not wrong in referring to the Japanese as the "Aryans of the East". The original inhabitants of their islands were Caucasians who invented mankind's earliest pottery around ten thousand years ago. Known to archaeologists as the Jomon, they still exist today as the Ainu, a mixed Neolithic people residing mostly in Hokkaido.

Some twenty-two centuries ago, Chinese intellectuals fled en masse from a civil upheaval during the so-called "Period of Warring States", eventually settling in Japan, where they inter-bred with the Caucasoid Jomon natives. Today's Japanese

are the offspring of ancient China's cultural elite and indigenous Whites. Every people is composed of intellectually low, average and high strata. Wedding China's top thinkers to a White population produced the Orient's master race.

Hitler not only admired the Japanese for their inherent greatness, but recognized that they alone stood against the Communist take-over of Asia. Here, too, he was more than correct: In destroying the Japanese Empire during World War Two, American G.I.s ensured that their sons would perish stale-mated on the frigid plains of Korea and their grandsons die defeated amid the jungles of Vietnam. In both wars, White men from the U.S. were certainly not fighting for their race, but against it in the cause of World Jewry.

Even today, with North Korean Communists about to launch an intercontinental ballistic missile capable of delivering a nuclear warhead to California, we turn to Japan for help. This threat, and the hundreds of thousands of Americans killed or wounded by Oriental Communists, would all have been avoided, had our sadly misled country allowed the Japanese to roll up the Reds in Asia.

As historians now know, Japan never had any ambitions against the United States, preoccupied as she was in China. Only when President Franklin D. Roosevelt imposed an oil embargo on the Japanese for his own, warmongering agenda, forcing their hand, did they quite naturally try to free themselves from his stranglehold by attacking Pearl Harbor. In siding with Japan, Adolf Hitler joined the forces of light against the neo-Dark Age that has since cast its shadow over the world.

National Socialists seem critical of President Franklin Delano Roosevelt? Why?

U.S. Supreme Court Justice Oliver Wendell Holmes, who knew him personally, described Roosevelt as "a first-rate temperament with a second-rate intellect". Hitler and National Socialism interested F.D.R. not in the least until 1937. It was an election year, and the glib politician was afraid he might end his public life as a one-term president. Four years earlier, he had entered the White House grandly assuring Americans he would end the Great Depression. But his democratic programs, riddled with corruption, came to nothing, and national unemployment was still on the rise. If he could not at least begin to turn the economy around, his re-election chances were poor,

As the Fuehrer said of Roosevelt, "If his economic policies had continued indefinitely during peace time, there is no doubt that sooner or later they would have brought down this president, in spite of all his dialectical cleverness." Clearly, his career depended on the nation's economic health. Roosevelt realized that the only way to get the country back on its feet was to jump-start production, and the quickest method was massive arms manufacture. To justify transforming American factories into weapons plants, however, a serious threat or at least the illusion of one was required. As a recent enemy, Germany made the appropriate bugaboo.

The Jews who dominated America's press and movie industry were elated to serve as Roosevelt's most devoted propagandists. Together with his alarmist rhetoric, a profusion of anti-Nazi Hollywood films and relentless newspaper agitation against Hitler eventually generated national hysteria to such an extent that invasion seemed imminent. In this artificial climate of fear, the President was able to railroad his bloated military expenditures through a Congress intimidated by voter unrest. In raising a hue and cry about the deadly threat to the United States posed by Nazi Germany (no larger than Texas), F.D.R. successfully diverted attention from the lingering, worsening Depression he was unable to solve.

Although his panic-inspired arms manufacture began to stimulate national production, weapons are perishable goods which must be used, else they rust and are rendered obsolete by technological advances. To that end, Roosevelt needed war. Through his floating operative in Europe, William C. Bullitt, he made secret promises to the effect that Warsaw politicians could count on the armed intervention of the Allies, if they provoked a military confrontation with the Third Reich. Thus duped into imagining they could glut themselves in a cheaply won victory feast, the Poles precipitated hostilities, which back-fired on them in the worst way possible. But with the beginning of the war in Europe, Roosevelt's plans for participation were given a serious set-back by national opinion polls, which showed that the vast majority of Americans opposed U.S. involvement in any war, unless deliberately attacked. Ever the consummate politician, he vigorously campaigned on a popular "peace platform" for the 1941 presidential election.

Meanwhile, he continuously maneuvered to provoke Hitler into declaring war on the United States by giving warships to the Royal Navy, sending supplies to England on American freighters escorted by U.S. Navy destroyers, even directly assisting British military operations. On August 9, 1941, during the Atlantic Charter Conference, Roosevelt confidentially promised Churchill that he would "work for an incident" that would drag America into the war against the will of most of his fellow countrymen. Later, Winston reported to King George VI that the President's strategy was not to declare war, but provoke it by creating an incident.

Frustrated and anxious that his war-based economy would trigger another, even more severe economic collapse if the U.S. could not get into the fighting soon, the President knocked at what historian, Harry Elmer Barnes, referred to as "the back door to war". It opened on Germany's Anti-Comintern partner. During the Atlantic Charter Conference, Churchill and Roosevelt wanted to attack Japan, then still a neutral nation, after August, 1941. Their scheme was applauded by Cordell Hull and the other political yes-men that surrounded the President, but his military advisors affirmed that America would be militarily unable to risk hostilities until late fall. Earlier, on July 26, 1941, F.D.R. had already imposed an international oil embargo against the Japanese for their invasion of China, which was, of course, none of his business, because of the total absence of American interests there. His action was an economic act of deadly aggression, because the industrial existence of Japan depended entirely on foreign imported oil. Experts on both sides of the

Pacific Ocean calculated that Japanese society would disintegrate into poverty and starvation by the following spring. Roosevelt hoped his embargo would incite the Japanese to strike first, thereby rallying popular support for his involvement in the Second World War.

An article in *The Los Angeles Times* on the 60th anniversary of the 1941 Japanese raid, headlined, "Japan Broke U.S. Code Before Pearl Harbor, Research Finds". Staff writer Valerie Reitman reported that a young Japanese-American history professor at Kobe University found Roosevelt-era written records declassified by the U.S. Central Intelligence Agency in 1996. Toshihiro Minohara discovered them among information about pre-war secret codes at the U.S. National Archives in College Park, Maryland. Attached microfilm documents showed Japanese translations of F.D.R. telegrams to various ambassadors and allied leaders.

Cryptographers at Tokyo had apparently broken the President's top diplomatic codes. For confirmation, Minohara asked Dr. Satoshi Hattori, a professor of modern history at Kobe University, to make a special search of Tokyo's diplomatic archives. In a folder entitled, "Special Documents", Hattori found thirty-four typed communiques, mostly in English, of top-secret U.S. and British diplomatic discussions in the months and weeks prior to December 7. The Japanese read Roosevelt's decision to attack them by mid-November.

Learning of his duplicity, Togo, a strong advocate of peaceful relations with the U.S., and who had previously opposed the "hawks" in the Cabinet, wrote in his postwar memoirs, "I was shocked to the point of dizziness. At this point, we had no choice but to take action." Only after reading the President's stab-in-the-back plan for themselves did even the "doves" among the Japanese government decide to strike before he could hit them first. The 2,400 Americans who died at Pearl Harbor never realized that they were sacrificed for their President's un-publicized conspiracy to involve them in an illegal war. Having broken his diplomatic code, the Japanese knew what he was really up to, and beat him to the punch, just barely, by less than a month.

Franklin Roosevelt died before the close of the war he, more than any other individual, brought about. He and his Western allies were the real war criminals of World War II. And F.D.R. was the biggest of them all. Congressman Hamilton Fish, who fervently backed the President's request for an official U.S. declaration of hostilities, later confessed, "Roosevelt was the main instigator and firebrand to light the fuse of war."

Recently, some government agency has been setting up billboards all across America, depicting Winston Churchill as the heroic epitome of perseverance. How accurate is this portrayal?

In the early 1930s, Winston Churchill's political career was on the skids. Gambling debts incurred by Randolph, his ne'er-do-well son, were threatening bankruptcy and

the loss of his beloved country estate, Chartwell. In desperation, he joined the "Focus", a secret society of a dozen ex-politicians who had lost their cushy government jobs during the Depression, and were determined to retrieve them by any means possible. Aware that these unemployed "statesmen" would say or do anything to get back into power, the Jews fished out of the "Focus" several Gentile shills to front for their planned destruction of Germany. Their biggest catch was the future Prime Minister.

Beginning in 1936, denizens of the "Focus" were richly funded by the American Jewish Congress, the London Board of Deputies of British Jews, and the Department President, Chairman of British Shell, Sir Bernard W. Cohen. They paid Churchill 50,000 pounds to agitate against Hitler, and Churchill's reputation as a prostitute for anti-Nazi causes grew. Six years later, he told Franklin Roosevelt that he was "wedded to the Zionist policy".

In 1938, Winston was paid \$800,000 by another knighted Jewish plutocrat, Sir Henry Starchos, who lost his monopolistic holdings in Austria after the Anschluss. His assignment was to condemn that country's union with the Reich, despite internationally controlled referendums, in which more than 90% of Austrians voted to join Germany. That same year, the Prague government dispatched pro-Communist foreign minister, Jan Masaryk, to London with 2 million pounds for the "Focus", and orders for its members to over-throw Neville Chamberlain, who the Czechs deemed too soft on the Fuehrer. The Germans learned about Churchill's acceptance of foreign monies and informed Chamberlain just prior to the Munich Agreement, one of the reasons for its outcome in Hitler's favor. But Churchill's new, lucrative career as a hired mouthpiece for Jewish agendas was assured.

During the so-called "London Blitz", Churchill was lionized by the Allied press for manfully standing along side the English people, sharing their dangers and hardships, and encouraging them to follow his personal example of defiant courage. In fact, British Intelligence knew well in advance of every German air raid, and secretly forwarded the information to Churchill, who invariably fled to his private refuge in the country. No one else was able to avoid the Blitz via this confidential escape route. After the "all clear" sounded, he skulked back to London, where he strutted before newsreel cameras while gesturing a V-for-victory mudra with his two, pudgy, cigar-stained fingers, muttering defiantly, "We can take it!"

On the afternoon of November 14, 1940, he just drove out of London to avoid the Luftwaffe's anticipated return, when a secret report from his code-breakers informed him that the bombers' next target would be Coventry, instead. Returning to the safety of the capital, Churchill postured defiantly on the roof of his headquarters building, challenging non-existent Nazis in the sky with his clenched fist for the benefit of reporters and photographers. Images of the Prime Minister's indomitable courage were exhibited around the world, while the Luftwaffe bombed far-off Coventry.

During July, 1944, with a weakened Reich less than a year away from defeat, Churchill ordered an anthrax attack on German civilians. His horrified generals persuaded him against mass-murder only by reminding him that Hitler would certainly retaliate, justifiably sending V-1 flying-bombs armed with poison-gas war-heads against London. It took them a month of arguments to finally convince him that he must abandon his plans for Aryan genocide. As he later groused, "The parsons among my generals have prevented me from following through". Had his anthrax attack been carried out, every living thing on the European Continent would have been wiped out, including the inhabitants of all Allied and neutral countries.

Churchill's artificially preserved reputation as the century's greatest orator in the English language is just part of his enduring fraudulent image. His stirring radio broadcasts urged Britons to fight, endure and die in the war he had long been preparing for them. Thanks in large measure to these inspiring transmissions, the British people held out under the worst circumstances for six, long years, in which half-a-million of them lost their lives. During all that time, he carefully concealed the more-than-generous peace offers Hitler made to Britain. Also hidden was the real identity of the famous voice English men and women were led to believe belonged to their heroic Prime Minister. Many of the silver-tongued broadcasts attributed to him were actually spoken by Norman Shelly, a radio actor selected for his ability to mimic Churchill on occasions when he was too drunk or hung-over to speak in public.

Enduring public portrayals of Winston as a champion against dictatorship are at odds with his oft-stated ambition to create, as he proposed to Roosevelt on May 20, 1943, a "world dictator", who would forever deprive his own French ally of any military, and reduce the few German survivors of the war to perpetual slave status. Global power was to be confined to the British Empire, United States and Soviet Union. Roosevelt agreed, differing only in that the title "moderator" would be more politically acceptable.

