

Jürgen Graf

The Keepers of the Holy Grail and their lies: Tomasz Kranz and the “Mass killings by means of toxic gases in the Majdanek concentration camp”.

In 2008, the Keepers of the Holy Grail of Holocaust Orthodoxy once again struck out in an effort to square the circle. At that time, they held a meeting at Oranienburg near Berlin, the aim of which was to furnish “new evidence” for the evasive genocide in gas chambers on a massive scale, a phantom which has left no documentary or material traces. A collection of articles edited by Günter Morsch and Bertrand Perz, two third-rate “Holocaust Scholars”, appeared three years later under the title *Neue Studien zu Nationalsozialistischen Massentötungen durch Giftgas*¹; it contained the texts of the papers presented at the meeting, probably edited and enlarged as is normally the case for such works.

As I write these lines (April 2011), Carlo Mattogno is working on a comprehensive reply to the theses of this collection; in time, his book will be published in Italian and in German. Since we are in the process of preparing a new edition of *Concentration Camp Majdanek. A historical and technical study*, I will use this opportunity to analyze, independently from Mattogno’s future book, the eight-page section of the collection mentioned, written by Tomasz Kranz and entitled, “*Massentötungen durch Giftgase im Konzentrationslager Majdanek*”² (Mass killings by means of toxic gases at the Majdanek concentration camp).

Kranz, who is the head of the research department of the Majdanek Memorial institution, had caused a minor sensation in late 2005 when he set the number of victims of the camp at 78,000³ - something that amounted to a major revision of previous figures: shortly after the liberation of the Majdanek camp, a Polish-Soviet commission spoke of 1.5 million people who allegedly died there; later on, official Polish historiography brought this figure down to 360,000 in 1948 and to 235,000 in 1992. As I have shown in an article published in 2008, Kranz’ figure is still too high by some 28,000 deaths⁴.

Basically, Kranz’ revised numbers were nothing but an attempt at limiting the damages. He tried to free Majdanek historiography from all its useless and immensely exaggerated padding of *non-Jewish* victims while saving, at the same

¹ Günter Morsch and Bertrand Perz (Eds.), *Neue Studien zu Nationalsozialistischen Massentötungen durch Giftgas. Historische Bedeutung, technische Entwicklung, revisionistische Leugnung*, Metropolis Verlag, Berlin 2011.

² Thomas Kranz, „Massentötungen durch Giftgase im Konzentrationslager Majdanek“, in: *Neue Studien zu Nationalsozialistischen Massentötungen durch Giftgas* (cf. Note 1), p. 219-227.

³ T. Kranz, „Ewidencja zgonów i śmiertelność więźniów KL Lublin“, *Zeszyty Majdanka*, 25 (2005), p. 7-53.

⁴ Jürgen Graf, „Révision du nombre des victimes à Majdanek“, *Sans Concession*, 42-45 (Septembre-Décembre 2008), p. 27-44. German version: „Zur Revision der Opferzahl von Majdanek“. <http://juergen-graf.vho.org/articles/index.html>

time, the fundamental lie that it was an "extermination camp" (the alleged homicidal gassings of Jews and a purported mass shooting on 3 November 1943.

When compared to Kranz' study of 2005 which, by and large, testifies to a critical spirit in spite of its many obvious trickeries, his contribution to the collection *Neue Studien zu Nationalsozialistischen Tötungen durch Giftgas* constitutes an intellectual and moral step backwards. Whereas, in the 2005 study, he did present a somewhat laconic but correct resumé of the revisionist book about Majdanek⁵ written by Carlo Mattogno and myself, he now no longer mentions it in any way. Passing over counter-arguments in total silence is an unambiguous proof of the lack of scientific thought and Kranz thus demonstrates that he does not aim for new scientific elements but for the perpetuation of a historical mirage based on ideology and propaganda.

Kranz does not shy away from shabby tricks, for example when he states that Heinrich Himmler "on 19 July 1942 ordered an acceleration of the extermination of the Jews in the Government General" (p. 220). He does not provide his readers with any kind of proof for such an order, only a footnote which concerns the creation of a concentration camp for women in Lublin and the transfer of female detainees to the Lublin air-field (footnote 6), but this footnote has no connection whatsoever with the assertion that it is said to corroborate!