Churchill lived into over-ripe old age, growing obese on luxurious feasts, scribbling censored, self-serving histories, and daubing dozens of paintings as amateurish as they are forgotten. When death finally came in 1965, he left behind instructions for a gaudy funeral, which specified, among other twists of megalomania, that all cranes along the banks of the Thames be lowered, while his oversize casket floated past. As in Shakespeare's *The Tempest*, the whole British people might have exclaimed at that moment, "What a thrice-double ass was I to take this drunkard for a god, and worship this dull fool!"

According to scientists featured on several nationally televised "specials", they have proved that "race" does not exist, except in the sense of a "human race". If so, National Socialism collapses as an ideology, because it is based on an alleged racial hierarchy of mankind.

Scientists, more than most modern Americans, are hardly immune from social pressure to tow the line of political correctness. Any researcher who so much as hints his evidence tends to differ from the inviolable principle of Racial Equality will have his funding cut off, his reputation ruined as a "racist", and put his professional career in jeopardy.

A case in point was William Shockley. For his invention of the transistor during the 1950s, he was praised around the world as one of the most important scientists of the 20th Century. But just ten years later, the same media-moguls vilified him as a despicable racist, because he published a small booklet, "I.Q. and Racial Differences", in which he clearly demonstrated White intellectual superiority. Henceforward, Shockley was persona non grata in the scientific community he had done so much to embellish with his discovery, all his further contributions shunned or deprecated.

More recently, certain academics sensitive to the requirements of what is expected from them have declared that DNA testing has at last "proved" that there are absolutely no differences, other than color, whatsoever between all the so-called "races" of man. Naturally, equality propagandists in the public entertainment industry, education, churches and government are anxious to spread the good news: "'Race' has been scientifically disproved, so now there is no longer the slightest cause to prevent the wholesale miscegenation of the planet as soon and as thoroughly as possible!" But what the "scholars" refrain from mentioning in public they continue to discuss among themselves.

In last September's *Science*, Dr. Robert Waterston, a genetics professor at the University School of Medicine, in Seattle, presented the newly deciphered sequence of chimpanzee genome, in which virtually all of the animal's roughly 3 million building blocks were identified. His work and conclusions are supported by the National Human Genome Research Institute, and geneticists at the University of Chicago. Dr. Waterston found that DNA resemblance between humans and chimps is "98% identical".

Svante Paabo, director of the genetics department at the Max Planck Institute for Evolutionary Anthropology, in Leipzig, Germany, exclaimed, "I'm still sort of taken aback by how similar humans and chimps are in their DNA. I'm still amazed that we don't find stronger evidence for a huge difference in our genomes." In other words, the same DNA tests that are unable to detect much significant difference between human beings and chimpanzees can hardly be expected to differentiate between a Viking and a pygmy. From a DNA perspective, a black man (or any man, for that matter) and a chimp are practically identical! DNA cannot detect racial differences in humanity, because it has great difficulty finding any between men and apes.

DNA does *not*, therefore, "disprove race", as fools would believe. Obviously, no amount of scientific evidence would ever convince them otherwise, because for such persons, race-mixing is a religion, not influenced by reason.

A recent television program stated that Mussolini and Hitler were criminal psychopaths who together tried to conquer the world. How much of this is true?

Benito Mussolini is pilloried by court historians as Adolf Hitler's accomplice in waging war. Yet, like the German leader, he lacked both personal and ideological motivation for foreign aggression. He, too, suffered horribly during the First World War, when a mortar exploded in his face. Mussolini had to undergo surgery at a field hospital without anesthetic, and almost died of his wounds on the operating table.

After he became Italy's Premiere, he took little interest in military matters, and the popularity of his Fascism in other countries made him hope for an ideological Pax Romana. For example, a Gallop Poll of United States voters in 1930 showed that they considered *Il Duce* (from *Dux belorum*, Latin for a Roman "war chief") the most brilliant and desirable statesman on Earth. Even the ordinarily hostile *Encyclopedia Britannica* had to admit that "Mussolini remained a hero to his own people and was profoundly respected around the world. The American Cardinal O'Connell of Boston said that Mussolini was a genius given to Italy by God; Winston Churchill declared that he himself would have donned the Fascist black shirt, had he been an Italian." Until 1939, Fascist movements were growing in every industrialized nation, from the British Fascist Union and Ireland's Blue Shirts to the Russian Fascist Union and America's Silver Shirts.

But Mussolini's hopes for international cooperation through general empathy for Fascism began to wane during the late 1930s, with outside agitation for war. In the words of the *Encyclopedia Britannica*, "Mussolini understood that peace was essential to Italy's well-being, that a long war might prove disastrous" for Western Civilization. Accordingly, he arranged a conference between himself, Hitler, England's Prime Minister (Neville Chamberlain) and the French Premiere (Eduard Deladier). Their so-called "Munich Agreement" frustrated and outraged all agitators for war, particularly in the world press, although Mussolini was popularly hailed at home and overseas for having saved the peace. His impression of the British and French leaders, however, was a poor one, and he felt sure their inveterate hatred of Fascism prevented any real international accord. For them, peace was only a preparation for war.

When it came, in 1939, Mussolini endeavored to secretly negotiate an armistice, while he upheld Italian neutrality. As Germany's uncommitted ally, he felt he was in the best position to broker an end to the fighting during the so-called "Sitzkrieg" of inactivity that lasted from Poland's defeat until the Western Campaign, the following spring. While Hitler gave the nod to Mussolini's behind-the-scenes peace

efforts, they were consistently rebuffed by British and French politicians, who saw in war the only means by which their Depression-wracked economies might be rebuilt.

Having exhausted every avenue for an end to the conflict, the Duce concluded that the Western Allies were the same liberal-conservative enemies he had faced twenty years before during his struggle for power in Italy. The fight against them had not ended with his March on Rome, in 1922, but broadened into a world war, which he viewed fundamentally as a life-and-death contest between diametrically opposed world-views. "From that day, world liberalism, democracy and plutocracy declared and waged war against us with press campaigns," he said, "spreading libelous reports, financial sabotage, attempts and plots even when we were intent upon the work of international reconstruction which is and will remain for centuries the indestructible documentation of our creative will." Capitalism, the old doctrine of international financial domination, and Marxism's bid for world tyranny stood against the new spirit of national self-reliance of Fascism.

When the war Mussolini tried so vigorously to prevent erupted across Europe, he became Italy's foremost commander. He personally revamped the Navy's heavy cruisers by incremental decreases in their armor, which gave them a performance edge over their British opponents. And his strategic abilities in the North African campaign, as long as they were allowed to prevail, brought success. But the Duce's up-hill battle against the predominantly aristocratic, non-Fascist, even anti-Fascist elements in the Italian aristocracy sabotaged his armed forces.

The true story of their performance during World War II has been no less twisted than the German Wehrmacht's by conventional historians. Italy's cavalry triumph on the Eastern Front before Stalingrad, General Babini's rout of the British in North Africa, blowing up the Royal Navy's capital ships at Alexandria, and numerous other Fascist victories are either ignored or downplayed in false portrayals of the Italians as cowards ill-equipped and unenthusiastic for "Mussolini's war".

Contrary to his long-suffering, fruitless efforts on behalf of peace, even long after the outbreak of hostilities, the Duce is still characterized as recklessly determined to involve his reluctant countrymen in the fighting. Similarly, he was supposed to have invaded Greece to distract world attention from his military humiliations in Libya, when in fact, his Aegean Campaign was a trap set to take British pressure off his North African forces. Churchill obliged him by falling into it.

Today, Mussolini's reputation is undergoing a nation-wide resurgence among 21st Century Italians, who cannot help compare the deplorable condition of their degenerate democracy with the health, vigor and popular joy of his eternal Fascism.

Since Jesus was a Jew, how could any Christian ever be associated with an anti-Semitic movement like National Socialism?

The Germans were overwhelming Christian when they elected Adolf Hitler to power in 1933. The vast majority of his supporters were Christian, and, with only very few exceptions, both Catholic and Lutheran churchmen were sympathetic to his Revolution. Early in his regime, he reached an accord with the Vatican, where Pious XII never preached against nor opposed either National Socialism or Fascism. On the contrary, the Pope often gave his public blessing to Axis troops, spoke out against atheistic Communism, and criticized the Western "democracies" for their aid to the Soviet nun-rapists and cathedral-burners. The German Navy, Army and Air Force all had Christian chaplains.

How was it possible, then, to reconcile Christian support for National Socialism with the supposedly Jewish identity of Jesus? The answer has been known for centuries, but, like the fable of the Emperor's New Clothes, never mentioned in polite society, where nothing must be allowed to disturb the Jewish world-view that dominates American thought.

Ernest Renan, a 19th Century French scholar who wrote the first non-religious biography of Jesus, concluded he was not Jewish for at least three, fundamental reasons:

- 1) Jesus was not a resident of Judea, but Galilee, its name meaning "Circle of Gentiles", where he spent most of his life. According to the New Testament (John 7:1), "After this Jesus stayed in Galilee; for he could not walk in Judea, because the Jews sought to kill him."
- 2) He was not a descendent of the Tribe of Judah.
- 3) Most significantly, had Jesus been a Jew, his accusers would not have had him tried by a Gentile, the Roman Pontius Pilate, but by the Jewish authorities.

His epitaph as "Jesus of Nazareth, King of the Jews" was equivalent to "Queen Victoria of England, the Empress of India." In other words, he was no more Jewish than she was Hindu.

Jesus clearly distinguished himself racially from the Jews when he told them, "You do not believe, because you are not of my sheep" (John 10:24-27), and told them they were "of their father the devil" (John 8: 31,44). He condemned Jewish leaders as "hypocrites" (Matthew 15:7; 22:18; 23:13, 15, 23, 25, 27-27), characterizing them as "serpents, the offspring of vipers" (Matthew 3:7; 12:34; 23:33). He told them point-blank, "You are of your father the devil, and lust for what is forbidden. He was a murderer from the beginning, and abode not in the truth, because there is no truth in him... as he is a liar and the father of lies" (John 8:31-44).

Jesus said the Jews belonged to "the synagogue of Satan" (Revelation 2:9 and 3:9), against which his followers were reluctant to tell the truth "for fear of the Jews" (John 7:13, 19:38, 20:19).

Then there was the incident where Jesus stormed into the Temple, where he beat the Jews with a whip, driving them out with the famous words, "You have turned my father's house into a den of thieves!" It is hardly surprising that they crucified him.

The evidence clearly shows that Jesus never thought of himself as a Jew, but was, in fact, anti-Jewish, even violently so. As such, he would have been very favorably disposed to National Socialism, although, admittedly, some of the churches which usurped his name ("Beware false prophets!", he warned) contradict his life and struggle "for fear of the Jews".

What does the salute, "88!", mean?

During spring, 1946, SS Lieutenant-Colonel Piemoeller secretly organized several hundred SS men in the Ruhr for attacks against the occupying Allied authorities in the Ruhr. The National Socialist partisans originated the covert greeting, "88!", used ever since. It signifies the eighth letter of the alphabet repeated twice – HH, for "Heil Hitler!", which was, and still is banned in Germany and the rest of Europe. Piemoeller's followers called themselves the "Blue Falcons", because they wore blue shirts and trousers. But it was their penchant for uniforms, no matter how apparently inconspicuous, that was their undoing, and they became the victims of mass-arrest before any operations could be launched. Two years ago, some U.S. clothing manufacturer was blasted in the national news media for making white headgear emblazoned with "88!" in red or black numbers, mostly because the politically incorrect field caps proved wildly popular with many thousands of teenagers across America. Like every manifestation of National Socialism, "88!" is vividly alive after more than sixty years of relentless suppression and psychological conditioning. Such an impressive survival record is incontrovertible proof of our Revolution's resilience, invincibility and perennial appeal to the Aryan soul -- as it was in the past, still is, and will be forever.

What's to be made of Israel's invasion of Lebanon?

Dr. Robert Ley, head of the Third Reich's Labor Front, once remarked that "the god of the Jews is the God of Revenge." Nowhere was this observation more clearly borne out than in Israel's recent invasion of Lebanon.

Writing for *The San Francisco Chronicle*, a Foreign Service branch reporter debunked the propaganda lie used by the Jews to justify murderous aggression against their Gentile neighbors. Matthew Kalman's article, "Israel set war plan more than a year ago", appeared in the July 21st edition, while the invasion was still in progress. Writing from Tel-Aviv, where he obtained all his source materials, Kalman, himself a Jew, documented Jewish intentions to punish the Lebanese people as long ago as early 2005, because they voted overwhelmingly for Hezbollah leaders.

Meanwhile, back at the White House, goy shills for the Zionist lobby heaped praise on Israel as "the only democracy in the Near East". In truth, the Israelis are no different than all other tub-thumpers for democracy: they believe in free elections, until their enemies are voted into power. That was the cause of their otherwise unprovoked assault against the Lebanese. The abduction of an Israeli soldier was just the pretext the Jews were hoping for as an excuse to seek revenge. Old, Soviet war-surplus, short-range *Katusha* rockets fired into Israel did little damage with their puny warheads. They could not begin to compare with the wholesale slaughter of a largely undefended civilian population undertaken by the Israeli armed forces richly equipped with the latest U.S. military hardware.

The world got a good look at *real* "weapons of mass-destruction", and they were not wielded by Iraqi insurgents or Al-quaida terrorists! Nor were they manufactured in Iran or Syria. No. They were all stamped, "Made in the U.S.A." This American outfitting of homicidal Israelis is hardly a secret, but fully appreciated throughout the entire Arab world. It should be no cause for wonder then, that the United States is seen as the arsenal for Israeli mass-murder, a relationship that is going farther to recruit whole armies of terrorists bent on our annihilation than any other single factor.

In Lebanon, the slaughter of thousands of targeted civilians went on week after week. Convoys of ambulances, food relief, even United Nations "peace keepers" were blown away in the best Jewish tradition of Bugsy Siegel or New York City's Purple Gang. When the Lebanese premiere appealed desperately to the American government to intercede with the rampaging invaders, he was told offhandedly by our negroid secretary of state, Condaleeza Rice, that the destruction of his country meant "the birth-pains of democracy in Lebanon". The Jews were allowed to run rampant with her blessing and the smiling indifference of her presidential boss.

None of this was surprising, and could only be expected. Shocking, however, was the invasion's outcome: The Jews lost! For the first time in their modern history, they were defeated, despite their overwhelming military superiority, by Gentiles who compensated for a lack of technological sophistication with guts and brains.

The Israelis trusted entirely to their ultra-modern weaponry, care of good, ole Uncle Sap, and forgot that scene in Francis Ford Coppola's film, *Apocalypse Now*, in which the American captain of a technologically loaded patrol boat in Vietnam is killed by a Stone Age savage. His dying words, as he looks down in disbelief at the bloody shaft sticking through his chest, are, "A spear!" So too, the Israelis imagined they could easily wipe out Hezbollah from the aloof comfort of their U.S. attack helicopters and remote command posts. Instead, they got bogged down in a hopeless situation among the ruins they themselves created, aroused the unified wrath of an entire people, and back-tracked to Israel, leaving Hezbollah more popular and deeper entrenched than before the invasion.

Worse for the Jews, they really went too far this time, showing their true face as vindictive butchers of defenseless Gentiles, arrogantly refusing to even consider pleas from the outside world to stop their killing in the name of humanity. After Lebanon, sob-stories about the bogus "Holocaust" no longer count for much. Thanks to their own loss of self-control, the Jews frightful, otherwise well-concealed capacity for murderous hatred exposed itself, and set in motion an international awakening to the Jewish Question.

Lebanon may thus have been a turning point for the Jews, the first, obvious crack in their monolithic power-block. They are not the invincible monsters long regarded as such by right-wing cowards. A discernible chink in their armor is showing. It is now up to us to widen it.

Lincoln Rockwell sometimes referred to National Socialism as "the Holy Grail of the White Race". What did he mean by this?

The Commander's analogy was insightfully appropriate. In medieval legends of the Holy Grail, it was mankind's supremely valuable object, able to transfigure anyone exposed to it with spiritual power. Sometimes portrayed as the cup that caught Christ's blood on the Cross, it was depicted in earlier, pre-Christian versions as the Keltic "cauldron of eternal abundance", or a precious jewel, the Germanic "Perfection of Paradise".

As some indication of its ancient origins, the term derived from the Gallic word for "power", *gral*. In the so-called "romances" of Britain's Walter Map, Germany's Wolfram von Eschenbach, or France's Cretien de Troyes, it represented the highest ideal, sought for by many. Most were discouraged by the overwhelming difficulties and life-threatening dangers of the quest, and gave up. Few caught so much as a glimpse of the sacred object. To some, it was invisible; though they looked straight at it, they could not see it. Others hated it more than anything else in their lives, and were bent on its destruction. They invariably failed, even when they imagined they succeeded.

Some who saw the Grail went blind, became ill, were driven mad, or died in its presence. Only a numerically small elite of individuals outstanding for their courage and perseverance, together with their purity of blood and intention, actually won the quest. The Grail empowered these heroes to save civilization by ennobling it. Mere mention of their names, even after the passage of many centuries, still evokes a feeling of the high mission they undertook – King Arthur, Galahad, Gwain, Parzifal, Lohengrin, etc. So the names of 20th Century knights, such as Adolf Hitler, William Joyce, Leon DeGrelle, or Lincoln Rockwell are associated with their incomparable heroism.

Like the Grail, National Socialism is deeply detested by some, because it reflects their own wickedness or inadequacy. As the Grail was invisible to others, so our Ideal is incomprehensible to persons who think only in terms of crass materialism.

The quest for the Holy Grail was fraught with hazards and suffering, thereby ensuring only the best – the most relentless of will and pure in heart – would be worthy of its achieving it. So too, our struggle is hard. It weeds out all but the most determined.

The Grail did grant at least one benefit to all those who searched for it, regardless of their degree of success or failure: All possessed something of the "Perfection of Paradise" they sought. So long as they continued in their quest, the Grail lent its greatness to every knight. Similarly, by fighting for National Socialism, each one of us is imbued with its greatness. That alone is the victory Lincoln Rockwell meant when he described Aryan man's quest for the Holy Grail as our National Socialist mission on this earth.

Who was really responsible for the events of 11 September 2001?

More than sixty American structural engineers, architects, and other professionals have gone on public record arguing against official versions of the alleged attacks on New York City and Washington, D.C. (www.csd.uwo.ca/faculty/akd/akd.html). Doubtless, many more university-trained skeptics keep similar conclusions to themselves for fear of the authorities. But a general consensus of opinion finds the collapse of the World Trade Center inconsistent with basic physics.

For example, the Twin Towers could not have collapsed as a result of burning jet fuel, because it was largely consumed on impact. Most of the fuel spilled outside the South Tower after the collision. Also, heat caused by burning jet fuel does not reach temperatures high enough to melt steel. By means of a revealing comparison, after the Second World War, a twin-engined 8-25 "Mitchell" medium-bomber crashed straight into the upper stories of New York's Empire State Building. The resultant conflagration was quickly extinguished with conventional abater hoses, and the old sky-scraper remained structurally sound into the 21st Century. In contrast, the collapse of the World Trade Center closely followed the demolition pattern of large buildings during controlled implosions, convincing many experts in such matters that explosives must have been placed on structural supports in the towers previous to the so-called "attack". Radio communications from fire-fighters on the upper floors of the Trade Center related that the fires were under control, and that neither structure was in danger of falling.

Several airline pilots have pointed out that the flight performance of the terrorists who deliberately flew their planes into the Twin Towers could only have been executed by highly skilled airmen, not by novices with virtually no training. Maneuvering lumbering Boeing 767s to score two, perfect hits on extremely narrow targets (without going into a stall!) could only be achieved by extraordinary aviators. Remarkably, a third structure ("Building Seven") suddenly collapsed all on its own, for no apparent cause, independently of the other two!

Simultaneous incidents at Washington, D.C. and over Pennsylvania like wise call into question official explanations. Damage to the Pentagon building was far out of proportion to the size of the blast hole and curious absence of debris. At the supposed point of impact, an entire bank of windows remained unbroken, and there were no scorch marks on the front lawn. No aircraft debris nor remains of passengers were ever found. Moreover, the angle of attack (at the base of the building) was impossible for large airliner to have used. Many independent investigators of the Pentagon Building attack believe it was the result of a surface-to-ground missile of the kind carried by USAF fighter-jets.

Hijackers of the fourth 767 were not overpowered by passengers, resulting in its crash into the Pennsylvania countryside. Numerous eyewitnesses saw debris falling from the sky, accounts which compliment the aircraft's wreckage, spread over a six-mile area. The plane was doubtless shot down and broke apart in midair. Cell-phone calls cannot be made from airliners in flight that are not close to the ground. One of Canada's leading mathematicians, Dr. A. K. Dewdney (Professor of Computer Science, University of Western Ontario), demonstrated that the emotional conversations between hijacked passengers and loved ones on the ground never took place because they were technically impossible.

Another scientist, Brigham Young University physics professor, Steven Jones, "began last year to research the physics behind the way the World Trade Center towers fell," according to *The Desert News* (Provo, UT, September 14, 2006). "Disturbed by the remarkable speed with which they fell and emboldened by his own experiments on ground zero materials, he developed a hypothesis that the collapse of the towers was aided by pre-positioned demolition charges. He later began to say the charges must have been set by a group inside the U.S. government.

"'I can be proven wrong,' Jones said. 'I accept that. But whoever does it will have to explain this molten metal to me, and especially all the barium found. (Barium is a chemical component in high-intensity explosives. AVS) That's nasty stuff that's not going to be used in a building.' Jones contends measurements he took of a sample of the molten metal found under all three buildings show it is not aluminum from the planes nor structural steel."

A recent nationwide poll disclosed that nearly 60 per cent of the American people believe the truth concerning the events of 11 September 2001 has been deliberately obscured.

If, as Professor Jones and his colleagues insist, persons in our own government were actually responsible for the incidents of that day, the World Trade Center destruction was an old method, tired and true, used to emotionally stampede artificially aroused public opinion for an obvious reason: to confiscate Mideast oil from its rightful owners; namely, the Iraqis and Iranians.

To justify increased American participation in the Vietnam War, President Johnson blamed the Gulf of Tonkin Incident, in which innocent U.S. Navy vessels on peaceful patrol were fired on by Viet Cong warships. American indignation rose to fever pitch, Congress allotted billions of dollars for military investment, and hundreds of thousands of troops filed into Southeast Asia. Thirty years later, after the useless deaths and meaningless suffering of U.S. soldiers, compounded by their country's humiliating defeat, a Congressional investigation revealed no shots had been fired in the Gulf of Tonkin.

President Roosevelt's responsibility for Pearl Harbor has been profusely documented, but nevertheless succeeded in transforming overwhelmingly non-interventionist Americans into enthusiastic dupes of Jewish revenge against the Third Reich.

In the previous European conflict, President Wilson's minions loaded an ocean-liner with contraband explosives and civilian passengers, then sent them careening into the middle of a war zone. The *Lusitania's* inevitable loss emotionally engineered the hitherto reluctant American people to kill and get themselves killed for Zionist agendas they never suspected.

Earlier still, the American battleship *Maine* was blown up by U.S. government agents as a pretext for seizing Spanish holdings in Cuba and the Philippines.

Whether or not the World Trade Center's destruction was actually the work of U.S. government operatives, they certainly have historical precedents for such an action.

How can you claim that the Aryan Race is alone capable of creating and sustaining civilization, when non-White Indians throughout Mexico and Peru built great city-states long before modern Europeans arrived in the Americas?

When Spanish explorers landed on the east coast of Mexico during the early 16th Century, they were surprised by the appearance of Moctezuma II, because the Aztec Emperor was so unlike the masses of his swarthy subjects. His complexion was much lighter than theirs, he had grey, not black eyes, and wore facial hair in sharp contrast to the naturally beardless Indians. The Conquistadors noted that he was similar to other members of the Aztec ruling class, whose women commonly wore soft, light-brown hair and possessed graceful features, unlike the coarse, jet-black hair and Mongoloid faces of the common people.

The Spaniards were at first welcomed by Moctezuma as the "Children of Quetzalcoatl", descendants of the "Feathered Serpent". This was the title of a mythic-historical figure, according to Mesoamerican tradition, of a blond, bearded White man, who long before sailed across the Atlantic Ocean from a splendid kingdom. Arriving near present-day Veracruz, he was said to have introduced medicine, law, agriculture, irrigation, mathematics, astronomy, pyramid-building,

and all civilized arts to the natives. The same story was repeated by the Mayas, who called him Kukulcan, and among the Incas of Peru and Bolivia he was Kon-Tiki-Viracocha, "Sea Foam", after the bow-wave of his ship. Remarkably, the Quiche inhabitants of the Lowland Yucatan actually revered his name as Votan, the same king of the gods in Germanic myth, Wotan; both the Quiche Votan and the Old World Wotan were known to their respective peoples as "Wanderers".