Let us take a look at Kranz' evidence for the existence of homicidal gas chambers at Majdanek. Early into his article, he writes:

"As far as the use of toxic gases for homicidal purposes is concerned, concentration camp Majdanek constitutes a special case in that, here, not only were two toxic gases used as killing agents in gas chambers – the disinfection agent Zyklon B (HCN) and carbon monoxide (CO) – but there was also a gas-van in operation. " (p. 219)

Anyone familiar with the official presentation of Majdanek will be surprised to read this. While it is true that the Polish-Soviet commission, in its report of August 1944, mentioned gas-vans operating at Majdanek⁶, this allegation was subsequently dropped by the orthodox historians: the official literature on the camp speaks only of the homicidal use of Zyklon-B and carbon monoxide. The extent of the evidence concerning the use of gas-vans at the Lublin camp is given by Kranz six pages further on:

⁵ Jürgen Graf und Carlo Mattogno, *KL Majdanek. Eine historische und wissenschaftliche Studie*, Castle Hill Publisher, Hastings 1999. English translation: *Concentration camp Majdanek. A historical and technical study*, Theses & Dissertation Press, Chicago 2003.

⁶ J. Graf and C. Mattogno, loc.cit. (note 5), chapter VII, 2.

“There is circumstantial evidence to the effect that a portion of the victims of the Majdanek concentration camp were killed by suffocation in a specially converted van. It is assumed that these murders were committed in a disinfection van which belonged to the camp or in a gas-van belonging to the commander of the security police and security service at Lublin. Some detainees claimed that it operated between the city and the camp.” (p. 225, my emphasis).

Thus Kranz, who had asserted at the beginning of his article that *“there was also a gas-van in operation”*, now concedes that there is only “circumstantial evidence” in the form of rumors to substantiate his claim!

Let us now move on to the “stationary gas chambers” in which Jewish detainees were allegedly killed by means of Zyklon-B and/or carbon monoxide. According to the report of the Polish-Soviet commission of August 1944, there were six such chambers:

*“Three gas chambers (Nos. I, II and III), located at the northeastern end wall of the bath; one gas chamber (No. IV) immediately adjoining the bath and forming an entire building unit, as seen from the exterior. [...] Two gas chambers (Nos. V and VI), located on the area between compounds 1 and 2.”*⁷

The official Majdanek version had yet another gas chamber, not mentioned by the Polish-Soviet commission, besides the six referred to above. It is said to have been a room in the new crematorium.

As opposed to these elements, Kranz is satisfied with two gas chambers (chambers I and III of those mentioned by the Polish-Soviet commission); he writes:

“The gas chambers for the murder of the detainees were set up in a stone building, the so-called bunker, located behind the bath for men near the camp of the detainees[...] Originally, according to the plan, there were to be two chambers. The chamber in the eastern part (towards the camp of the detainees), however, was split up into two smaller ones one of which was adapted for the use of both Zyklon-B and carbon monoxide, while the other chamber was apparently not used. [...] The large gas chamber, next to the two smaller ones, on the other hand, was adapted solely for the use of carbon monoxide.” (pp. 221f).

Kranz does not tell his readers why it would have been a good thing to split the eastward chamber into two smaller ones and then not use one of them, thus reducing the available space. The reason why he throws out chambers IV through VII is easy to understand:

⁷ Ibid., chapter VI, 1.

- Chamber IV has a window which the victims would have smashed immediately (the blue stains prove that this window existed at the time in question);
- The barrack in which the chambers V and VI are said to have been installed has vanished without a trace – if it ever existed; the Polish Majdanek historians are not even able to show its precise location⁸;
- Chamber VII in the new crematorium, claimed to have been used for killings by means of Zyklon-B, does not show any blue stains on its walls which excludes the use of hydrocyanic acid at this site⁹.

In the face of this unambiguous situation, Kranz decided to rid himself of superfluous assertions and to cast these irksome “gas chambers” aside, even though their existence continues to be asserted in the orthodox literature on Majdanek. Obviously, this opportunistic procedure has nothing to do with scientific historiography.