Aztec and Maya portrait sculpture often depicted monarchs and aristocrats with distinctly un-Indian facial features. These representations were complimented by many dozens of pre-Inca mummies unearthed from the Peruvian Desert with well-preserved red and blond hair. The Mayas' city of Mutul was founded, they affirmed, by an overseas' foreigner, Zacmutul, whose name means, literally, the "White Man". Their most important goddess, likewise a transatlantic arrival, was Ixchel, the "White Lady". Skeletal remains of the Maya elite, remembered as the Pilli, show that their aristocrats were substantially taller and possessed larger skulls than the native masses.

An abundance of physical and folkloric evidence confirms that pre-Columbian civilizations throughout the Americas were sparked by fair-skinned visitors from the Old World centuries and even millennia previous to the arrival of modern-era Spaniards. Mainstream scholars refuse to admit the obvious, insisting that all the great Mesoamerican and Andean cultures were entirely indigenous, and arose with no assistance from any outside influences. To conclude otherwise is considered "racist", the kiss of death to scientists and teachers in our anti-White society. The facts are nonetheless undeniable. They prove that Aryan culture-bearers from not one but various Old World lands put in at American shores for different causes over time. They immediately established themselves as the ruling elite, a tiny minority in control of a numerically overwhelming populace. These masses of colored natives performed manual labor at the behest of the civilization-building vision of the White aristocracy. For centuries, the high cultures rose over deserts, in valleys and on mountaintops, achieving great feats of material magnificence, until the "Feathered Serpent" god-kings made the fatal error of interbreeding with their dark-skinned subjects. The original White ruling class eventually died out through genetic pollution, leaving behind a mestizo aristocracy that found governing millions of savages increasingly difficult until the once powerful Mesoamerican and Andean societies descended into barbarism.

This, in a nutshell, is the prehistory of the Americas. It is also a reflection of and warning to our times. The continued existence of America's White professional and working class elite is similarly threatened by dissolution through racial contamination with a ground-swell of non-White populations surging through our country.

Civilization, whenever and wherever it has arisen, is now and always exclusively the product of White genius. Claiming only Indians built Mexico's Pyramid of the Sun is like insisting today's Space Shuttle was made by Negroes. While non-Whites in

both examples may have contributed some physical labor, the conception, sophisticated science and engineering that went into both were entirely Aryan.

You claim U.S. involvement in Iraq stemmed from Ariel Sharon's demand we overthrow Saddam Hussein. If so, why are American forces still mired in the Middle East?

Sharon's successor, Ehud Olmert, told a group of American Jews visiting Tel Aviv last 30 November, "I know all of his (George Bush) policies are controversial in America. But I stand with the President, because I know that Iraq without Saddam Hussein is so much better for the security and safety of Israel."

In a Roeper News report by Dan Williams, he pointed out that Saddam Hussein strongly backed the Palestinians' fight against Israeli occupation of their land. Williams also cited recent polls showing more than ninety per cent of Israelis support American occupation of Iraq. While not quite as high, a solid majority of American and European Jews likewise approve of U.S. entanglement in Iraq. These figures sharply contrast gentile opposition around the world to the so-called "Coalition" of U.S. and European invaders who have replaced Saddam's dictatorship with civil war.

None of this comes as any surprise to National Socialists, who know that the United States have been the arsenal of Jewry since America's pointless involvement in World War One almost ninety years ago. As a people with no sense of history, Americans cannot understand why they continuously find themselves in one military muddle after another. Iraq, Serbia, Granada, Korea, etc., etc., are all pointless, overseas misadventures in which Americans are killed and mutilated, always under the manufactured illusion that they fought for their country, when they are only mortal pawns in the Jewish agendas of the Sharons and Olmerts of this world.

In the same time it took Americans to win World War Two, their grandsons are still falling at an exponential rate all across Iraq, with no hope of winning the prematurely announced "victory" proclaimed by their commander-in-chief more than three-and-a-half years ago. If "Coalition" forces continue their occupation of Iraq for Israel's sake, that part of the world will become, as it already has, a flourishing mecca, not for prayer, but terrorism that will eventually spread in a fire-storm of hatred for everything Western, and particularly American, around the globe.

A NATO investigation issued around the time of Olmert's statement ominously reported (according to a BBC broadcast, 26 November) that Iraqi insurgents no longer depend on outside assistance to carry out operations, which are gaining rapidly in frequency and effectiveness, despite increasing U.S. troop levels. In every armed struggle, the most difficult challenge its proponents face is adequate supply. The Hungarian Revolution of 1956, for all its initial success, collapsed because the

outside world would not help its freedom fighters against Soviet oppression. So too, Americans would not have won their rebellion against Britain (at least not in 1789) without the timely intervention of France.

In becoming self-supportive, the Iraqi insurgents have, therefore, successfully passed the most crucial phase in their fight against the foreign invaders of their soil. This happened because "Coalition" forces failed to prevent outside aid reaching the insurgents (mostly through Afghanistan, which the Bush bunglers largely ignored), but more especially due to the support of the Iraqi people themselves, who, understandably, have come to despise their uninvited "liberators". In any case, the real turning point of the Iraq War has just been reached with the insurgents' self-sufficiency. For all of the Americans' high-tech weapons, the military initiative has passed to their enemies. From now on, the most "Coalition" forces can expect in Iraq is what may pass as a temporary stalemate.

This truly deplorable situation has nothing whatsoever to do with the undeniable fortitude and ability of American troops. They have been thrust into an untenable situation no army in history would have been able to win. They are not the authors of the Iraq catastrophe, but are no less its victims than the Iraqi people themselves, as they and we are being made to pay a sky-rocketing price for, as Ehud Olmert says, "the security and safety of Israel".

You mention a racial revival for Aryan mankind, but where is it taking place?

Last summer, nothing less than a White insurrection broke out all across northern Russia. Although Americans were denied any information about this far-flung series of events, the rest of the world, including the British Broadcasting Company (upon which this report is based) gave broad coverage to nearly a month of rioting by Aryan Russians against the colored invasion of their country.

The attacks on anyone and anything connected with Africa, Asia or the Middle East were launched by literally tens of thousands of Russian men, women and children from the Baltic Sea to Moscow from mid-August through early September. During the Soviet era, Communist race-mixers encouraged thousands of sub-humans from Third World pest-holes to settle behind the Iron Curtain. Like all vermin, they bred prolifically, until the still undiluted racial instincts of the Russian people finally erupted against "the rising tide of color". Too many years of rape, murder, theft, drug peddling, miscegenation, infectious diseases and urban blight provoked the Aryan spirit of self-defense. Newspaper polls taken during and immediately after the

uprising consistently revealed majority support for the Aryan freedom-fighters, so much so, President Vladimir Putin's government announced an end to all further immigration, the dissolution of colored communities in Russia, and expulsion of non-whites from the country. The process will be a long one, as there are many

millions of negroes, Vietnamese, gypsies, and other, assorted racial low-life still infesting Russia. But the Eastern European will to clean house has risen with a vengeance.

In Britain, also, a spirit of resistance to the World Enemy has reasserted itself. According to a U.K. government report issued in January, there were more public attacks on Jews in 2006 than at any time since World War Two. What makes these actions all the more remarkable are laws enforced throughout Britain criminalizing any form of "anti-Semitism", aided and abetted by unremitting propaganda extolling the Jews in all forms of public information, from the classroom to television programming.

Events in Russia and Britain, where both Gentile populations have been bombarded for generations with Jew-loving race-mixing, proves that our people's survival instinct is still a pure flame of racial self-awareness that has re-ignited the White Revolution.

You state that anti-Jewish feeling has recently risen in Britain. Why?

According to a study carried out by *The London Times* last January, English sentiment began turning against the Jews four years ago with Prime Minister Tony Blair's decision to follow America into the Iraqi cul-de-sac. His lap-dog response to make the Middle East safe for Israel by sacrificing British lives has turned the United Kingdom into a target for Islamic terrorists understandably upset by the brutal occupation of their homeland by foreign armies. Gentiles across England vehemently oppose their country's participation in this Zionist crusade, and growing numbers of them are increasingly outspoken against the self-evident Jewish agendas of Anglo-American aggression against Iraq and, inevitably, Iran and Syria.

Researchers for *The Times* also learned that popular hatred for God's Chosen People rose sharply with the arrest and conviction of David Irving in Austria on charges of "denying the Holocaust", a capital offense in most of Western Europe. Incarcerated after more than a year with gang rapists and serial murderers, the sixty seven year-old British historian was freed on bond pending appeal for a reduction of his thirteen-year sentence, which the "Austrian" prosecutor vows to extend by at least another decade.

Although no public officials anywhere in England offered so much as a peep of protest against the Galileo-like injustice suffered by a fellow countryman, David Irving's mistreatment has outraged so-called "common people" at home. They need no one to define the identity of his tormentors, which are less Austrian than Jewish. As such, Irving's plight has struck a deep chord of sympathy in the hearts of Britons, thereby rekindling their slumbering racial instincts against the World Enemy. From it an irrepressible conflagration is already spreading around the world to eventually consume the Talmudic tyrants with all their armed aggression and rotting prisons.

On March 9th, you celebrate the 89th anniversary of the birth of Lincoln Rockwell. But other than the post-World War Two resurrection of your Movement, why should he be remembered?

Lincoln Rockwell's achievements during his leadership of the American Nazi Party, which he founded in October, 1958, until his assassination in August, 1967, far outstrip the limitations of this web page to describe.

Alone, with no outside support, he single-handedly raised the Swastika banner of our White Race against the hostility of the entire world. Just surviving such opposition was a stupendous accomplishment of raw guts and unbreakable nerve. Gradually, his solitary courage won the respect of like-minded racial patriots, not many, but enough to make many millions of fellow Americans acquainted with at least the most basic fundamentals of his Aryan alternative to race-mixing decay. Only after years of arrests, imprisonments, financially draining fines and court cases, relentless incitement against him by America's Jew-controlled sources of information, and bloody hand-to-hand street-combat with numerically superior mobs, did the Commander and his small band of followers finally achieve the Holy Grail of their early quest.

In summer, 1966, the Aryan masses of our country turned to him for leadership, first in Chicago, where 3,000 homeowners, factory workers, students, housewives, and even clergy acclaimed his brazen stand for "White Power". Thousands more marched behind him a few weeks later through a nearby neighborhood partially occupied by hordes of black sub-humans. When confronted by the rising tide of resentment, Martin Luther King, Jr. raised his eyes and hands to heaven, wailing before a battery of television cameras, "I'ze nebber seeeeeen so much hate!"

But the groundswell of open, mass-support for Commander Rockwell swept throughout the Middle West, especially in Kentucky. There, enraged crowds of White people literally ran off federal marshals trying to forcibly integrate Louisville with anthropoid apes.

Lincoln Rockwell had at last achieved the goal for which he strove during the previous eight years: mass-action. "It is now our purpose to transmute that patriotic violence," he said, "into political action." He declared his intention to run for president of the United States in the next election under the campaign slogan, "The Jews are through in '72!"

Apparently, he was not alone in his belief, because Rockwell was assassinated one year after his popular success by the same haters of our race still pushing for its dissolution. Their murder of the Commander in the midst of his triumph proved how deeply they feared a White uprising that could only end in their total overthrow and extirpation.

Until he demonstrated that average persons can in fact be convinced to support and fight for our Cause, few, even in the Movement, believed that we would ever win the hearts and minds of our sorely betrayed people. But Lincoln Rockwell showed that the spark of National Socialism, contrary to all the mean-spirited propaganda of our enemies and the pessimism of the faint-hearted among us, can indeed ignite the racial instincts of White Americans. Thanks to the proof he provided and the example he set, we have taken up his banner to march with iron confidence toward the final victory we envision.

National Socialists talk in ideological terms about the so-called "decline" of U.S. society caused by the proliferation of non-White races and the disaffection of Aryan Americans, but what are the facts to back up such a view?

Crime: Until the mid-20' Century, Florida was known worldwide as an ideal place to retire, not only because of its warm climate and beaches, but for the state's friendliness and tranquility. With President Lyndon Johnson's abolition of America's immigration laws in 1964, waves of racial low-life from Latin America and the Caribbean poured into our country, with especially deleterious effects in Florida. Crime statistics publicized in early March by *USA Today* show that physical assaults -- including armed robbery, mugging and murder -- have increased 44% in Fort Lauderdale, with a whopping 123% in Orlando. The vast majority of this violence is perpetuated by "refugees" from Haiti, Mexico, Colombia and other unhappy lands. Although mainstream news media pundits refrain from revealing everything the new reports document, the crime studies demonstrate a perfect correlation between the spike in violence and non-White population increase across Florida and the rest of the U.S. Uniform Crimes Reports of the F.B.I. have consistently demonstrated since the early 1960s that America's 12% black minority commits more than 80% of our country's violent crime. When we factor in burgeoning Hispanic and Asian populations in the U.S., almost 90% of violent crime today is perpetrated by non-Whites.

The Jewish Question: In February, Jeff Zucker took over General Electric's NBC Universal entertainment unit, described by *The Week* (vol. 7, # 297) as "a media giant with cable channels, a film studio, and theme parks." Zucker joins his fellow tribesmen in their Zionist monopoly of all sources of public information. But they have largely failed, because most Americans believe "the press is biased", according to a nationwide poll taken by *The Week* (vol. 6, #291/292).

Positive popular feeling in our direction: Despite the unrelenting efforts to Zucker and his kosher ilk to brain-wash White Americans with race-mixing and tales of a bogus "Holocaust", *The Week* (vol. 6, #291/292) reports that 69% of our people supported actor/director Mel Gibson after he declared the obvious truth that "the Jews are responsible for all modern wars". The same issue (page 24) showed that more Americans "feel more positively than negatively about comedian Michael

Richards" when he publicly referred to a gaggle of dusky hecklers during a live stage performance as "nigger loud-mouths".

***The failure of "democracy":* According to a "Poll Watch" section of *The Week* (vol. 6, #291/292), less than a quarter of the American people regard President Bush as trustworthy, and 97% regard Congress as deceitful. Regarding Jew-instigated aggression in Iraq, "62% say the war has not been worth the loss of American lives" (USA Today/Gallup). As White Americans grow increasingly disillusioned with so-called "democracy", fewer of them participate in its self-evidently corrupt process. Beginning the late 20 Century, far less than half of all eligible voters go to the polls, with good reason. Limited to untrustworthy front-men for kosher causes like Bush or Gore, most Americans are too sensible to play the Zionist political shell game. In the last presidential contest, only 36% of eligible White Americans bothered to waste their vote and their time on the Jews' pre-packaged candidates.**

National Socialists contend that Adolf Hitler won unprecedented loyalty from his followers, but isn't it true that some of his closest colleagues, such as Field Marshal Rommel, SS leader Heinrich Himmler and Luftwaffe chief Hermann Goering, turned against him?

After World War Two, Rommel's alleged participation in the conservative-aristocrat bomb-plot to assassinate Hitler was distorted by anti-Nazi liars like Winston Churchill, who wrote in his self-serving biography that the Field Marshal "came to despise the evil he had served." This conclusion has been subsequently dramatized by Hollywood movies and pseudo-documentaries on today's notoriously biased and inaccurate television. These disreputable sources and Britain's alcoholic Prime Minister have since been debunked by Rommel's widow and all historians, who have abundantly shown that Rommel never lost his deep respect for Adolf Hitler and National Socialism. Yet, he was brought up from early youth in the venerable military traditions of his country, an upbringing with fatal consequences.

In mid-1944, Rommel learned that a few Army generals were plotting against the National Socialist regime, although they withheld their plan to commit murder. He not only refused to have any part in their sedition, but warned them to drop it altogether. But by sharing their fundamental intentions with him, they placed Rommel in a very difficult position. He was honor-bound not to betray fellow officers, yet the Reich authorities needed to be alerted. Although no one knows just what was on his mind at the time --- whether he believed his words of warning to the treasonous generals were sufficient, or if he planned to eventually expose the traitors --- he was torn with indecision between personal honor and patriotic duty. Moreover, he was in extraordinary bad health at the time, having just suffered physical trauma after his staff car was strafed by a Canadian fighter-plane.

When the aristocrats' bomb-plot fizzled out, one of the captured conspirators implicated Rommel, who admitted he had prior knowledge of their attitude, tried to dissuade them from anything unpatriotic, but could not bring himself to betray

fellow officers. His plight severely divided the ranks of Party leadership. Dr. Goebbels and others like him believed there were mitigating circumstances, and that the Field Marshal, a victim of his own sense of honor, and who was, in any case, physically ill, should not be prosecuted. Hitler, the victim, removed himself from the controversy. Others, mostly led by Martin Bormann, carried the day by arguing that Rommel would be found guilty in any court of law for having withheld information vital to the prevention of a felony. Due to the Third Reich's precarious military situation, however, a public trial would have a most deleterious impact on German morale at a time of national crisis.

Accordingly, Rommel was allowed an honorable death in the manner of ancient Roman commanders, and took his own life in October, 1944. He was a tragic figure, who would have despised the false role cast for him by today's distorters of the past as a kind of anti-Nazi "idealist" "disillusioned" with National Socialism.

It is true that both Heinrich Himmler and Hermann Goering, two of the highest ranking leaders of the Third Reich, were expelled from the NSDAP during the final days of the war, as cited in Hitler's last will and testament. Both suffered from the complete breakdown of communications that characterized conditions throughout Germany during spring, 1945. For the previous several months, Himmler, with Hitler's consent, had been meeting with neutral Swedish intermediaries to negotiate an armistice with the Anglo-Americans in the hopes of an alliance, however far-fetched, against Stalin.

In late April, Hitler, isolated in his Berlin bunker and preparing his last offensive against Soviet forces to break their stranglehold on the capital, received a faulty, second-hand report that suggested Himmler was brokering a separate surrender with the Western allies. Messages sent by the Fuehrer demanding clarification went unanswered, leaving him with the impression that his SS leader had indeed overstepped himself. In truth, Himmler never received Hitler's inquiries, and, while the British showed signs of interest in some form of cooperation against Russia, Eisenhower would have none of it.

Hermann Goering, then in Bavaria, was similarly cut off from Hitler, who was totally isolated in enemy-surrounded Berlin. As the nation's second-in-command, Goering cited the 1939 provision allowing him to assume supreme command in the event the Fuehrer was physically prevented from exercising authority. While the Luftwaffe chief could obtain no information from Berlin, Hitler was bombarded with incomplete reports about the outside world. After numerous appeals to Goering, the Fuehrer, as in Himmler's case, received no response, assumed the worst --- that Goering had usurped power at a critical moment --- and dismissed him from the Party. Poor Goering was even briefly under house arrest by SS troops!

He, Rommel, and Himmler were great National Socialists, victims, like millions of others, of the war to make the world safe for Jewry, the world of domestic decline and global terrorism in which we live today.

You claim anti-Semitic incidents in Russia last year are evidence of popular feeling rising against the Jews there. But surely these outbursts were committed by just a few thugs, as the majority of Russians, like people everywhere, do not hate the Jews.

Like all liberal assumptions, this statement is based on political correctness, not the facts. For example, last February 3rd, Russian state-owned television featured a nationally broadcast debate between two Gentiles, legislator Albert Makashov, and former Soviet cosmonaut, Alexei Leonov, on the Jewish Question.

Leonov, an unrepentant Red, boasted that Jews were primarily responsible for the Soviet empire from Karl Marx (a.k.a., Mordachai), to Leon Trotsky (Lev Bronstein), and Joe Stalin (a Georgian Jew). Makashov agreed, but countered that Jewish oligarches had robbed Russia of its wealth, and were exerting "total control" over its economy. As such, the Jews, who have been responsible for Russia's misery for centuries, should be deported en masse.

Hosted by Jew Vladimir Solovyev, a mixed Jewish-Gentile panel of judges not surprisingly declared Leonov the winner of the debate, a decision hotly contested by viewers who contacted the show from all over Russia. 53% of the telephoned votes went to Makashov, and many of the callers expressed outrage at the obviously biased program, and made strongly anti-Jewish statements, some even calling for "a Russian version of Hitler's 'Final Solution'."

A firestorm of controversy swept the country. Makashov's arrest was demanded by the formal head of Russia's organized Jewish community, and the Jew journalist, Tankred Golenpolsky, wrote of the televised debate, "This show is a signal to the Jews, including those who had never thought about leaving their native country. It's time to take our kids out of here!"

Even before the Makashov-Leonov confrontation, twenty Russian lawmakers petitioned President Putin in a signed statement calling for a ban on all Jewish organizations.

These and numerous other indications of an awakening folk consciousness suggest that Russia, the Caucasian birthplace of Aryan man, is rapidly becoming the best hope for the future survival of our White Race.

Don't you agree that firing radio talk-show host, Don Imus, after he uttered his racist slurs, was the only decent thing to do?

Just two years ago, a member of the comedy team, "Penn and Teller", referred to the nuns who worked with Mother Teresa as "f*ing c**ts" on the nationally broadcast "Showtime". Penn Jillette went on to call her "Mother F***ing Teresa". A year later, Jillette said the Catholic saint, who she compared to Charles Manson, "had this weird kink that I think was sexual," and "got her [sexual] kicks watching**

people suffer and die". Sumner Redstone, the chief of Viacom that owns "Showtime", insisted Jillette's repeated characterizations of Mother Teresa were examples of "artistic freedom" and "tolerance".

Also in 2005, Bill Maher said on HBO just after Pope John Paul II died, "For those who could not make the funeral, the Vatican has asked that in lieu of flowers, just stop touching your d**k." He added that the whole story of Jesus, the Virgin Mary, and the resurrection were all "grafted from paganism", and concluded by mocking the death of the Catholic pontiff. Maher's boss, Shelley Silverstein, fluffed off criticism of his goyische front-man by saying, "it's a free country, and people are free to say silly things, even BO."

Just this Easter, an effigy of Jesus in chocolate with his genitals exposed was exhibited to worldwide acclaim in a midtown Manhattan art gallery. A sign at the Roger Smith Hotel where the anthropomorphic confection was displayed invited visitors to "eat him". When some Gentile New Yorkers dared to question this latest sample of modern art, "Air America" radio co-host, Cenk Uygur, blasted them in "The Huffington Post", writing, "So, is the argument that Jesus didn't have a d**k? Or were people offended because it was too big? Too small? Too immaculate? Not immaculate enough?" Although Uygur extolled the "chocolate Jesus" as freedom of expression, he castigated Don Imus for comedic comments about a black women's basketball team as "derogatory and insulting".

Rising calls for Imus to be fired were echoed by Joan Walsh on Salon.com, who added that the controversial depiction of Christ was not "a big deal", advising people not to go see it if they didn't like it. Mayor Michael Bloomberg similarly told fellow New Yorkers, "don't pay any attention" to the harmless exhibit, while branding Imus as "repugnant".

Jews Bloomberg, Redstone, Uygur, Silverstein, et al, and their common, Gentile shills --- Jillette, Maher, etc. --- are praised for denigrating White American religious beliefs in the most psychotic manner, but are mortally offended by Imus for using the same language with which blacks describe their own women as "nappy-headed ho'es". Moreover, blatantly anti-White "rap music", some of its vocalists extolling the murder of police officers and the rape of White women, is sold by the millions of c.d.s and videos around the world with no hint of offense taken by its intended victims.

In other words, extreme insults of White Christians are protected, even lauded in our society, but the merest suggestion of "racism" or, worse yet, "anti-Semitism", is the worst, conceivable offense, the Kiss of Death for any Gentile slip-of-the-tongue. Imus, for all his repeated genuflections before the throne of Political Correctness, is beyond the pale of forgiveness. And the strident calls for his dismissal are not unlike the demand of the Jewish mob, "Crucify him!", at Christ's trial.

For National Socialists, however, none of this comes as any surprise. We understand the Jews, whose nature is to bring about the decomposition of other peoples. As Ignatius Donnelly, Minnesota's Civil War governor, said of them more than one hundred years ago, "what they cannot destroy, they poison." As such, the Jews are only being true to their intrinsic parasitism when they vilify Mother Teresa, applaud a chocolate Jesus, or crucify Don Imus.

The real culprits in this hypocritical dilemma of Imus-contra-Maher, et al, are White Americans. If they are too intimidated and frightened to stand up against a profoundly humiliating, sub-human minority, they have no one to blame but themselves. It is not the Jews and their dusky minions, but White American apathy and cowardice that is at fault.