On the subject of the genesis of the alleged homicidal gas chambers, Kranz writes:

“Little is known about the installation of the gas chambers at the Majdanek concentration camp, as there are practically no documents dealing with their construction and their operation. All we can say is that the gas chambers were based on the necessary modifications of the technology of disinfestation plants using hydrocyanic acid (hydrocyanic acid is the active ingredient in Zyklon B)” (p. 220)

Kranz’ assertion that there are “*practically no documents*” concerning the construction and operation of the Majdanek gas chambers is not borne out by the facts; there is, on the contrary, a considerable amount of such documents. Using this evidence, Carlo Mattogno has outlined the construction of such rooms in chapter VI,2 of the book on Majdanek which he wrote in cooperation with me. However, the documents clearly show that these rooms were hygienic installations for the destruction of vermin, i.e. the very “disinfestation plants using hydrocyanic acid” he speaks about. The fact that hydrocyanic acid was used here can be seen immediately when looking at the quantity of blue stains on all its walls.

⁸ Majdanek historian Czesław Rajca writes that the building housing these chambers was “probably” located on the intermediate field no. 1. C. Rajca, „Exterminacja bezpośrednia“, in: Tadeusz Mencil (Ed.), *Majdanek 1941-1944*, Lublin 1991, p. 270.

⁹ J. Graf, C. Mattogno, loc.cit. (cf. note 5), chapter VI, 3.

It is obvious that for the “*conversion*” of the disinfestation plant into a homicidal one asserted by Kranz’ there is not even the shadow of any documentary evidence. While it may be conceivable that a disinfestation chamber could have been used for homicidal purposes; however, Mattogno has provided a very detailed demonstration of the fact that this was *not* the case at Majdanek because, for structural reasons, these rooms could not be used for homicidal purposes. If Kranz does not attempt to refute Mattogno’s arguments even though he has summarized our book correctly in his article of 2005, it can only mean there is nothing to refute in this case.

In view of the complete lack of any documentary evidence of homicidal gasings at Majdanek, the representatives of the official historiography must needs make use of witness statements – but this leads directly to yet ^{another} problem: there is not a single witness who provided any kind of precise account of the alleged gasings at Majdanek. This created obvious problems for Józef Marszałek, the former head of the Majdanek Memorial institution, when he wrote his book on the camp in 1981 and caused him to include an excerpt of Pery Broad’s report on *Auschwitz*, adding merely that the gasings at Majdanek were carried out in an “analogous” manner¹⁰! In the absence of any eye-witness of such gasings, Kranz makes use of someone who at least saw the result, i.e. the corpses, and promptly falls flat on his face. The witness in question, a former detainee by the name of Franz A., who was questioned in 1965 during the preparations of the Düsseldorf Majdanek trial in fact made the following statement:

“In two cases I saw how other detainees had to remove the gassed and dead detainees from the gas chamber. The dead were really blue and some of them had to be torn from one another by the detainee command, as many detainees were intertwined with one another”. (p. 225)

It is, however, a fact that victims of a poisoning by hydrocyanic acid do not show a blue but a red discoloration of their skin¹¹. Hence, witness Franz A. stated something that he could not possibly have seen and thus did not see.

Such statements by former detainees, made to blacken their former oppressors, are not worth the paper they are written on. This also goes for the statement made by Georg G., a former *Funktionshäftling* (Kapo) who, also in 1965, claimed to have seen how “the detainees were herded into the gas chamber made of stone and were gassed there”.

¹⁰ Józef Marszałek, *Majdanek, The Concentration Camp in Lublin*, Warsaw 1986, p. 141.

¹¹ Germar Rudolf, *The Rudolf Report*, Chicago 2003, chapter 7.1.

The confessions made by former members of the SS during later trials in Germany are just as worthless. Kranz quotes one of them on p. 225:

“I once looked into the gas chamber when there were people inside. [...] The people were lying there on the floor. They lay irregularly on top of one another. I think they were naked [...] I was to take a look to see how the gas works. Perschon had asked me to attend the gassing.”

Kranz’s source, in this case, is a book by Dieter Ambach and Thomas Köhler which appeared in 2003 under the title *“Lublin-Majdanek. Das Konzentrations- und Vernichtungslager im Spiegel von Zeugenaussagen”* (Lublin-Majdanek. The concentration and extermination camp in the light of witness statements). The book does not give the name of the SS-man in question which probably means that he was not one of the 15 persons initially indicted at Düsseldorf. It is highly likely that his confession was the result of a deal with the prosecution whereby the man would be spared any further legal problems if he acknowledged the existence of gas chambers and thus contributed to the assembly of the official presentation.