The Jews, Blacks and Hispanics react violently to the slightest whiff of perceived criticism, however innocuous, while our people, indoctrinated with self-guilt by the same media-Jews, lower their eyes and suffer deep insult to everything they are and hold sacred without comment. White Americans are being bullied into submission by the maniacs of Political Correctness. The time is coming, however, when the fate that inevitably overtakes all bullies will purge our trashy society, and sweep our country clean once and for all.

But if the statement made by Don Imus was as harmless as you claim, then why has he become the focus of so much media attention?

The intense, unrelenting coverage showered on Imus by all television networks and every national newspaper has only one aim; namely, to make an example of him.

"No matter how famous or influential you may be, if you make a single, racist comment, albeit ever so innocuous, your career will be utterly destroyed, and your reputation ruined forever. Henceforth, you will be a social pariah shunned with disgust by your fellow humans for fear of being contaminated by your bigotry. You might as well kill yourself."

This is the message implicit but repeatedly hammered home by news media moguls as a dire warning to any and all White Americans. It is part of the psychological conditioning aimed at coercing our people and eradicating their racial self-respect.

Even Congoleesa Rice, one of the most powerful persons in the Bush administration, weighed in publicly to browbeat Imus during a nationally telecast interview over NBC. When the U.S. Secretary of State takes time out from her foreign diplomacy agenda to castigate a mere radio talk-show host for his light-hearted, passing remark, she has elevated a piece of racist trivia to the level of national policy: "If we can crucify somebody like Don Imus, imagine what we could do to YOU!"

None of this well-orchestrated intimidation has anything whatsoever to do with "fairness", "equality", "justice", or the other, shop-worn tenets piously spouted by

the purveyors of "cultural diversity" (a.k.a., miscegenation). Instead, the example made of Don Imus is part of an ongoing process to intimidate White Americans by fanatical race-mixers who self-evidently dominate all of our country's sources of public information.

Several television programs are taking advantage of the 70th anniversary of the destruction of the German dirigible, *Hindenburg*, to bash Hitler. But what really happened?

The zeppelin, LZ 129 *Hindenburg*, was the largest aircraft ever built. At 804 feet from nose to tail, it was longer than three Boeing 747s placed end-to-end, and shorter than the *Titanic* by just 78 feet.

This awesome milestone in aviation history was but one of numerous aeronautical advances credited to National Socialism, which overnight transformed an impoverished Germany into the most technologically advanced society of its time. It was achieved by first removing the anti-science of Marxism, "equality", liberalism, "economic determinism", and all the other, Jewish clap-trap that had previously befogged the academic world. And secondly, by cultivating the German people's best racial stock to raise their overall intellectual performance, and inspire them with the ideal of Aryan greatness. Three years after Adolf Hitler achieved power, the magnificent *Hindenburg* was launched, followed by the world's first jet plane (the Heinkel 178); the world's first helicopter (the Focke-Wulf *Angelis*), both in 1939; the world's first "cruise missile" (the V-1); the world's first ballistic missile (the V-2), both in 1944; etc., etc.

Tragically, the *Hindenburg* is better remembered for its fiery destruction while landing at Lakehurst Naval Air Station, in Manchester, New Jersey, on 6 May 1937. Naturally, our enemies regard anything connected with National Socialism as an opportunity to undermine it. They have even gone so far in one of their recently televised pseudo-documentaries to claim that Hitler himself ordered the zeppelin destroyed, because its manufacturer had named it after the former Reich President instead of himself! Less hysterical explanations cite the *Hindenburg's* potentially inflammable duralumin frame covered by cotton varnished in iron oxide and cellulose acetate butyrate, then impregnated with aluminium powder, and the combustible hydrogen gas used to lift it as probable causes.

While these factors undoubtedly acted as accelerants, they did not set off the blaze. Max Pruss, the surviving captain, told Kenneth Leish in a 1960 interview for Columbia University's Oral History Research Office that his airship was the victim of sabotage. Two years later, the German, but very anti-Nazi researcher, A. Hoehling, published *Who Destroyed the Hindenburg?*, in which he showed how the dirigible had been the target of a female Communist agent operating out of Rumania. She seduced Eric Spehl, a rigger aboard the zeppelin, and an avid amateur photographer with access to a variety of equipment, including high-intensity flashbulbs, one of which he used to ignite the gas. This is no mere

speculation. Just prior the explosion, fellow rigger Hans Freund and Number 2 helmsman Helmut Lau saw a bright burst of what they took for a flashbulb going off between cells 4 and 5, Spehl's assigned duty station, and the place where the fire began. In fact, a dry-cell battery able to power a flashbulb was recovered from the wreckage.

Two weeks before the disaster, a Milwaukee resident, Kathie Rauch, published a letter in which she wrote that the *Hindenburg* would be sabotaged and explode. Her possible connection with Spehl was investigated by the Gestapo into the following year, although both the American and German authorities examining the crash publicly concluded that it was an accident. Actually, neither wanted to exacerbate the already bad relations between their diametrically opposed governments. Indeed, President Franklin Roosevelt was at least indirectly responsible for the crash that took thirty six lives, Spehl among them, when F.D.R. refused to allow the export of non-flammable helium to the Third Reich, forcing the owners of the doomed dirigible to use the more dangerous hydrogen.

Hoehling's conclusions were corroborated in 1972 by the American investigative reporter, Michael MacDonald Mooney, in his own book, *The Hindenburg*.

The magnificent airship was a microcosm of the far greater conflagration to come just two years later, when the same, anti-Aryan arsonists incinerated tens of millions of victims in World War Two. Even so, LZ 129 *Hindenburg* sails on through the pages of aviation history, where it is enshrined as an incomparable monument to the genius of our race and the imperishable pride of every National Socialist.

Does the appearance of so many Afro-Americans on television prove they are a wonderfully talented people?

In response to a poll taken earlier this year by *Newsweek Magazine*, White Americans believe that Negroes comprise 35 to 50 per cent of the current U.S. population. According to the federal government's census bureau, however, just 12 per cent of the U.S. population is black. Our people's overestimation is understandable in view of blacks' disproportionate representation on television, in films, newspapers, magazines, advertising -- in short, every form of public information. Their actual numbers are grossly out of proportion to their ubiquitous appearance throughout the nation's news and entertainment media, where they are invariably featured on a parity, at least, with White people.

How can we account for such a persistent, uniform illusion, in which a 12 per cent minority is consistently depicted as an almost numerically equal part of our population? If, by way of comparison, Swedish-Americans or Armenian-Americans were identically portrayed, the result would be ludicrous and incredible. A visitor from another planet shown the blacks' population percentage compared to their overblown presentation in every form of mainstream information would have to conclude that human society is crazy.

This insane imbalance between population reality and public portrayal is utterly incomprehensible outside a single fact; namely, that the powers behind such a deliberate discrepancy are converts to the Church of Miscegenation, the religion of race-mixing, with all the single-minded intolerance of history's most fanatical faith. No other cause can explain who is responsible for the implicit lie that blacks are equal to Whites in every way. It is an equality camouflaged with the trappings of "justice" and "fairness" -- propaganda window-dressing concealing a lethal poison offered to our people by the deceptive, driven subverters of the truth.

Isn't it true that the Jews were innocent scape-goats for Germany's problems before the Nazis came to power?

In 1924, with Hitler jailed and his movement disbanded, the anti-Nazi, liberal chancellor of Germany, Gustav Stresemann, to the British ambassador, Viscount D'Abernon, "The mass of people are disconcerted, because they find that they themselves are poor, while the Jews are rich, and, they ask, why has the government allowed this?"

Popular anti-Semitism was never an excuse for social problems, but sprouted directly from the facts of life in post-war Europe. The renowned British historian, Sir Arthur Bryant, knighted for his scholarly work, pointed out that Jews comprised just one per cent of the German population at the time of Stresemann's observation, yet they made up one quarter of the Chancellor's fellow social democrats. At that time, according to Bryant, Jews controlled twenty two per cent of Germany's grain trade, thirty nine per cent of her textile trade, and fifty seven per cent of the country's metal trade. More than half of the members of the Berlin Chamber of Commerce were Jewish, as were twelve-hundred of the fourteen-hundred-seventy-four members of the stock exchange. Of the twenty-nine theaters in Berlin, twenty-three were directed and/or owned by Jews.

Even the anti-Nazi author, Edward Mowrer, admitted that "a telephone connection between two or three Jews in the ministerial offices could effect the suppression of any newspaper in the state." Bryant went on to show that of one-hundred-forty-four film scripts written in 1931, one-hundred-nineteen were authored by Jews. Forty-two per cent of Berlin doctors were Jewish, and forty-eight per cent of the lawyers. Another British historian, Francis Yeats Brown, reveals that four-hundred-thirty-two out of six-hundred-fifty-nine lawyers in Frankfurt were Jews. In that same city, fifteen Jewish bankers held seven-hundred-eighteen directorships. In Vienna, eighty-five per cent of the lawyers, seventy per cent of the dentists, and more than half of the physicians were Jewish.

Newspapers were eighty per cent owned by Jews; banks, seventy-five per cent; the cinema, seventy per cent; etc., etc.

When confronted by these unimpeachable facts, Judeophiles typically claim they simply demonstrate the Jews' superior abilities and diligence. But our chief

objection against the Jews is their terrible abuse of power. As Bryant observed in 1920s Germany, "Every year, it became harder for a Gentile to gain or keep a foothold in any privileged occupation." Another British author of the period, Peter Gay, writing of the Ulstein publishing empire, stated "that for a writer without a private income, the favor of Ulstein meant luxury; its disfavor, near-starvation." Jew Leopold Jessner, described by Gay as "the most powerful man in the Weimar theater", staged a lavish production of *William Tell*, in which Schiller's original text was refashioned, cut and edited to utterly transform the play from a nationalist drama into a Marxist up-rising. The leading actors, Albert Bassermann and Fritz Kortner, were Jews.

Further examples of Jewish monopoly and distortion far exceed the limitations of this web-site. They nonetheless explain why average Germans, who were daily confronted by the reality of Jewish power, finally turned to Adolf Hitler for their liberation. The only difference between them and 21st Century Americans is that our fellow countrymen have not yet awakened to the same, silent tyranny tightening its coils around the United States.

Who was David Lane?

David Lane passed away in Terra Haute, Indiana, while incarcerated on charges stemming from his membership in the *Silent Brotherhood*. This was an underground organization aimed at armed resistance against what its followers referred to as "ZOG", the "Zionist Occupation Government". They derived their group's name from a line in the SS anthem, *Wenn alle Brueder schweigen und falschen Goetze trau'n*, "When all brothers are silent and false idols are trusted."

Previously an activist in *Aryan Nations*, Lane was among the founders of the *Silent Brotherhood*. On 18 June 1984, its members killed the radio talk-show host Allan Berg in Denver, Colorado. Although Lane denied involvement in this, he was found guilty by the powers that be and sentenced to a 190-year prison sentence. For more than twenty years, he published articles. He is best remembered for his *Fourteen Words*: "We must secure the existence of our people and a future for white children."

Having apparently said everything he had to say, he deliberately refrained from taking medication for his epileptic condition, and passed away on 28 May, his spirit of defiance unbroken by either life imprisonment or death. He was sixty-eight years old.

If, as you claim, Hitler's SS united Aryan Europe in his struggle against Communism, why did some countries such as Portugal refrain from the fighting?

Actually, an unknown number of Portuguese volunteers fought on the Eastern Front and even amid the ruins of Berlin from 1941 to the last day of hostilities in

May, 1945, although they were never allowed to form their own legion, for reasons made clear below. They were attached first to the Spanish Blue Division, then, after 1943, transferred to various Waffen-SS (or "Armed SS") units.

Historians now generally regard Portugal as the Third Reich's "secret ally", providing the Wehrmacht with industrial materials vital for its military operations. Earlier, during the Spanish Civil War, the Portuguese government of Dr. Antonio de Oliveira Salazar supported the Nationalists in their valiant struggle against Communist aggression. He sealed off Portugal's border to the Red murderers, then arrested as many as he could lay his hands on. Many Portuguese heroes fought and fell on Spanish battlefields to prevent Joe Stalin from getting a foothold in Western Europe. To them, subsequent generations of not only Europeans, but White people everywhere, owe eternal gratitude.

With the outbreak of World War Two, Portugal found herself in a particularly awkward position, geographically. Although Salazar and his people were kindred spirits to Fascist Italy and National Socialist Germany, Portuguese colonies spread around the world, to say nothing of Portugal's long, virtually indefensible coast, were literally under the guns of Britain's Royal Navy, and especially vulnerable to seizure by the Anglo-Americans. To have joined the Pact of Steel would have invited an Allied invasion. Both the Duce and the Fuehrer immediately grasped Portugal's dilemma, and applauded Salazar's decision to uphold his country's neutrality. It was a difficult course made all the more hard to steer, because Salazar was determined to do his utmost for the cause of the Aryan freedom-struggle.

Although his nation could not function without the petroleum, coal, ammonium sulfate, and wheat imported from the U.S., he risked President Roosevelt's displeasure by supplying the German Armed Forces with tungsten. The Third Reich was totally dependent upon Portugal and Spain for this invaluable mineral, which gave Wehrmacht munitions an armor-piercing superiority unmatched by any of its enemies in the field. The Anglo-Americans had to content themselves with inferior molybdenum-tipped shells. Equipping the Axis with tungsten was a huge contribution to Adolf Hitler's struggle against the evil twins of Jewish plutocracy attacking from the west and Jewish Communism from the east.

Germany's minimum requirements for tungsten were 3,500 tons per year, a need vitally fulfilled by Dr. Salazar. In January 1942, he concluded a secret trade pact with the Reich that allowed for up to 2,800 tons of tungsten. This figure, combined with shipments from Franco's Spain, more than adequately met German demand. For Salazar's invaluable assistance, he received an abundance of coal, steel, and fertilizer from a grateful Germany. A year later, after having been blasted by the Wehrmacht's armor-piercing shells, care of Portugal, the Anglo-Americans tried to cut a tungsten deal of their own with Salazar. He turned his back on them to complete a new agreement with the Germans.

Roosevelt and Churchill then pressured him to cede the Azore Islands, owned by Portugal, as an airbase for attacking u-boats. The wily doctor dragged out negotiations until late November, 1944, when the Azores had lost their strategic value to the Western Allies. In April that year, they applied economic sanctions against Salazar to cut off tungsten shipments to Germany. Three months later, he ostensibly bowed to this coercion, but simultaneously made sure that covert supplies continued to the Wehrmacht under other businesses acting as fronts for tungsten imports.

History makes clear that Salazar went as far as he dared go, and even well beyond, to significantly participate in the Aryan campaign for freedom, while upholding the sovereignty of his people. Although not generally known to the outside world, he and they will some day be venerated by a new generation of our race as silent partners in Adolf Hitler's crusade to liberate and ennoble White humanity. Until then, our Portuguese comrades, conscious of their proud legacy, proudly take up the struggle begun on their Continent.

What real evidence do you have to support your claim that America's news media is biased against Whites?

Before her conviction on motor vehicle charges, celebrity Paris Hilton was lauded on television and in the press as the paragon of style and beauty. From the moment, however, her private view of non-Whites as "niggers" was disclosed, the same sycophantic journalists who worshiped the young woman for years turned on her like vicious dogs. So rabid was their personal hate campaign to incite popular feeling against Paris Hilton, that vandals attacked her home and put her life in jeopardy – all because she blasphemed against prevailing race-mixing dogma by calling a spade "a spade".

Far more serious were charges levelled against Duke University students accused of gang-raping an innocent, black girl earlier this year. Months before the high-profile case collapsed because their ultra-liberal prosecutor was disbarred for falsifying the evidence against them, and the accuser turned out to be a lying half-wit, they were tried and found guilty by every major newspaper and television network. The young White men were simultaneously and universally castigated as racists of the most awful kind, meriting the severest punishment. Reverends Al Sharpton and Jesse Jackson made daily telecasts deploring "the climate of intolerance" and "sick racism" that pervades America. F.B.I. spokesmen speculated that the alleged "gang-rape" as a "hate crime". Social services liberals swung into action to provide counselling and financial assistance for the "victim" and her family.

While the falsely accused White students were being vilified across the nation, week after week, Americans were pointedly not informed of another, this time authentic atrocity that was absolutely boycotted, deliberately ignored, hushed up, even censored from public knowledge.

In a successful effort to contain all outside knowledge of the story, no network "anchor-man" or mainstream reporter announced the arrest in Kentucky of four Black men and one female for perpetrating some of the most ghastly crimes ever committed. Lemaricus Davidson, 25, Letalvis Cobbins, 24, George Thomas, 24, Eric Boyd, 24, and Vanessa Colman, 18, were accused of abducting and murdering a young, White couple. While his girlfriend looked on, they castrated Christopher Newsom, 24, then burned him alive, and fired several shots into him. Over the next several days, the satanic savages beat and raped Channon Christian, 23, and took turns urinating on her. On the fourth day, they cut off her breast, stuffed a large variety of toxic chemicals, including floor cleaner, into her mouth, then stabbed her to death.

Not a word about this unspeakable crime was heard on the nightly news, because NBC, CBS, CNN, ABC, FOX, and all the rest of the alphabetical deceivers were obsessed at the time with the bogus Duke University rape case. There was no appeal to the sensitivities of millions of regular viewers. No social services liberals thought to comfort the families of the victims. The torture and murder of two, White people by five Blacks is not a "hate crime" in the F.B.I.'s book, and Reverends Sharpton and Jackson have nothing to say.

Their silence is part of the deliberate censorship of any information harmful to the artificial, false image of Blacks perpetrated by America's news media masters. They realize that such censorship of the truth is vital to their race-mixing agenda. They know that if the actual extent of African savagery was fully disclosed, the docile masses of White America would throw off their apathy to purge their nation as no society has ever been purged before. Make it so!

Will liberals ever be converted to National Socialism?

We do not have to "convert" anyone. Paraphrasing Adolf Hitler in his 1934 Party Day speech, "All decent White people are National Socialists. It is up to us to make them realize what they are." Most of our fellow countrymen and women have been misled away from their God-given racial instinct. It is up to us to awaken in them their slumbering sense of Aryan identity. In this there is no "conversion" in the normal sense from one belief-system to another, but a kind of ideological disenchantment, in which a people psychologically conditioned by anti-White propagandists are made to recognize themselves in the images, culture and truths of National Socialism.

Even so, some persons have been converted from other political world-views, though far less by our words, than through personal experience in the modern world. For example, a few National Socialists are former liberals, honest White men and women who were sold on the suicidal scam of race-mixing and Judaeophilia. They found that these liberal superstitions incessantly promoted from the classroom to the television screen were conclusively debunked by the real world – in other words, by the consistent, killer-gorilla behavior of the same Afro-savages held up for

worshipful emulation, or blatant Zionist genocide in places like Lebanon. Not *Mein Kampf* or a recording of a speech by Commander Rockwell convinced these honest if duped persons to throw off their blinders. Instead, the Jews and other non-Aryans themselves made liberals into National Socialists.

A case in point is, surprisingly, Tony Blair, until recently, the British Prime Minister! Just two years ago, he was doing his utmost for "Aid to Africa". But just before Blair left office, he astounded England by publicly stating that blacks were responsible for most violent crimes in the country, not economically deprived unfortunates with no other options in life, the standard liberal apology for inferior races.

The Guardian newspaper reported breathlessly for 2 July, "Tony Blair yesterday claimed the spate of knife and gun murders in London was not being caused by poverty, but a distinctive black culture. Black community leaders reacted after Mr. Blair said the recent violence should not be treated as part of a general crime wave, but as specific to black youth. He said people had to drop their political correctness and recognise that the violence would not be stopped 'by pretending it is not young black kids doing it'. Giving the Callaghan lecture in Cardiff, the Prime Minister admitted he had been "lurching into total frankness" in the final weeks of his premiership. Answering questions later, Mr. Blair said: 'Economic inequality is a factor and we should deal with that, but I don't think it's the thing that is producing the most violent expression of this social alienation. I think that is to do with the fact that particular youngsters are being brought up in a setting that has no rules, no discipline, no proper framework around them.'

Before England opened the floodgates of colored immigration fifty years ago, London, with its seven million Aryan residents, averaged five homicides a year. Today, although the city's population has decreased since 1960, 800 known murders take place in London annually. The vast majority are committed by non-Whites, mostly from Africa.

Another prominent liberal who eventually saw the light was Joseph Campbell, one of the most brilliant and influential American scholars of the 20th Century and darling of the liberal set. His popular television series, *The Power of Myth*, and numerous books, such as *The Hero With a Thousand Faces* and *The Masks of God*, made him the best-known intellectual of his time and for long after his death in 1987. But now the man's name and achievement are being consigned to a 21st Century Memory Hole, due to disclosures about his racial awakening. As one of numerous examples, when teaching at the prestigious Sarah Lawrence College, in 1969, one of his students asked Campbell the mythical significance of the first lunar landing, in July that year. "The best thing about it," he said, "was that we can finally evacuate all the Jews to the moon."

He later referred to Yahweh, the god of the Jewish people, as "that divine collector of foreskins". Campbell's admiration for Adolf Hitler was so outspoken toward the

end of his life, his remarks about the Fuehrer as the archetypical mythic hero were reworked by the producers of *The Power of Myth* to imply precisely the opposite. In Campbell's numerous lectures, he never shied away from naming and describing the Aryan peoples as the uniquely dynamic culture-creators and culture-bearers of the world.

He and Blair are two examples illustrating the White man's irrepressible racial instinct, which, no matter how suppressed and maligned, possesses within itself the Phoenix-fire of rebirth.

If President Bush deserves to be impeached, as a growing number of Americans, including some politicians, insist, why is he still in office?

Of George W. Bush's numerous offenses, one stands above the rest, and is so clear in its criminality, not only his removal from the presidency, but his arrest on felony charges would be possible in a society less Jewized than ours.

Just last year, he was duty-bound by congressional legislation to immediately terminate all aid to Israel, when that rogue-state used American bombs and guns to commit aggression against Lebanon. Passed by the U.S. Congress in 1954, the Arms Control Act requires the instant suspension of American weapons shipments exported to any foreign government that uses them for attacking an outside country. The military hardware provided U.S. allies may only be resorted to when they are being invaded. The handful of puny, short-range, unguided missiles -- hardly more than skyrockets -- a few Hamass radicals launched across the Israeli border did not constitute a ground-invasion undertaken by the Lebanese government.

From the moment Israel used American weapons to occupy Lebanon and kill thousands of its people, mostly innocent civilians, the President was constrained by congressional law to cut off the flow of armaments. Instead, he sent his dusky Secretary of State, Congoleeza Rice, to Beirut, where she told the bleeding and dying Lebanese that their sufferings were "a small price to pay for democracy".

Bush spat on congressional authority by allowing the uninterrupted flow of U.S. military supplies to Israel for the length and breadth of its invasion. As soon as its forces began firing on Lebanon, every man and woman in Congress was obliged to rise as one body to condemn Israeli aggression, and demand their President cancel all aid to that bandit nation at once. But no Congress members spoke out, although all of them are intimately familiar with the 1954 Arms Control Act. They were silenced by the "Israeli lobby" in Washington, D.C., the same political mafia that ordered their witless, presidential puppet to keep the bullets coming.

He is an obvious front man for the real power that controls our government and intimidates Congress -- a power that prevents the law from being followed when it inconveniences Jewish interests. For fear of the Jews, no mainstream news commentator, no republican or democratic politician, dares utter a peep of protest

against their pocket-President, who praised the Lord and passed the ammunition in open defiance of an act of congress.

The Israelis additionally and especially deserved to have been cut off when they attacked Lebanon with cluster-bombs. The weapons were received from America under the conditions of a 1973 treaty specifying that these vicious anti-personnel explosives were only to be deployed against regular army troops in the event Israel was invaded simultaneously by two or more enemy countries. On condition of acceptance, the Israelis were further constrained from using them against cities or in partisan warfare.

During their 2006 invasion, however, Zionist forces dropped tens of thousands of U.S.-made cluster-bombs across Lebanon, inside many urban centers, but never used them against regular troops. As a result, countless numbers of non-combatant men, women, and children were killed, blinded and maimed.

In violating their 1973 agreement, the Israelis utterly disqualified themselves from all further American military aid. Yet, George W. Bush was on hand to ensure that it never stopped. Thus, he deserves to be arraigned on charges of violating the 1954 Arms Control Act, while refusing to call Israelis to account for their contravention of 1973's cluster-bomb agreement. He is, therefore, a party to the deaths and mutilations of thousands of innocent Lebanese victims of U.S.-made and sanctioned aggression undertaken at the behest of Tel Aviv.