If the confessions during the later trials in Germany lack any credibility, this is all the more true for confessions made during trials before Polish, Soviet or Western courts in the immediate post-war years. It is clear that, at that time, the Poles, the Soviets or the Anglo-Americans were able to extract any kind of confession from any kind of German – be it by direct torture or by other, more subtle, means.

This also applies to the head of the Majdanek technical department, a man by the name of Friedrich W. Ruppert, who asserted that the “selections of the Warsaw Jews for extermination” were based on orders issued by Globocnik who “inspected the camp on a number occasions and who was particularly interested in the gas chambers”. The fact that Kranz has to take recourse to such dubious confessions, probably extracted under duress, shows the exasperating scarcity of evidence he was facing.

On the subject of Zyklon-B supplies to the Majdanek camp, he states:

“Numerous documents dealing with the supply of Zyklon B have come down to us. The camp administration ordered the gas from Tesch & Stabenow International Company for the Destruction of Vermin in Hamburg. It was produced by Dessauer Werke für Zucker und Chemische Industrie. The first order for Zyklon B dates from 25 July 1943. [...] The last surviving letter concerning orders for Zyklon B was posted on 3 July 1944, three weeks before the final dissolution of the camp” (p. 223).

On the preceding page, Kranz admits that “the Zyklon supplied to Majdanek was used, as in other concentration camps, for the disinfection of barracks and clothing” (p. 222). In fact, the copious documentation on the supply of Zyklon B allows us to state beyond any doubt that the product was used for disinfestations and nothing else¹². So what is Kranz trying to prove in the paragraph quoted above?

At the end of his article, Kranz deals with the question of how many persons were gassed at Majdanek and says:

“The sources do not allow us to determine how many of the nearly 80,000 victims of the camp were murdered in gas chambers. An indication is contained only in the statement by Ruppert who estimated the number of gassed to have been 500 to 600 detainees per week in the last quarter of 1942 and the number of Warsaw Jews murdered in the gas chamber in the spring of 1943 to have amounted to some 4,000 or 5,000 persons” (p. 227).

This would mean that between early October of 1942 (said to have been the start of the gassings) until the end of spring of 1943, some 10 – 12,000 Jews were gassed at Majdanek. The official historiography maintains that there were three “pure extermination camps” in operation during that period: Treblinka, Sobibor, and (up to November of 1942) Belzec. If we go along with the orthodox historians, the “gas chambers” of Treblinka alone would have allowed the murder of 7,000 people per day¹³, which means that the SS could have gassed in the Treblinka “gas chambers” within a day and a half all the Jews allegedly killed at Majdanek over a period of eight months.

Hence, there would have been absolutely no need to build any homicidal gas chambers at Majdanek at all. The bath which allegedly housed the “gas chambers” could be seen by the detainees and thus no gassings could have taken place in secret; otherwise the whole camp would have panicked and the Germans would have had to face a revolt or a mass escape.

As detainees were continually released from Majdanek – the total number of releases amounted to 20,000¹⁴ - any such information would have spread like wildfire through all of Poland and beyond its borders, something that the Germans clearly would have wanted to avoid.

¹² J. Graf and C. Mattogno, loc.cit. (note 5), chapter 8.

¹³ In his standard treatise about the camps of Aktion Reinhardt, Yitzak Arad writes that a total of 491,000 Jews were gassed at Treblinka between 23 July and the end of September of 1942, i.e. 7,014 or roughly 7,000 per day. Y. Arad, *Belzec, Sobibor, Treblinka. The Operation Reinhard Death Camps*, Bloomington and Indianapolis 1987, S. 392-395.

¹⁴ Anna Wiśniewska and Czesław Raja, *Majdanek, Lubelski obóz koncentracyjny*, Lublin 1996, p. 32.

From whichever point of view one looks at the story of homicidal gassings at Majdanek – whether from a historical, a technical or a logical one – it always turns out to be absurd. Only two types of readers will thus be impressed by Thomas Kranz' kind of deceptive "evidence": the uninformed ones who, naïvely believe to be reading the study of a serious historian, and the fanatical believers in the Holocaust, who say "my mind is made up, don't confuse me with the facts".