The Zionists' man need have no fear, however, because they protect such "righteous Gentiles", at least until they don't need them anymore. Those wishing to impeach him have everything they need to accomplish their task. Except the courage to tell the truth about his bloodstained service at the behest of a demonic, foreign power.

Has Bush done anything more than support Israeli aggression to merit impeachment?

On the books of the federal government is the False Statement Statute (Title 18: Section 1001). Enacted in the wake of President Nixon's well-known deceptions, it provides a penalty of up to five years in prison, a fine, or both to "whoever, in any manner within the jurisdiction of the executive, legislative, or judicial branch of the Government of the United States, knowingly and willfully (1) falsifies, conceals, or covers up by any trick, scheme or device a material fact; (2) makes any materially false, fictitious, or fraudulent statement or representation; or (3) makes or uses any false writing or document knowing the same to contain any materially false, fictitious, or fraudulent statement or entry ..."

It is now generally known and accepted that Bush, et al made a "false, fictitious, or fraudulent statement or representation" when he insisted that Iraq possessed "weapons of mass-destruction" ready for use against the U.S. Based on this alleged information, America made war on a recent ally, resulting in the deaths of tens of

thousands of its people, and (so far) nearly 4,000 U.S. fatalities, to say nothing of the many thousands more casualties maimed, blinded and crippled.

The President further made use of a "false writing or document knowing the same to contain any materially false, fictitious, or fraudulent statement or entry," by citing bogus British government reports claiming "that Saddam Hussein recently sought significant quantities of uranium from Africa" for arming a nuclear bomb. This allegation has since been entirely debunked.

Bush stated that his "Healthy Forest Initiative" would help preserve America's woodlands by massive cutting. A consensus of the Sierra Club, Environmental Media Services, and every environmental professional were unanimous in their condemnation of the "Initiative", as a flagrant surrender of our forests to the logging industry and sets the stage for catastrophic wild fires, such as those that recently engulfed so much of the west.

According to Amy Mall, National Resources Defense Council Forest Policy Specialist, "The administration is asking Congress to torch our most basic environmental protections in the guise of fire prevention (but achieves the precise opposite. AVS). Rolling back rules for the timber industry and eliminating public participation represent yet another cynical attempt by perhaps the most anti-environmental administration in U.S. history to line the pockets of its corporate friends at the expense of public safety and our natural heritage."

These "materially false, fictitious, or fraudulent" utterances are only the first few at the top of a very long and ever-growing list which could easily have Bush charged under the False Statement Statute. Trouble is, no one in Government has the guts. And that is why we are National Socialists.

Why do National Socialists associate the month of August with Lincoln Rockwell, who was born in March?

On 21 August 1966, Commander Rockwell addressed 3,000 Chicagoans on the city's southwest side, in Marquette Park. His speech was absolutely historic, because it was the first time since the pre-World War Two era that everyday White people – - homeowners, students, factory workers, businessmen, housewives – – openly applauded a National Socialist revolutionary standing before the sacred Swastika banner of our race.

Throughout the previous weeks and months, their streets had been targeted by Martin Luther King, Jr. and his dusky minions for take-over. The Aryan residents were outraged, because they had only a few months before escaped Negro terror and blight that totally destroyed their traditional neighborhoods. Rockwell was the only public figure with the courage to openly defy "Marxist Lucifer Coon", as the hyped agitator was then despised, and the Commander's rousing call to action on that summer day found unprecedented support from his listeners.

Their acclamation was not the passionate excitement of a moment, but showed how deeply he had touched their racial consciousness, when they followed him a few days later through black-occupied territory, in the nearby Gage Park area. September 10th's "White Power March" was the largest, public demonstration of its kind since the Second World War. The high significance of that event, together with the Marquette Park rally, for the first time proved that open National Socialists had the power to attract and win over the masses of White America.

From 1941, when the U.S. was betrayed into a fratricidal war against our German-Aryan brethren, until 1966, majority hatred for our "philosophy of life" seemed impenetrable and imperishable. For a quarter-century, the Jewish brain-washers who control every avenue of public information seemed to have forever blinded our own people to the truth. But the repulsive antics of Martin Luther King, Jr. and his fellow ape-men began to convince a rapidly broadening segment of White Americans that – despite decades of pro-black propaganda – - Rockwell was right

The anti-Aryan powers-that-be realized that the entire fabric of their plan to race-mix our people out of existence was being torn to shreds by the Commander's new-found popularity. Faced with the inevitable collapse of all their evil schemes, they resorted to the final argument used by every gangster-mentality, and had him assassinated on 25 August 1967, little more than a year following his first triumphs.

During his heroic passage through life, perhaps Lincoln Rockwell's greatest achievement was to demonstrate that National Socialism could still find acceptance among the broad masses of our people. By doing so, he gave self-confidence and new hope to all National Socialists since his generation.

How do you answer charges made by a recent television documentary that Hitler's campaign against the Jews began with his institution of the Nuremberg Laws?

Just six months following the National Socialist Seizure of power on 30 January 1933, an international boycott was launched against the Third Reich to "undermine the Hitler regime" and "bring the German people to their senses by destroying their export trade on which their very existence depends." These words were part of a worldwide radio broadcast made by Samuel J. Untermyer, a prominent Zionist leader, to a large, mostly Jewish audience in New York City's Madison Square Garden. Until then, no hostile actions had been taken against Jews in Germany by the new regime, concerned as it was with foreign relations.

Two years after Untermyer's economic sanctions began to strangle the German economy, The Law for the Protection of German Blood and German Honor and The Reich Citizenship Law were announced at Nuremberg's annual Party Day to prove that worldwide Jewish economic pressure and intimidation had failed. "Entirely convinced that the purity of German blood is essential to the further existence of the German people," their Preamble stated, "and inspired by the uncompromising

determination to safeguard the future of the German nation, the Reichstag has unanimously resolved" to forbid marriage or sexual intercourse between Germans and Jews (and all other non-Aryans).

Since National Socialism's first principle states that only members of our race may belong to our society, the Jews lost their citizenship. The so-called Nuremberg Laws affirmed that "Jews are forbidden to display the Reich and national flag or the national colors. On the other hand, they are permitted to display the Jewish colors. The exercise of this right is protected by the State."

The ulterior motive of such legislation was not to make life miserable for Jews in Germany, but to prompt them to migrate abroad. Hitler's real Final Solution was to facilitate their removal to Madagascar. While these measures may seem harsh and unjust to modern Americans unaware of the deplorable impact made by the Jews on Germany before he was elected to power, any nation which fails to defend itself from the Jewish parasite will inevitably find itself sucked dry and left for dead.

The Jews' insatiable lust for revenge was demonstrated after the war at Nuremberg, scene of their vindictive show trials against German leaders. The old, medieval city had, during hostilities, been the special target of Allied bombers, because of its location for yearly Party rallies. So too, the passage of laws to preserve the racial integrity of a valuable Aryan people had taken place inside its old gates, thereby warranting the special hatred of the same, blood-sucking tribe against which such hygienic legislation had been passed with the wholehearted support of the German people.

The Nuremberg Laws, though twisted today into a grotesque caricature of intolerance by the very race they were designed to protect against, will serve in the White Man's future as immutable guidelines based on the higher law of his self-preservation.

What is your take on the latest escapades of OJ Simpson?

Some persons, it appears, were about to auction off O J memorabilia, the demand for which arose from his sports career and subsequent infamy in the courtroom. O J and several armed thugs came into their hotel room, asserted the stuff had been stolen, and reclaimed it. This gave the "white racist cops" a chance to come down on innocent O J, who claims he did not go them about the "theft", because the police treat him badly ever since his lawyer supposedly made them look stupid back in 1995.

But the real reason he took this approach instead of, for instance, politely asking for the return of his property, was that it is apparently necessary for the self-esteem of black males that they behave like "gangstas." It is important for them to foster that tough "don't mess wif me" persona, thereby projecting the public image of an angry gorilla. As we all know, such creatures get a lot of respect.

Later, another apish Hollywood "star", Whoopy Goldberg, explained in a television interview that dog fighting is excusable, because it is a part of black male cultural identity (evidently a variation on the gangsta mentality [see above]). Slavery was part of the Southern "cultural identity" before the Civil War, so, by her line of argument, that institution is equally valid.

Future generations will look back on our time and its obsession with such sub-human affairs as symptomatic of a manic society free falling into the garbage can of history.

Isn't the planned withdrawal of some U.S. troops from Iraq a right step in the direction of peace?

Beginning in the 18th century, the British procedure for spreading their empire around the world followed an established routine: First, explorers were sent into an unknown region, which, if deemed valuable, was claimed for England. They were followed by missionaries, whose purpose it was to make humbly obedient Christians out of the indigenous population. When some of the un-pacified natives objected to this uninvited attention by understandably killing the do-gooders, British outrage prompted military reprisals and occupation, then economic investment and development, resulting in another colony for the exploitation of the victim's natural wealth.

Today, three centuries later, the American Empire has supplanted the British imperialism Winston Churchill bartered away as his price for entering World War Two. But the U.S. *modus operandi* has been fundamentally copied after the pattern of its Old World predecessor. American taxes funded the military destruction of Iraq so that politically-connected firms like Vice President Cheney's Haliburton Corporation could rebuild the same, devastated country at colossal profits to themselves - never mind any reimbursements to the chump tax-payers! - while absconding with the country's oil.

Our troops believe they are in Iraq because "terrorists" blew up New York's Twin Towers six years ago, an event even Bush administration officials admit had absolutely nothing to do with Saddam Hussein. Still, Americans signed up to "liberate Iraq" (from the Iraqis, apparently), and force them to embrace the blessings of capitalist democracy, whether or not they want or even understand it. In truth, only compliant political puppets are required of the Baghdad yes-men set up by U.S. wirepullers to rubber-stamp the seizure of Iraqi oil, thereby pacifying the restless natives. If they object to the obvious theft of their petro-wealth, they are condemned and killed as "terrorists". Any actions they take to defend their homeland from foreign invaders (the Americans and their stooge allies) are portrayed as "atrocities", while "Coalition" forces are invariably hyped as "heroic".

While Bush withdraws an insignificant 5,600 troops for transparently political reasons - as a cheap sop against his historic unpopularity – more than 100,000 military personnel will stay on in Iraq "indefinitely" (his own word) to ensure that the country's stolen oil keeps fueling U.S. wealth. Thus, Iraq has become the latest colonial holding (i.e., victim) of the new American Empire.

It is a fateful possession, however, signaling the approaching climax of our nation's evolutionary process from frontier life to British colony, to constitutional republic, to corrupt plutocracy, to thieving empire, to internal collapse. From Persia and Rome, to Britain and France, China and Russia, all imperial enterprises have followed this same pattern. They became far more costly than their shortsighted materialists could envision, leading irrevocably toward the same kind of historic free-fall into which the U.S. has already toppled.

Nothing can nor should prevent that self-overthrow, because any misfortune for the Jewocracy that has dominated us since 1945 is good for our race. As time continues to grind against the hypocritical purveyors of "American" (i.e., Zionist) imperialism, so it is working for their most extreme opponent and future replacement - the National Socialist Revolution.

***New!* - Who is the worst enemy of the Aryan Race?**

The male White American. Jews, Negroes, mestizos, race-mixers, militant queers, women libbers, liberal liars, neo-con tyrants and all the natural-born misfits driving our people toward extinction are powerless in themselves. On their own or even combined they would have never amounted to a hill of beans, unless the White Man had already lost his self-respect. But by skillfully stimulating his baser instincts for self-interest and apathy, Jewish propagandists have subtly confused and diluted his powers of racial awareness, rendering him gradually incapable of defending his own existence.

He is like a 21st Century Gulliver overwhelmed and fettered by anti-White Lilliputians. The results of his psychological programming are increasingly worthless generations of self-indulgent Americans, as most graphically expressed in their truly historic levels of morbid obesity. But a people are only as good as they are led. Given the U.S.A.'s utterly Jewized leadership, especially since World War Two, their present depravity should be no cause for surprise.

Despite the White American man's deplorable degeneration into a self-hating, apologetic wimp, so long as his blood is still unpolluted by the poison of race-mixing, his deeper instincts for self-preservation and revolutionary change still exist. Therein lays his future revival and National Socialism's ultimate triumph.